



North Carolina

LOCAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT ACCREDITATION

Health Department Self-Assessment Instrument (HDSAI)

Interpretation Document

**Revised Version 6.1
Updates effective January 1, 2018**

This document has been adopted by the NCLHDA Board. The Board will continue to accept comments by local health departments, site visitors, and other partners.

Table of Contents

General Comments & Guidelines	5
Interpretation Document Headings.....	5
Documentation.....	5
Interpretation.....	6
Intent.....	6
Guidance	6
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments.....	6
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies	6
Pieces of Evidence Required.....	6
SVT Review and Guiding Questions	6
General Guidance & Definitions	6
Definitions.....	6
Local Health Department	6
Board of Health	7
Elected and Appointed Officials.....	7
Guidance.....	7
Policy Review.....	7
Home Health.....	8
Evidence.....	8
Completing the Health Department Self-Assessment Instrument (HDSAI).....	8
Documentation Methods.....	9
Date Requirements for Documentation/Evidence.....	9
Correcting Documentation	9
Evidence – meeting minutes	10
Evidence – personnel records/training.....	10
Standards Classification	11
Standard: Agency Core Functions & Essential Services.....	11
Standard: Facilities & Administrative Services	11
Standard: Governance.....	11
Interpretation of Activities	12
BENCHMARK 1.....	12
BENCHMARK 2.....	17
BENCHMARK 3.....	22

BENCHMARK 4.....	26
BENCHMARK 5.....	31
BENCHMARK 6.....	36
BENCHMARK 7.....	40
BENCHMARK 8.....	52
BENCHMARK 9.....	59
BENCHMARK 10.....	69
BENCHMARK 11.....	76
BENCHMARK 12.....	80
BENCHMARK 13.....	86
BENCHMARK 14.....	87
BENCHMARK 15.....	95
BENCHMARK 16.....	106
BENCHMARK 17.....	112
BENCHMARK 18.....	117
BENCHMARK 19.....	122
BENCHMARK 20.....	126
BENCHMARK 21.....	130
BENCHMARK 22.....	137
BENCHMARK 23.....	143
BENCHMARK 24.....	150
BENCHMARK 25.....	157
BENCHMARK 26.....	161
BENCHMARK 27.....	167
BENCHMARK 28.....	173
BENCHMARK 29.....	175
BENCHMARK 30.....	179
BENCHMARK 31.....	197
BENCHMARK 32.....	207
BENCHMARK 33.....	213
BENCHMARK 34.....	223
BENCHMARK 35.....	228
BENCHMARK 36.....	230
BENCHMARK 37.....	235
BENCHMARK 38.....	245

BENCHMARK 39	250
BENCHMARK 40	257
BENCHMARK 41	261
Attachment 1: Benchmark Links to the 10 Essential Services.....	267
Attachment 2: State Personnel Record Guidelines.....	273
Attachment 3: Policies, Procedures & Protocols required by HDSAI.....	275

General Comments & Guidelines

The purpose of this document is to guide local health departments (LHDs), site visit team (SVT) members and the North Carolina Local Health Department Accreditation (NCLHDA) Board and staff in the interpretation of the activities and required documentation for the benchmarks and activities of the North Carolina (NC) standards. It is the responsibility of the NCLHDA program, in collaboration with our partners and our Board, to determine the baseline of interpretation of:

1. An activity, for the purpose of:
 - a. Clarifying the intent of the activity
 - b. Stating the expected capacity of the LHD
2. The evidence, for the purpose of:
 - a. Determining that an activity is met from the evidence provided
 - b. Guiding the evaluation of the evidence by the Site Visit Team
 - c. Assisting the LHD in the compilation of evidence

Evidence is used to assess a LHD's conformity to the activities. It is comprehensive and includes everything that site visitors will use to make scoring decisions - documentation, facilities, personnel records, interviews, etc. Documentation is a component of evidence and is the major source of information for the LHD to present for review by the SVT. It will represent the LHD's best efforts in demonstrating the requirements of the activity. Evidence is also used to show that the department is performing that activity through the work it is doing.

While the evaluation of evidence will guide the SVT in the review of documentation and in determining whether an activity will be deemed as 'met', it is also valuable in guiding the LHD and the Agency Accreditation Coordinator (AAC) as they select evidence for an activity.

Since an element of credibility and consistency for NCLHDA is in sharing the same interpretation, verbal interpretations are discouraged and are not considered binding to the SVT. The official interpretation of activities and evidence is defined as a written explanation or guideline of the meaning of, or a specific provision thereof, a benchmark or activity, or evidentiary requirement, as determined by the NCLHDA program, as adopted by the NCLHDA Board and contained within this Interpretation document. This document is subject to frequent change as new interpretation is added and as current interpretation is edited. The most current version in use will be posted on the NCLHDA website at <https://nciph.sph.unc.edu/accred/>.

Interpretation Document Headings

The elements in this document used to provide a full understanding of the activity are:

Documentation

The Documentation section includes short descriptions of required pieces of evidence for each activity. The pieces of evidence are listed as A., B., etc. in order to assist agencies in pointing to specific requirements in the Health Department Self-Assessment Instrument (HDSAI) narrative and how they have addressed them. Of note, some activities have **AND** or **OR** requirements included within the list that must be followed.

Interpretation

The Interpretation section states the purpose or intent of the activity and provides guidance for gathering documentation for the activity.

Intent

This is to give the basic understanding of what the activity is asking for.

Guidance

The Guidance will list advice given to LHDs in meeting evidence requirements of activities and in the interpretation of the activity, evidence or of specific words.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

This section is specific to district health departments and gives them additional guidance for how certain requirements apply to their LHD structure.

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

This section is specific to LHDs that are a part of a consolidated human services agency and gives them additional guidance for how certain requirements apply to their governance structure.

Pieces of Evidence Required

This section supports the Documentation Section by reinforcing and clarifying the **minimum** number of pieces of evidence necessary for each requirement. There may be rare circumstances whereby an LHD can use one piece of evidence to meet multiple requirements and therefore come under this minimum number, but they should be very clear in their justification for how that piece of evidence meets separate requirements. In general, this section should be used as a guide for health departments to understand what/how much is being asked for, especially in regards to number of examples required for certain activities.

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

This section gives guidance and pointers for the SVT as they review evidence. This may list specifics they should see in the evidence. This section will also have questions the SVT may ask about evidence to show what is presented allows the activity to be met.

General Guidance & Definitions

Definitions

Local Health Department

When the “local health department” is cited in activities, the reference is to the staff, including leadership positions and the health director. When the local health department is to fulfill requirements of an activity, work of the board of health is not required unless so specified. Agency, department, health department or LHD are all references and equate to “local health department”.

Board of Health

When the “board of health” is cited in activities, the reference is to the membership of the board and the health director or other designee so determined by the board. If the board designates the work of an activity to the health director, the evidence should provide a specific designation to that activity or issue. The purpose of the governance standard is to show that the board of health is involved in the work of the agency and is fulfilling its role in being involved in the requirements of activities 34 through 41. Board, BOH, consolidated human services board, or board of county commissioners (if they have assumed the powers and duties of the board of health) are other references that equate to the “board of health”.

Note that if the board of county commissioners or a consolidated human services board has assumed the powers and duties of the board of health, then it **shall be** responsible for all duties assigned to the board of health by any law or rule. Therefore, for any standard, benchmark or activity within the accreditation standards that states “the board of health shall”, the board of county commissioners or the consolidated human services board that has assumed the duties of the board of health must comply with that requirement. In general, an advisory committee on health appointed to provide input to the body which has assumed those powers and duties are not policy making boards so do not apply unless a specific activity states they can.

Elected and Appointed Officials

Elected officials include any individuals voted on by the public – and locally would include, county commissioners, sheriff, county clerk of court, register of deeds, school board members, city commissioners and mayors. State level elected officials would include state senators and representatives from, and outside of, the district that covers the LHD, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, and specified Council of State positions. Federal elected officials would include US Senators and representatives, and the President and Vice-President.

Appointed officials would include individuals appointed by an elected official that have a policy making role and would include the county or city managers, the state health director, certain state department secretaries and division directors and local boards appointed by county commissioners.

Guidance

Below are some general guidelines in compiling evidence and for the site visit.

Policy Review

The SVT reviews all policies that pertain to activities and benchmarks mentioned in the HDSAI. Time typically does not permit the SVT to review all policies in great detail. The SVT will check to see if policies follow the guidelines mentioned in the local health department’s Policy on Policies. All policies should be current, dated, and signed by the appropriate individuals indicating approval. The SVT will also look to see if all policies are accessible to appropriate staff. The local health department’s policies should relate to the activities and the work of the health department. It is acceptable, but not necessary, to use the specific wording of the HDSAI and the benchmarks and activities in policies.

Policies can be developed by the LHD or another source. The focus is not on who writes the policy but that it is properly adopted or approved, in effect, and reflects the actual practices of the LHD. Any policy that is adapted for use by the LHD must be reviewed to delete elements that do not apply. All references, names, titles, etc., within the policy should be changed to correlate with those of the LHD. There is no prescribed format for policies, procedures or protocols. The format may vary within the LHD unless

defined by the Policy on Policies. If so, all policies put into effect after the Policy on Policies was adopted must follow the defined format.

All SVT members should review the Policy on Policies for a LHD they will be assessing prior to looking at any other policies. This will provide a context for agency policies and will give a standard baseline for the review of all other policies provided as evidence.

For a list of the policies and plans that the HDSAI requires for a health department to have to meet the related activities, refer to [Appendix 3](#). Please note that the NCLHDA does not provide sample plans, policies or templates to individual health departments. Please check with other area health departments for assistance.

Home Health

Home Health agencies based at local health departments are **often** separately licensed and accredited. In general, Home Health is not a part of the self-assessment and site visit, though the agency may wish to use home health, and related services, for evidence. Home Health staff, when employees of the local health department, **and regardless of if independently accredited or not**, may be chosen in the random selection of employees for a personnel record review. Home Health employees should be listed on the employee roster (submitted with the HDSAI).

Evidence

Local health departments in NC vary in size, organizational structure, scope of authority, resources, population served and geographic region. The benchmarks, activities, and interpretation guidance were developed to be applicable to any health department.

There are many methods for producing the documents required and NCLHDA does not have a prescribed or required format. Documentation submitted for an activity may be in a single document. Or several documents together may provide evidence of conformity (the degree to which documentation submitted matches the requirements). Some documents may be used to show conformity with more than one measure. The focus of the required documentation is on “what” is provided, not on “how” it is provided.

A key concept behind using documentation to assess conformity is that the material exists and is in use in the agency being reviewed, and not who originated the material. All documentation must be in effect by the time the site visit begins. No documents should be marked as draft. Documents should be dated to allow site visitors to evaluate compliance with timeframes.

Completing the Health Department Self-Assessment Instrument (HDSAI)

The HDSAI is supplied as a Word document and can be used both as a planning document and for submission to the Accreditation Administrator. It can be printed out for use by the department as it collects and creates documentation. When the HDSAI is being completed for submission, it should be completed electronically. An HDSAI completed by hand will not be accepted by the NCLHDA program.

When completing the HDSAI, list the documentation being used to support the activity within the evidence/explanation section in the order it is asked for within the activity. The LHD may add any comment it desires that will help explain how the evidence selected demonstrates the activity. Explanations or comments are optional but are helpful for the SVT upon review and may help limit questions concerning evidence.

Documentation Methods

Beginning in fiscal year 2014 – 2015, all evidence is required to be submitted electronically. Within the evidence portion of each activity in the HDSAI Word document, the LHD would list the document name, then create a hyperlink for each item provided as documentation. The evidence items should contain highlighted areas relevant to the particular activity, be in pdf format, and put into electronic folders for each activity. When the process is complete, the HDSAI Word document and other electronic documents in folders should be uploaded to one flash drive. File structure should be maintained and all files/links checked on an external computer (non-networked) before sending to the North Carolina Institute for Public Health (NCIPH).

The LHD will need to have five computers or laptops set up for use by the SVT and the Site Visit Coordinator. Typically, electronic documentation speeds the review process; however, if there are lots of technical difficulties, the process can be greatly slowed down and the site visit schedule becomes difficult to maintain. The LHD should be prepared to provide the hard copy of any item that is requested by the site visit team.

Date Requirements for Documentation/Evidence

As much as possible, consistent and clear language regarding timeframes has been used throughout this document. For all activities where evidence is not required annually since the previous site visit, the evidence can come from any year since the previous site visit unless the activity states otherwise (i.e. within the last 12 months, for each year since the previous site visit, etc.). The following can also be used as a guide:

- Since the previous site visit: these examples must be from the time period starting the day after the last site visit up to the HDSAI due date (if last site visit was August 1 and 2, 2010 and HDSAI due date is June 1, 2014, then the time period of August 3, 2010 through June 1, 2014)
- Annually/for each year: an agency's "year" starts the day after the last site visit (using the example above, then Year 1 of next cycle would be August 3, 2010-August 2, 2011, Year 2 would be August 3, 2011-August 2, 2012, Year 3 would be August 3, 2012-August 2, 2013, and Year 4 would be August 3, 2013-June 1, 2014)
- Within/for the past 12 months: these examples must be dated within the 12 months prior to the HDSAI due date (if due date is June 1, then any date since previous June 1)
- For/during the past two years: these examples must be dated within the 24 months prior to the HDSAI due date (if due date is June 1, then any date since June 1 two years ago)

To give a standard timeframe for documentation/evidence, the HDSAI due date is used. However, because this shortens the fourth year of evidence for some activities, evidence can be created and submitted up until the day of the site visit. However, agencies are encouraged to complete as much of their work as possible by their HDSAI due date so they are prepared to submit as much of their information on their HDSAI due date as possible.

Correcting Documentation

The general rule is that during a site visit evidence can be produced (submit documentation that was already in place) but not created, revised, or corrected.

There are specific activities that require SVT observation to be shown as met. Examples are agency signage, adherence to privacy policy, and employee practices. For these activities, if it does not meet the intent of the activity or a policy/protocol related to that activity, then it is not met. The SVT does have the final decision and they do have some discretion in their observances of evidence, as long as they follow the guidance of this document.

Evidence – meeting minutes

Whenever minutes from meetings are used as evidence for documentation requirements, the local health department also must include all relevant attachments that are referenced in the minutes or were discussed by the group or board. It is highly recommended that all policies and Board minutes submitted electronically be signed/dated; if not, unsigned copies can be submitted with a note made in the HDSAI narrative that a signed copy will be available on site.

Evidence – personnel records/training

The format for the personnel records and Continuing Education requirements may vary per local health department. The information may be kept in logs or personnel files, etc. It is important that the local health departments' personnel meet the requirements for their specific job assignments. The number of personnel files selected depends on the size of the agency. "Personnel" includes permanent staff even if they only work part-time. **An agency may not require temporary and contract staff to abide by all personnel policies (i.e. annual performance evaluation, annual job description, training), but if not, must make that clear in policy or personnel contracts, including how employee performance and basic training is accounted for.**

In response to the question of what documentation should be in a personnel record, the NC Office of State Human Resources personnel has stated that there is no real and absolute set of information that must be contained in a personnel file. Their recommendation is to follow the suggestions listed in the Personnel Manual.

A personnel file is defined in the State Personnel Manual as consisting of any employment related or personal information gathered by the agency, the Retirement Systems Division of the Department of State Treasurer, or by the Office of State Personnel. Further information on State Personal Record Guidelines is found in Attachment 2.

Certain elements of a personnel record are defined as public record. **However, there is no authority for the SVT to see any information that is confidential.** The SVT should only be looking at information that is relevant as documentation for the given accreditation activity. The SVT should NOT examine, consider or question any confidential information that may be presented to the team.

The following are specific items that the SVT should seek when reviewing personnel record materials:

- Job description
- Annual Evaluation / Performance Appraisal
- Current Credentials (certification, registration, licensure) – if required by job description or by professional practice
- Required orientations, trainings or continuing education (may be separate agency log)

The entire personnel record does not have to be provided to the SVT. The information can be provided separately for the requested employees, can be provided by the county in personnel records that do not contain information other than what is available as public record, or can be submitted as the full personnel record IF consent for review has been obtained from the employee. The local health department will be responsible for obtaining employee consent if full records are provided for review.

Standards Classification

The NC standards take the following structural approach to classification:

- Standard
 - Benchmark 1
 - Activity 1.1
 - Activity 1.2

There are three standards which cover 41 benchmarks comprised of 147 activities.

Standard: Agency Core Functions & Essential Services

The Agency Core Functions & Essential Services Standard is composed of 29 benchmarks and 93 associated activities. The activities assess the department's ability to deliver the 10 essential services of public health as categorized in the core functions of assessment, policy development and assurance. This standard looks at the basic capacity of the health department to provide key services and programs. It looks at the collaborative efforts of the department and how unmet needs are identified and met. This standard assesses the plans, policies and protocols of the department and their use in setting a foundation for consistent and effective operations.

Standard: Facilities & Administrative Services

The Facilities & Administrative Services Standard is composed of 4 benchmarks and 27 associated activities. The activities under this standard address the administrative oversight of the department's operations and facilities. This standard assesses facility cleanliness, maintenance and safety along with practices that protect customer confidentiality. It requires departments to have administrative policies, procedures and protocols to guide staff in the processes that address personnel and finances. This section sets an expected level of performance for overall department accountability and efficiency of business functions.

Standard: Governance

The Governance Standard is composed of 8 benchmarks with 27 associated activities. This standard sets forth the expectations of the Board of Health and its role in guiding the local health department and its involvement in the community. The BOH has powers and duties defined by statute as well as duties defined by these standards. The two combined create the basic design of how a BOH should operate. Any reference to a board of health within this standard refers to the governing board with oversight to public health activities and includes a single county health department board, a district health department board, a consolidated human services board, a public health authority board, a public hospital authority board or board of county commissioners.

Interpretation of Activities

BENCHMARK 1

Community Health Assessment

This benchmark begins a group related to the assessment function of public health and the health department. It also is one of three benchmarks that measure the first essential service – that of monitoring health status in the community. It is made up of three activities and relates to the role of the department in conducting the Community Health Assessment (CHA) and sharing the results. The Community Health Assessment is a basic document used for the accreditation process and for health departments to understand the health care needs of the communities they serve.

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assessment Essential Service 1: Monitor health status to identify and solve community health problems.</p>
<p>Benchmark 1: A local health department shall conduct and disseminate results of regular community health assessments.</p>
<p>Activity 1.1: The local health department shall conduct a comprehensive community health assessment every 48 months. The community health assessment must fulfill each of the following requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide evidence of community collaboration in planning and conducting the assessment. • Reflect the demographic profile of the population. • Describe socioeconomic, educational and environmental factors that affect health. • Assemble and analyze secondary data (collected by someone other than the health department) to describe the health status of the community. • Collect and analyze primary data (collected by the health department) to describe the health status of the community. • Compile and analyze trend data to describe changes in community health status and in factors affecting health. • Use scientific methods for collecting and analyzing data. • Identify population groups at risk for health problems • Identify existing and needed health resources. • Compare selected local data with data from other jurisdictions (e.g., local to state, local to local). • Identify leading community health problems.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, copy(ies) of CHA(s) conducted AND</p> <p>B. Letter(s) from NCDPH stating CHA meets content requirements described above AND</p> <p>C. If applicable, a letter from NCDPH stating that CAP(s) have been accepted</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity is noted within the <i>Operational Definition of a Local Health Department</i> as an element of the 1st Essential Service and is required by the NC Division of Public Health (NCDPH) by Consolidated Agreement. This activity requires the department to conduct a CHA as least once every four years (48 months), though many health departments conduct CHAs every three years due to collaboration with local hospital Community Health Needs Assessment three-year standards. The intent of this activity is</p>

to show how the agency is seeking the input that will provide the basic information that guides the LHD in identifying and addressing the health care needs of the communities it serves. It is also expected that the CHA report will be a primary source of information used by the LHD in developing its strategic plan and in guiding new programs and services.

Guidance

The required documentation for this activity is to provide copies of any CHAs conducted since the previous site visit (usually one, but potentially two if agency is on a 3-year cycle with a hospital). In addition, departments must provide the letter(s) received from NCDPH. The letter(s) will define which of the requirements listed in the activity are contained within the CHA.

NCDPH will review the county’s CHA using the standards of the Health Department Self-Assessment Instrument. NCDPH has developed a one-page checklist that has each of the components that are listed in Activity 1.1. If there are areas that were not met, the health department will develop a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) that addresses those unmet areas. If there is a CAP, site visitors will expect to see a follow-up letter from NCDPH stating that the CAP has been accepted and/or closed.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

If one CHA is not conducted for the district as a whole, then all CHAs should be provided, including each corresponding letter (and CAP if applicable) from NCDPH.

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

None

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1 or 2
- B. 1 or 2
- C. 1 or 2 (if applicable)

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Was there a copy of the CHA provided?
- ✓ Was the CHA conducted since the last site visit?
- ✓ Was a CHA letter from DPH provided?
- ✓ If there was required corrective action, was there a follow-up letter from DPH?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assessment</p> <p>Essential Service 1: Monitor health status to identify and solve community health problems.</p>
<p>Benchmark 1: A local health department shall conduct and disseminate results of regular community health assessments.</p>
<p>Activity 1.2: The local health department shall update the community health assessment with an interim “State of the County’s Health” report (or equivalent) annually. The report shall demonstrate that the local health department is tracking priority issues identified in the community health assessment, identifying emerging issues, and shall identify any new initiatives.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Since the previous site visit, copies of each annual SOTCH report produced <li style="text-align: center;">AND B. Letters from NCDPH stating each SOTCH meets content requirements described above <li style="text-align: center;">AND C. If applicable, a letter from NCDPH stating that CAP(s) have been accepted
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent The intent of this activity is to show how the agency is continuing to use the data of the CHA in its work, and as a report to the community on this work. The State of the County’s Health (SOTCH) report also will use any data/statistics that the LHD wishes to report and will include new programs that may have been implemented by the LHD. For this activity, the department is required to update the community health assessment using a SOTCH report that is produced annually.</p> <p>Guidance This activity is required by NCDPH by Consolidated Agreement. The Consolidated Agreement between NCDPH and local health departments states that one of the responsibilities of the LHD is to “provide to the State ... a State of the County’s Health Report each of the interim two or three years” between CHA cycles. It also seeks to show that the CHA is a key document for the work of the department. It does this by demonstrating that the local health department is following up on priority issues identified in the community health assessment, and that it will identify any emerging issues.</p> <p>NCDPH has requirements for the SOTCH Report. The department must provide copies of all annual SOTCH reports produced since the previous site visit. The department must also provide the letter of receipt from NCDPH and any CAPs for each of the SOTCH reports that were submitted.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If one SOTCH is not conducted for the district as a whole, then all SOTCH reports should be provided, including each letter (and CAP if applicable) from NCDPH.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 2 or 3 B. 2 or 3 C. 2 or 3 (if applicable)
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Were SOTCH reports provided for all non-CHA years since the previous site visit? ✓ Were SOTCH letters from DPH provided? ✓ If there was required corrective action, was there a follow-up letter from DPH?

Standard: Agency Core Functions And Essential Services

Function: Assessment

Essential Service 1: Monitor health status to identify community health problems.

Benchmark 1: A local health department shall conduct and disseminate results of regular community health assessments.

Activity 1.3: The local health department shall disseminate results of the most recent community health assessment and "State of the County's Health" report to the local health department's stakeholders, community partners and the general population.

Documentation:

- A. Evidence of most recent CHA dissemination efforts by at least two different methods directed to stakeholders/ community partners

AND

- B. Evidence of most recent CHA dissemination efforts by at least two different methods directed to the general population

AND

- C. Evidence of most recent SOTCH report dissemination efforts by at least two different methods directed to stakeholders/community partners

AND

- D. Evidence of most recent SOTCH report dissemination efforts by at least two different methods directed to the general population

INTERPRETATION

Intent

The intent of this activity is to show how the LHD distributes the CHA and SOTCH reports, thereby informing the communities served about the work of the agency, showing how the LHD is addressing the issues identified in the CHA, and building community support for the work of the LHD. The activity requires the department to publicize the results of these reports to the targeted group of stakeholders and partners and to the general population.

Guidance

The required documentation is to provide evidence that the most recent CHA and most recent SOTCH report have been distributed and widely circulated by multiple methods (e.g., website, newsletters, news releases, meeting minutes describing a presentation) to the required population groups. Disseminated by at least two different methods means that, for instance, evidence cannot be submitted that the CHA was presented via a Powerpoint presentation to two different civic groups. However, evidence of one presentation to a civic group and posting on another partner's website demonstrates that the message of the CHA is getting out via multiple and diverse communication channels.

In some instances, the same method of dissemination may work for both stakeholders/community partners as well as the general population (i.e. the same evidence can be submitted for both population groups), but that is rare. For example, newspaper inserts or reports placed in public libraries would be good methods of distribution for general populations. While this could also reach stakeholders/community partners, those groups and individuals should be targeted in the distribution, such as a special mailing, or a presentation to commissioners or the school board. A presentation at a county commissioner meeting would not be a means for general population dissemination (unless televised, for instance). Even though the public is welcome at the meeting, a presentation there is intended for commissioners and other officials and not for reaching the general population.

<p>Note that the NCDPH CHA letter only addresses requirements in Activities 1.1 and 1.2, not Activity 1.3. The NCDPH CHA letter does not have the authority to define the methods of dissemination and does not verify that dissemination efforts for Activity 1.3 have been completed.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If one CHA and SOTCH is not conducted for the district as a whole, then all CHA and SOTCH methods of dissemination to both population groups should be provided.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 2 B. 2 C. 2 D. 2
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was evidence of CHA and SOTCH dissemination efforts by at least two methods provided? ✓ Was dissemination directed to stakeholders/community partners AND to the general population? ✓ Were the eight pieces of evidence needed to meet the activity provided? ✓ Possible Interview Questions: How are the partners and stakeholders identified as such? How did the agency reach out to the target audiences?

BENCHMARK 2

Reporting and Collecting Data

This benchmark continues the monitoring of health status and assesses the department's collection and use of health data. This benchmark calls for the health department to collaborate with providers to report and collect health-related events and data. There are four activities under this benchmark.

The documentation for the activities under this benchmark may include confidential information. It is acceptable to have logs, reports or other documentation that will include patient names or other protected information. Site visitors are responsible for signing the department's confidentiality agreement and are accountable for holding all information in confidence. The department may also make copies of documentation and black out personal or individual information.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services
Function: Assessment
Essential Service 1: Monitor health status to identify and solve community health problems.
Benchmark 2: The local health department shall work with health care providers in the community to report reportable diseases and other health-related events and data.
Activity 2.1: The local health department shall collect local vital records of births and deaths and transmit them to the Division.
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of vital record keeping
INTERPRETATION Intent This is a mandated activity, both in statute and administrative code. All local health departments are required to collect the data on births and deaths for all residents of their county. This activity requires the department to collect local vital records of deaths. Then the department is to transmit those records to NCDPH. Guidance The department must provide evidence that demonstrates recordkeeping. Site visitors will review the documentation for births and deaths. The department may have this documentation as a part submitted documentation, it may be available upon request, or evidence may be reviewed while on a tour of the facility.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If vital records are not maintained in a district-wide manner, then evidence of record keeping should be provided for each county in the district.
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. As many as needed to demonstrate solid evidence.
SVT Review and Guiding Questions ✓ Did the LHD have a method for vital record keeping?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assessment</p> <p>Essential Service 1: Monitor health status to identify and solve community health problems.</p>
<p>Benchmark 2: The local health department shall work with health care providers in the community to report reportable diseases and other health-related events and data.</p>
<p>Activity 2.2: The local health department shall report annual childhood immunization data as required by statute and rule.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Most recent Annual Immunization Assessment Report conducted by NCDPH</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Immunizations are one of the great public health successes and are a key prevention strategy used to improve the health of our citizens. Immunizing children is a mandated action for all providers. Part of the legal requirement is to report the vaccines given to children on a regular basis. This activity requires the department to report annual childhood immunization data to NCDPH.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation for this activity is to provide the most recent Annual Immunization Assessment Report. This document is provided to the LHD by the NCDPH Women’s & Children’s Health Section, Immunization Branch. The LHD should use the latest copy present as evidence that they are reporting immunization data. The activity only addresses LHD immunization reporting- there is no requirement or responsibility for overall county or other provider reporting. Also, the activity is not about a specified vaccination level or rate, only that the reporting is complete for the vaccines administered by the department, regardless of the number done.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If one Annual Immunization Assessment is not conducted for the district as a whole, then an Annual Immunization Assessment Report should be provided for each county.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <p>✓ Was the most recent Annual Immunization Assessment Report provided?</p>

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assessment</p> <p>Essential Service 1: Monitor health status to identify and solve community health problems.</p>
<p>Benchmark 2: The local health department shall work with health care providers in the community to report reportable diseases and other health-related events and data.</p>
<p>Activity 2.3: The local health department shall collect reports of communicable diseases and other reportable health conditions from community health care providers and transmit them to the Division.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Evidence of reporting via NCEDSS all reports received by the Local Health Department within the past 12 months</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity measures the department’s ability to collect reports of communicable diseases and conditions from health care providers in the community. It also requires that the department transmit those reports to DPH. The reporting of communicable diseases is a mandated activity and one of the core regulatory functions for public health – preventing and stopping the spread of communicable diseases. NC Administrative Code lists the reportable diseases that must be transmitted to the NC Division of Public Health. By tracking incidence of communicable disease, a LHD can gain understanding of efforts, education or resources needed to improve the health of the community.</p> <p>Guidance There is one (1) piece of evidence required to meet this activity: a copy of the “de-identified event line list” from the North Carolina Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NCEDSS) covering the past 12 months.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If reports are not for the district as a whole, the reports for each county should be provided.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions ✓ Was an NCEDSS de-identified event line list for the past 12 months provided?</p>

Standard: Agency Core Functions And Essential Services

Function: Assessment

Essential Service 1: Monitor health status to identify community health problems.

Benchmark 2: The local health department shall work with health care providers in the community to report reportable diseases and other health-related events and data.

Activity 2.4: The local health department shall analyze and note reportable events occurring within the community and shall report atypical incidence, if any, to the Division and the local board of health.

Documentation:

- A. For each year since the previous site visit, evidence of an internal process reflecting analysis
AND
- B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of having reported atypical incidence to NCDPH
AND
- C. For each year since the previous site visit, evidence of report to the board of health on local disease incidence and trends

INTERPRETATION

Intent

This activity relates to applying the state legal requirement for reportable diseases to the local community; collection of data is not sufficient without local analysis and action. Thus, the department has a duty to take reports of communicable disease, look at the incidence and note aspects that may need to be communicated to stakeholders or the public. While reports to NCDPH are required, the board of health must be involved when the reports indicate a disease or level that is outside the normal incidence. This activity requires the department to analyze reportable events that occur within their jurisdiction and report any atypical incidence to NCDPH and to the board of health.

Guidance

Departments should collect and provide evidence throughout the time since the previous site visit. The department must provide documentation that demonstrates some type of internal process (that is within the department) reflecting annual analysis. There should be some kind of analysis being done, however, the analysis may not indicate any atypical situation.

Another documentation component is to provide evidence that the department has reported any atypical findings to the appropriate state agency. The definition of "atypical" may vary by local health department- for one agency, five cases of gonorrhea may be atypical, whereas in another, that would be "normal." A case of legionellosis would be atypical in any agency. There is no required process or method to do this and it can involve any means of communication- such as emails, phone calls, record of conversations with state consultants, written correspondence, or postings on a list serve or web site. Whatever the means, the documentation should include both what information was sent and how the information was sent. It is expected that an agency will observe an atypical incidence of an event at least once during a four year period and thus, agencies are required to provide evidence of communication with NCDPH on an atypical event for any time since the previous site visit.

The final piece of documentation is to demonstrate that an annual report is presented or distributed to the board of health on local disease incidence and trends. The report should contain an overall assessment of the disease incidence and trends for either the calendar or fiscal year. The department must provide evidence that it has presented annual data for every year since the previous site visit. This documentation should include the meeting minutes for the BOH meeting at which the report was presented and a copy of the report, summary or presentation that was shared with board members. This activity does not require any action by the BOH, only that the information is shared with them.

<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>This activity requires presentation to the local board of health- if the board of county commissioners or the consolidated human services board has assumed the duties of the board of health, then this presentation must be made to them (not an advisory committee on health).</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 4 B. 1 C. 4</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Did the agency utilize an internal, annual process to analyze reportable disease data? ✓ Was atypical incidence reported to NCDPH at least once since the previous site visit? ✓ Was a report on local disease incidence and trends presented to the board of health at least annually?

BENCHMARK 3

Data Use Capacity

There is a huge amount of public health data available to, and even generated by, public health departments. Staff need to have the skills necessary to put that data to work for them. To do this, staff will need training and the appropriate equipment. This benchmark assesses the department's capacity to be able to work with data. The ability to collect, analyze and use data is an important element in being able to monitor health status and properly conduct assessment activities. There are two activities in this benchmark.

Standard: Agency Core Functions And Essential Services Function: Assessment Essential Service 1: Monitor health status to identify and solve community health problems.
Benchmark 3: The local health department shall maintain skills and capacity to collect, manage, integrate and display health-related data.
Activity 3.1: The local health department shall assure agency staff has expertise and training to collect, manage, integrate and display health-related data.
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of relevant expertise and/or training on collecting, managing, integrating and displaying health-related data for at least one (1) individual
INTERPRETATION Intent Assessment, and the future programming and policy that comes from it, must be based on accurate data. The LHD must build proficiency to gather and scrutinize that data, thus drawing valid conclusions on the meaning of the information. Individuals involved in carrying out this task for the department must be trained and will serve as a resource for all staff. This activity requires the department to assure that agency staff has the expertise and training needed to collect, manage, integrate and display health-related data. Guidance The documentation for this activity is to provide evidence that at least one staff member has the expertise and/or training needed to collect, manage, integrate and display health-related data. While it is acceptable for collection and analysis of data to be accomplished through a contract process or use of a county department, there still should be some health department staff who are trained to handle data. While the processing of data may be done outside the department, staff must be familiar with the components outlined in the activity to understand the work of a contractor. This activity is asking for evidence (e.g. transcript or certificate(s) of course completion) that the agency has someone trained in <u>all four elements</u> of health data – collecting the data, managing the data, integrating the data and displaying the data - and the responsibilities, expertise or training of those listed for each of the four areas. Actual training materials do not need to be provided. There are no specific skills linked with the words “collect, manage, integrate and display”. This is meant to encompass the full range of working with data – from gathering data to final presentation of what the data means. Generally, these four components can be defined as: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Data collection – the process of pulling together information regarding a specific issue or subject• Data management – the process of handling information as a resource

- Data integration – the process of taking various sources of information and providing a united understanding
- Data display – the process of presenting data so that it accurately represents the issue

The person(s) responsible for this activity should be provided in some way and can be listed on one document with a description of their responsibilities. Based on the size of the agency, the same person may have multiple responsibilities for data handling. The LHD does not have to provide individual job descriptions for staff members who are to possess the expertise and training required by this activity. The documentation is asking for evidence that the expertise and training is present in the department, not that data handling duties have been assigned.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

None

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

Though it is preferable that public health staff have relevant expertise/training on health data, non-public health Human Services staff may also assist in meeting the requirements for this activity as long as there is also documentation provided that they are regularly available to assist public health staff as needed.

Pieces of Evidence Required

A. 4 (or less if one training/course clearly meets more than one of the four required components)

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Was there evidence of relevant expertise and/or training at least one (1) individual?
 - ✓ Can the department demonstrate staff expertise and training in all four areas of data management?
- ✓ For each individual named, was there a record of expertise or training?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions And Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assessment</p> <p>Essential Service 1: Monitor health status to identify and solve community health problems.</p>
<p>Benchmark 3: The local health department shall maintain skills and capacity to collect, manage, integrate and display health-related data.</p>
<p>Activity 3.2: The local health department shall conduct an annual evaluation of the agency’s data system (hardware and software) and plans for upgrades to improve the accessibility, quality and utilization of health data.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. For each year since the previous site visit, evidence of evaluation of the data system <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of an updated plan for improving the accessibility, quality and utilization of health data
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>A part of the capacity to handle health data is to have the proper data system. The equipment is as important as the skills of the user. This activity is asking the LHD to assess and plan for information technology improvements to produce better health data and easier access to that data. Thus the department is to conduct an annual evaluation of the agency’s data system and develop and/or update a plan for improving the accessibility, quality, and utilization of health data.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>The data system evaluation includes looking at both hardware and software in the agency. The overall goal of the evaluation process is to improve the access, quality and use of data. The annual evaluation can look at such things as the numbers of hardware and software, age, models, system compatibility, links to county or state networks, etc.</p> <p>Another required element is to provide evidence of planning for improvement. This will link to the evaluation findings. The plan may be a part of the department’s quality improvement process, part of an inventory replacement plan, part of another agency plan, or may be a stand-alone plan. The plan should be updated at least once since the previous site visit and should demonstrate responsiveness to annual evaluations of the data system. All plans and evaluations of the data system must apply to the health department as a whole, not only to individual divisions/areas or excluding certain divisions/areas.</p> <p>The department must provide evidence of annual evaluation of the data system conducted for each year since the previous site visit. There should be four evaluations available to site visitors (one for each year since the last site visit). The evaluation does not have to follow any specified process and the plan does not have to follow any specified format.</p> <p>This activity relates to conditions that are under the control of the LHD, such as purchase of hardware and software, upgrading IT equipment and the ability to access the internet. For any LHD that is linked to a state or county information system, the department is not responsible for needed upgrades or changes that are beyond their local control, but will want to let the state or county know of upgrades or changes they feel are needed based on their evaluation. However, this should still be noted in the evaluation report. There may also be purchasing or programming requirements for the type of computer equipment that must be used that is determined by a county IT office. If the type of equipment used and any approvals for upgrades must go through that county department, that should be noted in the evaluation report and plan.</p>

<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>If one assessment is not conducted for the district as a whole, then an assessment for each county and subsequent evidence of planning for improvement should be provided.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>A plan for the Human Services agency as a whole may be submitted, but must incorporate all of the required elements listed here.</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 4</p> <p>B. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Were copies of each annual evaluation of the data system since the previous site visit provided? ✓ Was there evidence of planning for improvement over the past four years?

BENCHMARK 4

Conducting Surveillance

It is important to monitor and assess to collect the data needed to identify issues and needs in the community. With the expertise to do this comes the expertise and capacity to use that data to examine hazards. This benchmark, made up of 3 activities, moves into the second essential service of public health – being able to diagnose and investigate the health problems and hazards that are in the community served. Benchmark four measures the department’s ability to conduct surveillance activities. With the data from surveillance, the department should have the capacity to assess, investigate and analyze the identified problem, threat and hazards. This will include the development and maintenance of epidemiological expertise.

Standard: Agency Core Functions And Essential Services Function: Assessment Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.
Benchmark 4: The local health department shall engage in surveillance activities and assess, investigate and analyze health problems, threats and hazards, maintaining and using epidemiological expertise.
Activity 4.1: The local health department shall assure that a surveillance system is in place for identifying health problems, threats and hazards that occur in the community.
Documentation: A. Policies and procedures describing the agency’s community surveillance system AND B. A current list of surveillance system participants AND C. Three examples of evidence of communication with surveillance participants within the past 12 months
INTERPRETATION Intent This activity requires the department to assure that a surveillance system is in place for identifying health problems, threats and hazards that occur in the community. Public health surveillance is an important role for all health departments. Good surveillance and investigation will help the department correctly diagnose a situation and will lead to better response. Health problems and threats will range from on-going communicable disease outbreaks to intentional hazards or threats. The purpose for this activity is to assure that the jurisdiction being served is protected from health threats and that the system is functioning. Guidance There are three components to the documentation for this activity. The first is the policies and procedures that describe the community surveillance system used by the department. This may be located in several policies, such as for communicable disease and preparedness, or may be a single policy. The second piece of evidence is to provide a list of surveillance system participants. This should be based on the policies and procedures described above. Policy should define the agencies and positions that participate in the surveillance network. This list of surveillance system participants includes the names and contact information for the individuals associated with the agencies/positions in the policy. This could include school nurses, various medical providers, and the local emergency management director. For example, the local hospital emergency department may play a role in the surveillance system. The hospital ED would be on the list along with the contact person the department

communicates with regarding surveillance. The list will also include department staff who participate in the system, such as the lead communicable disease nurse.

The final piece of evidence required is to provide three examples of evidence of agency communication to participants concerning surveillance within the past year. The evidence must demonstrate communication from the department to the surveillance participants and must also be about their role in and/or the work of the surveillance system. The examples do not have to be of three different methods of communication, just three separate examples of communication with participants. Note that “participants” is plural- the communication should reflect that it is with multiple or all participants; hence, three examples of communication with the same individual would not be accepted.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

None

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

None

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1
- C. 3

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Were the policies and procedures describing the department’s community surveillance system provided?
- ✓ Was a list of surveillance system participants provided?
- ✓ Were there three examples of communication to participants concerning surveillance that was dated within the past 12 months?
- ✓ Possible Interview Question: If on the surveillance list, ask how the department communicates with them about surveillance.

Standard: Agency Core Functions And Essential Services

Function: Assessment

Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.

Benchmark 4: The local health department shall engage in surveillance activities and assess, investigate and analyze health problems, threats and hazards, maintaining and using epidemiological expertise.

Activity 4.2: The local health department shall monitor exposure to environmental health risks.

Documentation:

- A. For each year since the previous site visit, an individual or summary report monitoring exposure to environmental health risks, including evidence of notification and actions taken as required by General Statute

INTERPRETATION

Intent

Surveillance is not only to be conducted on individuals and disease rates but also on the various factors that can cause disease and impact the health of the community. Environmental factors play a role in the status of both community and individual health. This activity is to verify that environmental health issues are consistently monitored and data collected as it requires the department to monitor exposure to environmental health risks.

Guidance

The required Individual or Summary reports must come from the following:

- well sampling,
- on-site wastewater survey,
- childhood blood lead levels,
- a meth lab investigation,
- food and lodging inspections,
- general inspection data,
- FDA Standard 9 Risk Factor Study,
- water quality monitoring, or
- air quality monitoring.

The report can be of an individual incident or case or can be a summary of multiple samples in a survey or project. For example, an individual report could detail findings from a single well in a neighborhood survey whereas the summary report would compile the results from the full sample.

There is no required format or content for the reports. A model or required method for collecting and recording this data on environmental factors and health risks has not been described. The department should seek the best format for producing a report that is concise, understandable, useable and leads to follow-up as required.

The department must provide an individual or summary report monitoring exposure to environmental health risks for each year since the previous site visit. There should be four reports (1 per year) representing different environmental risks. For example, individual well sampling reports cannot be provided for all four years- a diverse set of report types should be selected for documentation. Along with the reports, the department is to provide evidence of any required actions that were taken based on the report. This may be based on the case, on a program protocol, or on the policies and procedures for surveillance required in Activity 4.1. **Reports that are not associated with needed action taken (such as a negative water sample) should not be provided.**

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 4
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Were individual or summary reports for each year since the previous site visit provided? ✓ Did the reports demonstrate monitoring exposure to environmental health risks? ✓ Was there evidence of actions taken on a report requiring follow-up? ✓ Possible Interview Question: How are environmental health risks monitored? How is follow up action conducted and recorded?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions And Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assessment</p> <p>Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.</p>
<p>Benchmark 4: The local health department shall engage in surveillance activities and assess, investigate and analyze health problems, threats and hazards, maintaining and using epidemiological expertise.</p>
<p>Activity 4.3: The local health department shall have access to, and consult with a Masters or Doctoral level epidemiologist when necessary to fully investigate and diagnose health problems and hazards within the community.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Current contract and/or job description for local personnel OR evidence of availability for consultation with state epidemiologists</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of consultation with an epidemiologist</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity goes further than the NACCHO Operational Definition, but is in keeping with the matrix. It is intended that all NC residents benefit from a well-prepared epidemiologist and therefore are assured of sound direction in each health department when investigating or monitoring health problems or threats. This activity requires the department to have access to an epidemiologist to completely investigate and diagnose health problems and hazards within the community.</p> <p>Guidance The department must provide evidence that the department is being served by an epidemiologist in one of two ways. One, there can be a current contract with a local or regional epidemiologist and/or a job description for an epidemiologist on staff. The activity requires the epidemiologist to be of a Masters or Doctoral level. If contracting or employing an epidemiologist locally, this should be part of the criteria and the individual will need to supply documentation that they meet the criteria. A contract for a local or regional epidemiologist can be either for full-time assistance or as needed using an “on-call” process. Two, the department can provide evidence of the availability for consultation with state level epidemiologists.</p> <p>The department must also provide evidence of consultation with an epidemiologist (either on-staff, contract, or with the state) since the last site visit. Evidence of consultation could include documentation on assistance in a response or outbreak, for training and exercises, or for other aspects of the investigation and diagnosis of health problems and hazards.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p>B. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was there evidence of consultation with an epidemiologist? ✓ Was there either evidence of consultation with a state epidemiologist, or a contract or job description provided for a local epidemiologist? ✓ Possible Interview Question: How does the department obtain services from an epidemiologist?

BENCHMARK 5

Notifications & Health Alerts

This benchmark focuses on preparedness activities related to the health department receiving from and sending health alerts to providers, responders, media and the community at large. The ability to do this at any time of day is important for the health department to be able to properly investigate and respond to a health problem or hazard. The activities under this benchmark are to assure that the local health department is in a state of readiness to respond to a local health threat.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services
Function: Assessment
Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.
Benchmark 5: The local health department shall establish and maintain a system to receive and provide health alerts and public health response for health care providers, emergency responders, and communities on a 24-hour-a-day, 7-day-a-week basis.
Activity 5.1: The local health department shall have a system in place to receive reports of communicable diseases or other public health threats on a 24-hour-a-day, 7-day-a-week basis.
Documentation: <ul style="list-style-type: none">A. Copy of Notification Protocol from local Public Health Preparedness and Response Plans (or equivalent) OR written policies and procedures regarding after-hours calls <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">B. Current after-hours call list <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">C. For each year since the previous site visit, evidence of distribution and education of partners to the most current notification protocol
INTERPRETATION
Intent <p>This activity requires the health department to have some type of notification system so that the department is able to receive- and respond to- reports of any type of public health threat. The department must be able to receive the reports at any hour of any day.</p>
Guidance <p>The department must provide evidence of the system that is in place to receive reports. The department can provide a copy of the protocol or the written policies and procedures used by the department to receive reports. The protocols can be a part of the department's preparedness and response plan, other plan or manual, or a stand- alone protocol or policy. Though the focus is on how the agency receives reports after normal business hours (even if all calls go initially to a 911 Call Center), the protocol or policy should define how the department receives reports of public health threats any time of day. In addition, the department should provide the current after-hours call (or call-down) list. This list contains the names and contact information for employees who will receive, act on, or forward any reports or information received that describes a public health threat of some kind. As potential public health threats are so diverse in nature, employees included on the list should also be diverse- including those working in communicable disease, environmental health, and emergency response. Current means the list provided is up-to-date with the correct and most recent contact information. If a name is on the list, that person should be employed with the agency and know his/her role in the call down list.</p> <p>Lastly, departments must provide evidence to demonstrate that the notification protocol has been distributed to partners and they have been educated on the call-down process and how the list is to be used. This distribution should be focused on education on the process and how to reach the health department in an emergency, not simply a test of the ability to contact partners on the list. As partners</p>

are added over time and individuals in key positions often change, distribution and education should be frequent; therefore, annual evidence of distribution and education of partners to the most current notification protocol is required.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 B. 1 C. 4
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was a copy of Notification Protocol from local Public Health Preparedness and Response Plans (or equivalent), OR written policies and procedures regarding after-hours calls provided? ✓ Was a copy of the <u>current</u> after-hours call list provided? ✓ Was there evidence of distribution and education of partners to the most current notification protocol for each year since the previous site visit?

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services

Function: Assessment

Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.

Benchmark 5: The local health department shall establish and maintain a system to receive and provide health alerts and public health response for health care providers, emergency responders, and communities on a 24-hour-a-day, 7-day-a-week basis.

Activity 5.2: The local health department shall use two or more methods to disseminate health alerts and other advisories on real or potential disease threats, as they occur, to the local medical community, including pharmacists and veterinarians.

Documentation:

A. Health Alert communication plan

AND

B. Medical provider contact list (to include pharmacists and veterinarians)

AND

C. Since the previous site visit, evidence of two disseminations of alerts by multiple methods

INTERPRETATION

Intent

This activity requires that the department use multiple methods to distribute health alerts and other advisories to the local medical community. This can be either a threat that has been identified or could be a potential threat that could affect the community. The activity also should define a process for this distribution since if the health department does not have a method to notify all affected persons or groups of the local medical community, the intent could not be met. More than one method must be included to assure availability and ability to reach the medical community in a timely manner. This activity is intended to reach the health professions in the community served by the LHD. They may be, and in some instances must be, involved in the response to the problem or threat.

Guidance

The activity is not addressing the kind or type of alert or notification. It is measuring whether the department has a process to do so. The activity requires that the department provide the Health Alert communication plan it is using to distribute health alerts or advisories. This plan may be a part of the department's preparedness and response plan, another plan or manual or may be a stand-alone plan. It must be current and reflect the process used by the department to distribute alerts.

Also, the department must provide the medical provider contact list and the list must include pharmacists and veterinarians. The list should be current with names and contact information (phone, fax, and/or email needed based on the health alert communication plan requirements). The list should be as current as is known by the department with new providers added, and providers no longer practicing in the jurisdiction of the department removed, as soon as is known.

Lastly, the department must provide evidence of two distributions of alerts by multiple methods (two or more) since the previous site visit. Multiple methods means that the alert must be sent via multiple communication mechanisms- such as email, fax, phone call, text message, or mail. **The alert may be sent to all participants via two different methods (i.e. email and follow-up phone call) or the alert may be sent to different partners by different mechanisms (i.e. for one alert, faxes are sent to four veterinarian offices who prefer that communication channel while emails are sent to three veterinarians who prefer that channel).** The use of multiple methods must be documented for each alert dissemination provided as evidence.

<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p>B. 1</p> <p>C. 4</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was a Health Alert communication plan provided? ✓ Was a medical provider contact list provided? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Did the list include pharmacists and veterinarians? ✓ Is the listing current by date on the document? ✓ Was there evidence of two or more disseminations of alerts by multiple methods since the previous site visit?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assessment</p> <p>Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.</p>
<p>Benchmark 5: The local health department shall establish and maintain a system to receive and provide health alerts and public health response for health care providers, emergency responders, and communities on a 24-hour-a-day, 7-day-a-week basis.</p>
<p>Activity 5.3: The local health department shall provide health alerts or advisories to the news media to inform the public when disease outbreaks or other potential public health threats occur.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Agency crisis communication plan, or communication/media plan</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of two alerts or advisories to the news media</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent The plans, policies, and procedures from this benchmark should complement each other. The communication plan and preparedness plan must tie together the many efforts of the LHD to notify the community of public health threats. This activity requires that the department provide health alerts or advisories to the news media. This is done to inform the full community when there is a disease outbreak or other identified or potential public health threat. This assures that the community receives information on the health problem or threat that is accurate and reliable.</p> <p>Guidance The department must provide a copy of the crisis communication plan or communication/media plan. This may be a part of the department’s preparedness and response plan, other plan or manual, or a stand-alone document. There is no required content or protocols that must be followed, but the plan should provide a process whereby the department notifies local media about public health conditions or threats. While the plan may be very comprehensive and address how requests from the media are handled (more appropriately addressed in Activity 9.4), the focus of this activity is on getting information to the media.</p> <p>The department should provide evidence of two alerts or advisories that have been distributed to news media since the previous site visit. It is expected that departments will have the need to distribute some type of alert or advisory during the time period allowed (four years).</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p>B. 2</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was an agency crisis communication plan or communication/media plan provided? ✓ Was there evidence of two or more alerts or advisories since the previous site visit? ✓ Possible Interview Question: How are alerts or advisories distributed to news media?

BENCHMARK 6

Preparedness

This benchmark is a companion to benchmark 5 and continues the activities that ensure that the second essential service is being delivered by the health department. The benchmark has three activities that relate to how the department prepares and responds to a public health emergency. The department must do this through collaborative planning and by exercising those plans to be able to be continuously ready to respond.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services
Function: Assessment
Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.
Benchmark 6: The local health department shall be able to respond to a public health emergency on a 24-hour-a-day, 7-day-a-week basis.
Activity 6.1: The local health department shall be involved in a local emergency planning committee.
Documentation: A. Evidence confirming agency involvement in local emergency planning committee (or equivalent) within the past 12 months. If an LEPC does not exist or is currently inactive, provide evidence which indicates efforts have been made to request an LEPC be formed or become active
INTERPRETATION Intent Planning is necessary to assure that the health department is prepared to respond to an emergency. Since response is usually a coordinated effort and will not be the health department's sole responsibility, planning must be done on an inter-agency basis. The department is required to be involved as a member of the local emergency planning committee. This activity addresses the verification of this actually being done and shows the LHD's involvement with other response agencies. Guidance The department must provide some type of documentation that demonstrates that the health department is involved in the local emergency planning committee. The committee does not have to have this name but must function in a like capacity. The documentation can be minutes of meetings showing attendance and participation by the health department. Other documentation can be assigned responsibilities, work documents of the group, or group exercise records. The health department does not have to lead, chair, facilitate or convene the group but must be a member and must be involved. The health department may involve the local emergency planning committee in departmental planning or exercises to meet this activity. This activity is a companion to Activity 7.5 that requires the health director to be involved with the local emergency planning committee. There is no required level of activity or regular meetings that the local emergency planning committee must maintain. If the committee is inactive, there should be current (within the past year) documentation from the health department encouraging or requesting for the local emergency planning committee to be active and/or stating the LHD's willingness to be an active participant.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If documentation is not provided for the district as a whole, districts should provide evidence of involvement – contact, membership, attendance, or participation – with all active local emergency planning committees in the district. The evidence should demonstrate that the department is engaged with preparedness and response activities throughout the district.

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies Though other Human Services staff and/or programs (such as social services) may also participate in the local emergency planning committee, this activity requires involvement by public health staff, specifically.
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions ✓ Were minutes or documents confirming agency involvement in a local emergency planning committee provided?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assessment</p> <p>Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.</p>
<p>Benchmark 6: The local health department shall be able to respond to a public health emergency on a 24-hour-a-day, 7-day-a-week basis.</p>
<p>Activity 6.2: The local health department shall have a defined role in the county emergency operations plan to protect the public's health.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Copy of County/Counties Emergency Operations Plan(s) with the local health department role defined and current. If the county emergency operations plan has not been revised since the previous site visit to reflect the health department's current role, provide evidence which indicates efforts have been made to request such updates within the past 24 months</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent The LHD has a role to fulfill in emergency response. This may be determined by statute, be delegated to the agency or be by local consent and agreement. The county must have a coordinated plan with specific roles and responsibilities defined. The department shall have a defined role in the county emergency operations plan to protect the public's health. This assures the residents that the complexities of daily life will be addressed in the event of an emergency. Clarity and specificity are the major characteristics that must be included.</p> <p>Guidance The department must provide a copy of County/Counties Emergency Operations Plan(s) (EOP). The local health department role within the plan(s) must be defined and current. The plan should define the role of the health department and should assign specific responsibilities. The department may provide only the pages that have duties for the health department. However, the full plan should be available for reference.</p> <p>The writing or updating of the County EOP is usually not under the direction of the LHD. If this is true and the EOP has not been revised since the previous site visit, the LHD should show evidence that its responsibilities and roles are current and up-to-date or that a request was made within the past 24 months to update the plan. Whenever the county emergency operations plan is out-of-date and has not been revised to reflect the current roles and responsibilities of the health department, the department should provide evidence demonstrating it has made efforts to request the plan be updated. This can be through email to the responsible agency or individual, can be a phone call if properly logged, or can be written correspondence which indicates the sections of the plan that are out-of-date.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments Districts should provide a copy of each county's Emergency Operations Plan reflecting the role of the public health agency.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was a copy of the County/Counties Emergency Operations Plan(s) provided? ✓ Was the local health department role defined and current? ✓ Was the county emergency operations plan current? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ If not, was there evidence which indicates efforts have been made to request updates?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assessment</p> <p>Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.</p>
<p>Benchmark 6: The local health department shall be able to respond to a public health emergency on a 24-hour-a-day, 7-day-a-week basis.</p>
<p>Activity 6.3: The local health department shall participate in regional emergency preparedness exercises and activities.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, record of local agency participation in regional or multi-county trainings, planning meetings, or exercises</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent A public health emergency may occur on a regional or multi-county basis and the response can be improved by training and preparation that involves more than one county. This activity requires the department to participate in regional emergency preparedness exercises and related activities as much of North Carolina’s public health emergency response requires a regional or multi-county response. This activity reviews the involvement of the local health department in planning, training, and exercising.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation is for the department to provide record of local agency participation in regional or multi-county trainings, planning meetings, or exercises. This can be record of any type of regional activity that reflect public health preparedness on a regional level. Documentation can be training agendas with sign-in sheets, meeting minutes of planning meetings, or after action reports from exercises that indicate agency involvement. The documentation must show involvement of more than one county as participants.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments Districts, though they themselves encompass multiple counties by definition, must demonstrate participation with additional regional or multi-county public health partners.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <p>✓ Were records of local agency participation in regional or multi-county trainings, planning meetings, or exercises provided?</p>

BENCHMARK 7

Epidemiological Response

This benchmark assesses the department's ability to respond to an outbreak or hazard through epidemiological investigations. The seven activities measure how a department executes its epidemiological protocols. The goal is to have the capacity to rapidly discover and contain any disease outbreaks or threats. This benchmark applies to any type of identified and potential threat including both biological and environmental factors.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services
Function: Assessment
Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.
Benchmark 7: The local health department shall maintain and implement epidemiological case investigation protocols providing for rapid detection and containment of communicable disease outbreaks; environmental health hazards; potential biological, chemical and radiological threats.
Activity 7.1: The local health department shall have epidemiological case investigation protocols in place.
Documentation: A. Current policies and protocols AND B. Written protocols and/or guidelines to guide epidemiological practice
INTERPRETATION Intent This activity requires the department to have epidemiological case investigation protocols. Epidemiological investigations are a basic of public health and the agency must have the policies and procedures to be followed to conduct a proper and thorough investigation. Case investigations will include a case definition, procedures for collecting data, and specific information needed based on given criteria. The correct protocol must be followed to assure a correct diagnosis of the problem and to give structure to the response by the LHD. Guidance The documentation for this activity contains two parts. The first component is the case investigation policies used by the agency. These policies may be developed by the department or may be adapted from state-provided recommendations. Generally the policies will provide an overall approach to case investigation while the written protocols will address individual diseases, infectious agents or pathogens. The policies must be current- that is they must be adopted, in-use, and up-to-date, reflecting the practice that is being used in the agency. The second component is the written protocols and/or guidelines for epidemiological practice. They must be written- that is all aspects of the protocol must be clearly and fully defined in writing. There should not be any steps or actions that are word of mouth or added to the written process. Generally, the protocols will address the specified investigation practices for individual diseases and conditions. The policies and protocols may be a part of a clinical manual, communicable disease program manual or other operational manual. They must be accessible by staff at all times. They may be either electronic or hard copy. While the NC Communicable Disease Manual may be used by a department for the policies and protocols, there will need to be adaptations to reflect actual practice conducted by the department.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 B. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Were current case investigation policies provided?✓ Were written protocols and/or guidelines to guide epidemiological practice provided?✓ Possible Interview Question: Tell us how you use your epidemiological policies and protocols to investigate a disease outbreak.

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assessment</p> <p>Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.</p>
<p>Benchmark 7: The local health department shall maintain and implement epidemiological case investigation protocols providing for rapid detection and containment of communicable disease outbreaks; environmental health hazards; potential biological, chemical and radiological threats.</p>
<p>Activity 7.2: The local health department shall conduct communicable disease investigations, follow-up, documentation and reporting activities.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of an appropriately conducted, documented and reported outbreak investigation. If no activity, demonstrate knowledge by providing policy</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This is a follow-up to Activity 7.1. This activity states that the department will conduct communicable disease investigations along with the actions required. Investigations must be properly documented and reported. This can only be done if the policies and protocols are in place. Using the guidelines described and required in 7.1, staff must follow-up on all suspected and confirmed cases. This is done to follow law, policy and good public health practice whether in response to a potential or identified outbreak or to an individual case.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation requires evidence that demonstrates how the department conducted an epidemiological investigation. The investigation should be appropriately conducted (follows policy and protocol), fully documented and reported to local and state levels. An outbreak can be a single case of a condition or disease. The documentation can include either suspected or confirmed cases.</p> <p>Evidence of appropriate investigation may be multiple documents for an outbreak or it may be a comprehensive single report. The documentation should state the investigation background, methods used, any laboratory analysis, degree of the outbreak/disease incidence, response measures, and reporting.</p> <p>If there has been no outbreak activity since the last site visit, the department should provide evidence that it can properly investigate by providing the policies and protocols that would be used. This can be the same documentation that was provided in Activity 7.1. As disease investigation is one of the primary responsibilities of public health, it should be extremely rare to claim that no outbreak investigations have taken place.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was evidence provided that an outbreak investigation was appropriately conducted, documented and reported? ✓ If there were no investigations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was the policy and/or protocols provided? (See Activity 7.1.) ✓ Possible Interview Questions: Have there been any recent outbreak investigations? If so, how was the investigation conducted (or documented or reported)?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assessment Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.</p>
<p>Benchmark 7: The local health department shall maintain and implement epidemiological case investigation protocols providing for rapid detection and containment of communicable disease outbreaks; environmental health hazards; potential biological, chemical and radiological threats.</p>
<p>Activity 7.3: The local health department shall investigate and respond to environmental health complaints or referrals.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Since the previous site visit, complaint logs (site visitors will select a random year for review) with the following required: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ complaint reported (including name/location) ◦ date reported ◦ action taken in accordance with policy (including names(s) of staff who responded) ◦ date of action taken ◦ referral information if referred to another agency <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> B. Lab/investigation reports (where applicable) <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> C. Policy defining timely and appropriate action
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity requires the department to investigate and respond to environmental health complaints or referrals from another agency or division within the health department. Environmental complaints will reflect a wide variety of concerns. Any complaint or referral reported to the LHD should be recorded and investigated. Appropriate action can prevent an outbreak or can quickly end an issue before it escalates out of control. Having a process to record and follow-up on complaints is required and must be complete, maintained and reviewed.</p> <p>Guidance The log must allow for the following to be recorded: the complaint that is reported (including the name and location of the potential issue), the date the complaint was reported to the health department, what action was taken (including which agency staff responded), dates that action was taken and referral information if the complaint or follow-up was referred to another agency to handle. Other information may be recorded if desired, but the listed elements must be present. Complaints are to be handled and logs are to be kept in accordance with agency policy.</p> <p>The format of a log for documentation has not been defined. As long as the information required is present, and can be accessed by staff, it is acceptable. The complaint logs kept by the agency may be kept by calendar year or FY. The department should maintain complaint logs with required information for all years since the previous site visit. Logs may be pulled as a report from an electronic system or could be an agency-created spreadsheet. The logs do not have to be submitted with electronic evidence, but must be made available to the site visit team upon request. Depending upon the size of the agency, site visitors will randomly select a monthly or annual log for review at the site visit. This will be selected from the logs compiled since the previous site visit.</p> <p>Any reports associated with a complaint, such as investigation forms or lab reports, are not part of the log itself but are separate supporting documentation. For complaints that are reviewed by the SVT, this documentation shall be made available if requested. For example, they may request the documentation</p>

for a couple of the complaints listed on the log. The department must then provide any investigation notes, lab reports, complaint reports, etc., that is available on the complaint. Each complaint should have an investigation report, but all complaints may not have a lab report to accompany it.

There must be a policy that defines the timely and appropriate action that is to be taken when handling a complaint. The policy can be a stand-alone policy or part of a larger department or environmental health manual. The health department should ensure that the steps completed following a complaint are appropriate to the specific complaint and are listed in the policy.

The intent of this activity is not to ensure that every piece of documentation is properly written into the log, but that an investigation is conducted in accordance with policy. There will be instances when there has been loss of information or an item was not documented. These should be rare and missing information/documentation should not occur to the degree that would demonstrate a pattern of incomplete investigations. Anytime there is information missing from the log, site visitors should inquire to see if the information is contained in any case records. Minimal information missing from the log would not necessarily provoke a “not met,” but would be an opportunity for quality improvement.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

If one complaint log is not maintained for the district as a whole, then a complaint log should be provided for each county.

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

None

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. On-site review
- B. On-site review
- C. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Was there a complaint log for the **month or** year chosen since the previous site visit?
 - ✓ Did the log contain the required information?
- ✓ Were laboratory and/or investigation reports provided if requested?
 - ✓ Was there a policy defining timely and appropriate action?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assessment</p> <p>Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.</p>
<p>Benchmark 7: The local health department shall maintain and implement epidemiological case investigation protocols providing for rapid detection and containment of communicable disease outbreaks; environmental health hazards; potential biological, chemical and radiological threats.</p>
<p>Activity 7.4: The local health department shall have a public health preparedness and response plan that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • corresponds to existing local and state emergency and Bioterrorism plans • establishes roles and responsibilities of plan participants • identifies training for participants in those roles • establishes a chain of command among plan participants • describes a system of emergency notification to local and state public health staff and other key decision makers based upon the nature of the event, and • is available to staff on site
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Copy of the local public health preparedness and response plan(s)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, letter from Office of Public Health Preparedness and Response indicating that the plan(s) is accepted</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Evidence of availability to all staff</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent The plan is for a population wide event and must include all the elements listed. It is not possible to think of and remember all steps during a disaster and therefore plans must be in place. Having response plans in place is a requirement of Agreement Addendum.</p> <p>Guidance The primary documentation for this activity is a copy of the local public health preparedness and response plan(s). The documentation for this activity is similar to Activity 6.2. While 6.2 is asking for evidence that the agency is involved in the county response plan, this activity is asking for the preparedness/response plans for <i>public health</i> concerns. These plans may be separate plans (such as Medical Countermeasures or pandemic flu) or may be combined in an all-hazards type of plan. The plan may be given a title that is different from that listed in the activity (public health preparedness and response plan) and may be comprised by any number of separate plans. Regardless of the title of the plan(s), the documents must include the information that is listed in the first five bullets in the activity.</p> <p>As in 6.2, the LHD role may be integrated into the County EOP or may be a separate document or appendix. Whether combined or separate plans, all documentation should reflect current practice. If the county maintains the plan, the LHD is only responsible for maintenance of the sections referring to duties and responsibilities of the LHD. If the county plan is not current, the LHD should show that it has given corrections to the responsible county agency or person.</p> <p>The plan(s) may be hard copy or electronic. There is no required format or design that the documents must follow.</p> <p>The department must also provide a letter received from the Office of Public Health Preparedness and Response that will indicate that the plan(s) has been accepted by the Division</p>

~~of Public Health as required by Agreement Addendum. The Office of Public Health Preparedness and Response has established a process to review local plans to assure that the plan corresponds to existing state emergency and Bioterrorism plans.~~

The final piece of evidence is to demonstrate that the plan(s) are available to all staff. Plans can be in a central location, posted on a computer or intranet, or in multiple locations. Plans can be kept in a single office, but must be accessible at all times (the office cannot be locked). If there are multiple copies, all must be the same version and the most current available.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

None

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

None

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1+
- B. 1
- ~~C. 1~~

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Was a copy of the local public health preparedness and response plan(s) provided?
- ✓ Does the plan contain the five elements listed in the activity?
- ~~✓ Was a letter provided from Office of Public Health Preparedness and Response indicating that the plan(s) is accepted?~~
- ✓ Was there evidence of availability of the plan(s) to all staff?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assessment</p> <p>Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.</p>
<p>Benchmark 7: The local health department shall maintain and implement epidemiological case investigation protocols providing for rapid detection and containment of communicable disease outbreaks; environmental health hazards; potential biological, chemical and radiological threats.</p>
<p>Activity 7.5: The local health director shall maintain periodic communication with local emergency managers.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of on-going communication with Local Emergency Managers</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Evidence that Health Director, or designee, is an active member of a local emergency preparedness committee (LEPC). If an LEPC does not exist or is currently inactive, provide evidence which indicates efforts have been made to request an LEPC be formed or become active</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>This activity is a companion to Activity 6.1. Good relationships are a key component of the local cooperation and planning needed to respond to a local threat. Plans are only good and effective if they are used, tested and revised. This is partially accomplished through the communication required by this activity. This activity requires the health director to have continued communication with local emergency leaders, directors and managers. The health director is responsible for providing the agency's leadership, and keeping in contact with all the emergency managers of the county or district.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>The direct reference in the activity is to the county's emergency management (or services) director. However, in the activity, managers is plural and would also refer to communications through a LEPC with emergency managers for other institutions in the county or district, such as the hospital or school system.</p> <p>There must be evidence of communication with the Local Emergency Managers since the previous site visit. Evidence must demonstrate on-going (or regular means of) communication. On-going communication should be demonstrated by evidence of contact more than once per year. Evidence could be through meeting minutes or notes, correspondence, phone contact, email, or personal conversation. Documentation without a paper record (such as a conversation) would need to be listed on a log or in personal notes.</p> <p>Also, the department must provide evidence that the Health Director, or designee, is an active member of Local Emergency Preparedness Committee (LEPC), or equivalent. This can be through meeting agendas & minutes, attendance rosters or meeting materials. The local health director can delegate staff to serve on the LEPC or to represent the agency in communications with the LEM. If this is done, there should be some process to demonstrate that the communication is reported to the health director. The designee for the health director can be the preparedness coordinator or other representative to preparedness and response activities – and may be several employees of the LHD based upon area of responsibility. Being an active member implies regular participation so multiple examples should be provided.</p> <p>The LHD is only responsible for its own efforts in initiating or responding to communication. The LHD is not responsible when the others do not respond. If the committee is inactive, there</p>

~~should be current (within the past year) documentation from the health department encouraging or requesting for the local emergency planning committee to be active and/or stating the LHD's willingness to be an active participant.~~

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

Districts should show evidence of communication with Emergency Managers **relevant to each county.**

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

The human services director may serve as the representative to the Emergency Manager **and LEPC** as long as communication to the Emergency Manager includes discussion of public health-related issues. ~~Likewise, if the human services director or health director designates staff to fill the role of communication to the Emergency Manager and LEPC, the designee (or one of them if multiple) must be clearly responsible for public health activities.~~

Pieces of Evidence Required

A. 8+

~~B. 2+~~

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Was there evidence of **annual**, on-going communication with Local Emergency **Managers since the previous site visit?**
- ~~✓ Was there evidence that Health Director, or designee, is an active member of the local emergency preparedness committee?~~
- ✓ ~~If such a committee does not exist or is currently inactive, was there evidence of recent efforts to request that such a committee be formed or become active?~~

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assessment</p> <p>Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.</p>
<p>Benchmark 7: The local health department shall maintain and implement epidemiological case investigation protocols providing for rapid detection and containment of communicable disease outbreaks; environmental health hazards; potential biological, chemical and radiological threats.</p>
<p>Activity 7.6: The local health department shall annually test or implement the local public health preparedness and response plan.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. For each year since the previous site visit, record of annual exercises or execution of preparedness plan</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity requires the health department to (at least) test its local preparedness and response plan(s) sometime during each year. If a plan has had a major revision, a new plan written, or a new section has been added to an existing plan, the department should show implementation of the plan(s). An annual test of the local preparedness and response plan(s) is good practice. The role of annual plan exercises is to locate gaps in the plan and to revise local plans based on the results. This also prepares and develops the department’s readiness for future responses to hazards, threats and outbreaks.</p> <p>Guidance This activity is related to Activity 6.3. That activity required the department to participate in regional exercises. While this activity can be met through a regional exercise, it must test the department’s response plan(s). If using records from a regional exercise, the documentation must demonstrate how the department’s plan(s) were tested.</p> <p>The department must provide a record of at least one exercise for each year since the previous site visit. There is no specific requirement for what must be in the records, but they should demonstrate that the plan(s) were tested in some way. The exercise can be a drill, table-top, functional, or a full-scale exercise. It can be department only, or involve other response partners and the PPHR Regional Office.</p> <p>Instead of exercise documentation, evidence of the execution of response plan(s) (if the plan has been used by the department to respond to a real outbreak, threat or disaster) can be submitted. The evidence could be any records from the response, reports to the BOH or Commissioners, after action reports, or documentation from other materials. The evidence of execution is for each instance that the plan is implemented or used since the previous site visit.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If documentation is not provided for the district as a whole, evidence should show involvement of each county within the district.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies Execution or exercising of Human Services emergency plans may be submitted as long as public health-related activities are clearly demonstrated.</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 4+</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <p>✓ Was a record of exercises for each year since the previous site visit provided? OR ✓ Was there evidence of plan execution, if applicable?</p>
<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p>

<p>Function: Assessment</p> <p>Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.</p>
<p>Benchmark 7: The local health department shall maintain and implement epidemiological case investigation protocols providing for rapid detection and containment of communicable disease outbreaks; environmental health hazards; potential biological, chemical and radiological threats.</p>
<p>Activity 7.7: The local health department shall have one of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • public health preparedness and response coordination team with an environmental health member and a public health preparedness response coordinator, or • an epidemiology team with an environmental health member and an epidemiology team coordinator.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Current roster of team member names, titles and designated roles</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, evidence team is active</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent The LHD is to have a team in place that is responsible for preparedness, response and/or epidemiological activities. This activity specifies certain types of persons designated and trained for roles in the preparedness efforts of the LHD. The department must have either a public health preparedness and response coordination team or an epidemiology team responsible for response efforts. The team must have an environmental health member and an individual designated as the team coordinator.</p> <p>Guidance The department is to provide a roster of the type of team (preparedness and response or epidemiology team), the names of individuals serving on the team, their job titles, and their role on the team. The job title is their day-to-day title in the health department, not a team title. The designated role will define their responsibility as a team member.</p> <p>Departments may have both teams in their departments. Usually, in this case, the epidemiology team is a part of a larger preparedness team. In some instances, the epidemiology team will function or meet on a regular schedule and the preparedness and response team will meet when required by a specified response or disaster.</p> <p>The department must provide evidence that the team has been active since the previous site visit. Being an active team implies regular involvement so multiple examples should be provided. The evidence of team activity could be documentation from regular meetings, such as agendas & minutes, reports, outbreak investigation reports; could be team presentations or may be Board of Health discussions/reports or presentations recorded in minutes.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments District-wide teams may be utilized.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p>B. 2+</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Were team member names, titles and designated roles provided? ✓ Is there a coordinator named?

- ✓ Is there an environmental health member on the team?
- ✓ Was there evidence the team has been active since the previous site visit?

BENCHMARK 8

Laboratory Services

A vital building block in the ability of public health agencies to detect and investigate health problems, hazards and outbreaks is laboratory services that support programs and services. The public health laboratory provides testing that will confirm or refute a suspected type of threat. The role of the laboratory is to support the work of the agency in typical clinical or environmental work and services and to help support a rapid diagnosis and tracking in the event of an outbreak.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services
Function: Assessment
Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.
Benchmark 8: The local health department shall provide or have access to laboratory capacity capable of providing for rapid detection and containment of communicable disease outbreaks; environmental health hazards; potential biological, chemical and radiological threats.
Activity 8.1: The local health department shall have written policies and procedures for handling clinical and environmental laboratory samples.
Documentation: A. Policies and procedures
INTERPRETATION Intent For many years, good laboratory practice has required written protocols for laboratory procedures including how the integrity of samples is to be maintained. The validity of any laboratory result is tied to the quality of the sample. This activity is to ensure that the LHD laboratory has and follows written protocols related to the practice of proper sample handling. Guidance Whether tested in-house or sent to a reference laboratory, the health department must have proper handling technique for laboratory samples. This activity requires that the health department have policies, procedures or protocols for the handling of both clinical program and environmental program samples. The samples would include anything that will be tested by a laboratory. The protocols would relate to any specimens or samples that are handled in some way by the department. This could include collection, storage, transport, processing and testing. For testing that is done in-house, all aspects of sample handling must be in a written protocol. The department should maintain protocols either in compliance with the policy on policies or as directed by CLIA certification. If the department laboratory operates under the NC State Laboratory of Public Health Contract Program, the department must also follow any guidance required by that Program. For testing that is done by a reference laboratory, the health department must have a copy, or access to a copy, of any handling processes required by the reference laboratory. These protocols should be available from all external laboratories used by the department. Site visitors may check the protocols available against the list of external laboratories used by the department as listed in the following three activities (8.2, 8.3 & 8.4). If those activities cite a laboratory as being used or accessible to the LHD, then its protocols, in writing, must be a part of the documentation for this activity. Written policies, procedures and protocols can be web-based or accessed via computer. If this is the primary means of access, the laboratory must have a means of back-up that would be used should the computer system and web access not be available.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments
None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies
None
Pieces of Evidence Required
A. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Are laboratory policies and procedures available which detail the handling of laboratory samples – both clinical and environmental? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ If the protocols are web-based, what is the back-up method for access should the web be unavailable? ✓ Are there protocols for all reference laboratories used by the agency?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assessment</p> <p>Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.</p>
<p>Benchmark 8: The local health department shall provide or have access to laboratory capacity capable of providing for rapid detection and containment of communicable disease outbreaks; environmental health hazards; potential biological, chemical and radiological threats.</p>
<p>Activity 8.2: The local health department laboratory and external laboratories utilized by the local health department shall comply with all applicable federal regulations for clinical and environmental laboratory testing.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. List of laboratories used and their areas of certification, if other than the North Carolina State Laboratory of Public Health</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>There are varied levels of laboratory support across the state. To meet benchmark 8, all local health departments must have access to external testing at times. The LHD must be assured that laboratories used by the department are competent and follow regulatory guidelines and established practice. The Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments have been guiding laboratories for 20 years and any laboratory used by the LHD should be appropriately certified to provide testing. This activity is linked to the next two activities. While Activities 8.3 & 8.4 will show access to laboratories, this activity ensures that the laboratories used are properly certified.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>The activity requires the LHD to use laboratories that comply with federal regulations for testing. This evidence of compliance is shown through the local health department having the proper certifications for the services it provides as well as for any reference laboratory that is used. This compliance is for any type of testing that would be conducted under the authority or order of the health department. Clinical samples (derived from the human body) are covered by the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) of 1988. Certification can be given through the NC DHHS Division of Health Service Regulation or through a deemed agency such as COLA. Any laboratory used by the department, including its own, should be certified in specified areas of laboratory testing.</p> <p>Environmental testing is provided by state-based laboratories and private laboratories. There is no national environmental laboratory certification, but there is certification conducted by various states. If a lab used by a health department is based in a state that has a certification program for the lab, the lab should provide the certification to the department for documentation under this activity.</p> <p>The documentation is specific to external laboratories used by the department but also includes its own services and services provided by the NC State Laboratory of Public Health (NCSLPH). It is assumed that all local health departments in NC will use the services of the NCSLPH. Some departments operate under the NCSLPH and will be certified under a contract program.</p> <p>This activity only requires a listing of laboratories used and the areas of certification. Any laboratories listed in the following two activities must be on the list. Copies of laboratory certificates will be required in the following activity.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>If one list of labs is not maintained for the district as a whole, the list should include all labs used within each county.</p>

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Is there certification for the LHD laboratory?✓ What external laboratories does the LHD use?✓ Is there documentation of the areas of certification (not required for NCSLPH)?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assessment</p> <p>Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.</p>
<p>Benchmark 8: The local health department shall provide or have access to laboratory capacity capable of providing for rapid detection and containment of communicable disease outbreaks; environmental health hazards; potential biological, chemical and radiological threats.</p>
<p>Activity 8.3: The local health department shall provide or have access to laboratory services capable of meeting routine diagnostic and surveillance needs.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Current CLIA or other appropriate certificate for each laboratory used</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Current contract(s) or evidence of agreement with external laboratories, if other than the North Carolina State Laboratory of Public Health</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity requires that the local health department offer laboratory services – either through the department laboratory or access through an external laboratory - to provide the routine diagnostic and surveillance needs of programs and services.</p> <p>Guidance Routine diagnostic and surveillance needs would refer to typical daily activities of programs when not in an emergency or outbreak situation. This would include clinical and environmental programs, such as child health, lead screening, and well-water testing. There are two types of documentation required for this activity. The department should have the current CLIA or other appropriate certificate for each laboratory used, including its own. If the LHD operates more than one laboratory site, each location providing on-site testing (regardless of type – waived, moderate or complex) must have a separate CLIA certificate. These certificates should correlate with the list of laboratories provided in Activities 8.2 & 8.4.</p> <p>The department should also provide any current contract(s) with external laboratories, other than the North Carolina State Laboratory of Public Health. If there is a contract between the LHD and an external or reference laboratory, evidence of a current contract would be a copy of a contract, or renewal, within the past 12 months. Though an external lab may not require a contract, the LHD must still demonstrate a relationship with laboratories that would provide testing of samples for the LHD. If there is no specific contract, the health department should provide documentation from the lab, such as a letter, fax, email, or invoice showing their current relationship with the health department.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1+</p> <p>B. 1+</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Are CLIA certificates, or equivalent, available for all laboratory sites operated by the LHD? ✓ Are CLIA certificates, or equivalent, available for all laboratories used by the LHD (other than NCSLPH)?

- ✓ Are there any contract(s) or evidence of agreement with external laboratories, other than the North Carolina State Laboratory?
 - ✓ If so, are they current?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assessment</p> <p>Essential Service 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.</p>
<p>Benchmark 8: The local health department shall provide or have access to laboratory capacity capable of providing for rapid detection and containment of communicable disease outbreaks; environmental health hazards; potential biological, chemical and radiological threats.</p>
<p>Activity 8.4: The local health department shall provide or have access to laboratory services to support investigations of public problems, hazards, and emergencies.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Current protocols and guidelines regarding access to laboratory services</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity is related to Activity 8.3 in that it requires that the local health department offer laboratory services – either through the department laboratory or access through an external laboratory. However while Activity 8.3 was related to the routine diagnostic and surveillance needs of programs and services, this activity is about laboratory services for the support of investigations of public problems, hazards, and emergencies. Just as LHDs must use reference laboratories to help support clinical programs, most likely no LHD can provide the laboratory support needed during an outbreak or response situation. During an emergency, it is important for the LHD to be assured of access to testing of suspected disease agents and other aspects that support the investigation.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation for this activity is by protocol or guideline. The protocols and guidelines requested in this activity should directly address the agency’s ability to access laboratory services in the support of that agency’s investigation of public problems, hazards, and emergencies. This would be when the agency directly provides the needed services or where the agency must obtain the services from a reference laboratory. Protocols and guidelines would focus on, but not be limited to, access to the services of the laboratory and how the LHD obtains results and laboratory documentation (logs, report forms, etc.). This may be part of the protocols from Activity 8.1 (sample handling and shipping, testing procedures, etc.) or may be a stand-alone document.</p> <p>This access may be documented by contract, agreement, policy, guideline or protocol. The CLIA certificates for laboratories were required in Activity 8.3, so there is no need to produce them here. However, site visitors should check to ensure that if a relationship with a lab is defined for this activity, then the certificate should be in the documentation for the previous activity. Likewise the protocols that specify specimen handling required for Activity 8.1 do not have to be presented here. The focus of the protocols and guidelines for this activity is on the access to the laboratory.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Are the protocols/guidelines for access to laboratory services present? ✓ Is contact information present for possible laboratory services?

BENCHMARK 9

Provision of Community Data and Information

One of the roles of public health is to share appropriate medical information with the public and with stakeholders. By providing this information, the community served by the health department can make wise health care choices. The community is also then better prepared to identify and address the health care needs of residents.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Policy Development Essential Service 3: Inform, educate, and empower people about health issues.
Benchmark 9: The local health department shall provide the general public and elected and appointed officials with information on health risks, health status, and health needs in the community as well as information on policies and programs that can improve community health.
Activity 9.1: The local health department shall publish and disseminate data and information on current local health issues to the general public, community partners, and elected and appointed officials.
Documentation: A. For each year since the previous site visit, evidence of dissemination of information on current local issues to the public <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> B. For each year since the previous site visit, evidence of dissemination of information on current local issues to policy leaders
INTERPRETATION Intent The local health department not only produces and collects data and information; it also makes decisions and evaluates outcomes based on that data. In its role as public educator, the department must also disseminate information and findings to the entire population. The intent of this activity is for the department to demonstrate how it has provided information on current health issues to a range of stakeholders. Guidance The department must provide documentation for each of the four years since the previous site visit. Examples could include community presentations, press releases, or reports to boards; but the distribution is not limited to these and can be by a means to best get the information to the intended audience. The issue must have been a current public health concern at the time of the distribution. While the issue can be of local, state or national importance, it must be an issue that is affecting the local community. The distribution has to be documented to the public in general (including community partners) and to policy leaders (elected or appointed officials) specifically. While the same distribution may cover both audiences (public and policy leaders), the public distribution is more of a general approach, such as a newspaper article and the distribution to policy leaders is more targeted, such as by personal correspondence. Using a single press release and stating that it will reach both the public and policy leaders is unacceptable. The intent for the public notice is to at a minimum inform and educate. The intent for policy leaders, while also to inform and educate, is to advocate for action as is appropriate and called for.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

If information is provided on behalf of the Human Services Agency as a whole, the issue described must be clearly related to public health in some way.

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 4
- B. 4

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Was information disseminated to public and to policy leaders for each year since the previous site visit?

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services

Function: Policy Development

Essential Service 3: Inform, educate, and empower people about health issues.

Benchmark 9: The local health department shall provide the general public and elected and appointed officials with information on health risks, health status, and health needs in the community as well as information on policies and programs that can improve community health.

Activity 9.2: The local health department shall have a mechanism by which the public can access community data and health status information maintained in the agency in accordance with applicable laws and rules.

Documentation:

A. Policies and procedures regarding access to data and lists of accessible information

AND

B. List of accessible information

AND

C. Evidence of current modes of communication

INTERPRETATION

Intent

The LHD has a role in disseminating health data to the public so that needs can be identified and addressed. There is much information available to the public and the health department can help select and make available the information most useful to their jurisdiction. The intent of this activity is for the LHD to have a means whereby it provides access to the data it maintains. To have a consistent approach to information dissemination, the agency must have policies and procedures describing how the information can be obtained by the public.

Guidance

There are three components to the documentation for this activity. The first two deal with the documentation itself and the third deals with the implementation of this activity. The first two are the policies and procedures for access to data and the lists of accessible information maintained by the agency. The policies and procedures requested refer to how the local health department shares community information related to health risks, health status and health needs with the public/community. These policies should define the ways that the public is able to access the information. The list of accessible information refers to community information (associated with health risks, health status and health needs) that can be provided to members of the community upon their request. This can be data that is created by the health department or from another source. The issue here is that it is maintained by the health department. The intent of the maintenance of the data is that it is current and replaced with new information as the data is updated.

The final piece of documentation is evidence of current means whereby the public can access data related to risks, status and needs. Examples are agency website, brochures, and press releases, but the documentation is not limited to these modes. Some health departments use documentation, such as fliers and lists from their website, to indicate what information is available for community distribution. This list may also include links to other resources for community health status information, i.e., the Centers for Disease Control website, the NC State Center for Health Statistics, etc. It is acceptable for the local health department to place copies of the Community Health Assessment, SOTCH or other local data in a public location, such as the public library, for the community to access information about health risks, health status and health needs. This is a means to satisfy the activity, though all materials placed in a public location must be current. The evidence provided to show access must be verifiable. Placing information only in public locations cannot be the only means to show evidence unless there is some method for this to be verified by site visitors. Verification can be through photo evidence, statements on brochures/department website of where

information is located, or through email correspondence. As “modes” is plural, more than one mode of communication must be demonstrated.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 B. 1 C. 2+
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Are policies and procedures provided and available? ✓ Does the LHD have a listing of information available? ✓ Is there evidence of access to the information?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Policy Development</p> <p>Essential Service 3: Inform, educate, and empower people about health issues.</p>
<p>Benchmark 9: The local health department shall provide the general public and elected and appointed officials with information on health risks, health status, and health needs in the community as well as information on policies and programs that can improve community health.</p>
<p>Activity 9.3: The local health department shall provide information to the public on the availability and location of health data that are accessible in the public domain.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Agency website showing availability and location of current health data</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>There is an overwhelming amount of health data available to both public health professionals and the public. We can easily become overwhelmed by all of the information available. This intent of this activity is to have the health department help guide the public to health data that is usable, credible and current. Health data is not of much use if it not easily accessible for use by residents and clients. The public and policy makers will, and should, look to the LHD as the expert regarding health data.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>The documentation for this activity requires that the department provide evidence that it is providing information to the public on the availability and location of public domain health data. Public domain in this activity refers to information that is freely available and has no cost associated with viewing or acquiring it. The documentation submitted for this activity should demonstrate access to robust and diverse health data, not just data limited to a narrow scope of health issues with few details provided. Data does not have to be produced by the LHD, but can come from a variety of sources, including local, state, & federal governments, private organizations, and foundations.</p> <p>The activity requires that the department have an agency website. The website may be a stand-alone site or may be a part of a larger site, such as part of a county website. The website must have links to other websites, attached documents that can be opened or downloaded, or posted health data. All data/elements on the website must be available to the public served by the department. The website may have one of the previous elements or a combination of the three. Listed information on health data must be up-to-date and, if linked, should link to the primary source of the data.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Does evidence show the accessibility of information? ✓ Where is information available? ✓ Who has responsibility for accessibility of the information?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Policy Development</p> <p>Essential Service 3: Inform, educate, and empower people about health issues.</p>
<p>Benchmark 9: The local health department shall provide the general public and elected and appointed officials with information on health risks, health status, and health needs in the community as well as information on policies and programs that can improve community health.</p>
<p>Activity 9.4: The local health department shall have written guidelines that it follows in responding to requests for information.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Policies and procedures</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, evidence showing adherence to guidelines</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This measure requires that the health department have protocols used to provide information that is distributed as a result of an outside request. The intent is to show that the health department has developed, and follows, a standardized and consistent method for responding to a request for information or for any materials that the health department distributes. This assures that a request for information is answered appropriately and in a timely fashion and that appropriate reviews and approvals of information are obtained. The protocols can be a means to track the kinds of information requested and can define information that may be confidential and is not appropriate for public distribution.</p> <p>Guidance Documentation requirements consist of two parts. The first is the policies and procedures the department follows when responding to a request for information. This is required for any agency. The department can determine the level of scope for this policy, but must at least cover information requests made by the public or outside agencies. The department can determine if the policy will cover any request internal to the agency or within county departments for single county health departments.</p> <p>While the NC standards do not require any specific components, the policy should define the process for disseminating information accurately, timely and appropriately, designate a staff position or person as the public information officer, and describe the responsibilities for staff positions that may interact with the news media and the public (related to a request for information). The protocol also should define any specific methods whereby requests will or will not be accepted.</p> <p>The second part of the documentation is to show how the policy was implemented. The example should document the request, who handled the request, and the timeline (associated dates). The site visitors will compare this documentation to the requirements of the policy.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1+</p> <p>B. 1+</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Is there guidance for responding to requests for information? ✓ How often and how does the agency receive requests for information?

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services

Function: Policy Development

Essential Service 3: Inform, educate, and empower people about health issues.

Benchmark 9: The local health department shall provide the general public and elected and appointed officials with information on health risks, health status, and health needs in the community as well as information on policies and programs that can improve community health.

Activity 9.5: The local health department shall inform affected community members of changes in department policies or operations.

Documentation:

A. Since the previous site visit, announcement related to departmental change using two separate methods

AND

B. Evidence of opportunity for public comment regarding announced change. If no changes, show policy and procedure for such

INTERPRETATION

Intent

As a part of their responsibility in serving the public, health departments should inform the community about changes in operations at the agency that could affect residents and clients. Therefore, there must be a method to notify the public of changes – either in a policy, procedure or operations of the agency as well as a method for obtaining feedback from the clients concerning this change. The target of this activity is policies or changes that will affect the community (such as a change to hours of operation). It does not apply to policy or operational changes that do not have an effect on community residents or clients (such as a change to the internet use policy).

Guidance

There are two parts to the documentation requirements for this activity. The first deals with an announcement when there is a departmental change and how the announcement is distributed. The announcement must relate to a departmental change and show that a change is being implemented, such as changes to operating hours, program eligibility criteria, discontinuation of a program, starting a new program, etc. This applies when the department delivers the program or service regardless of the source of the change. Therefore, the change in policy or operation could come from a state-level agency, a county-level agency or the health department. When the health department must implement the change, then the health department must announce the change and allow for comment.

Documentation could include news article, posting on web site, signage within the agency, recording from radio or TV, etc. The department must show diverse and wide-ranging distribution of the announcement by using two separate methods for the same change.

The second part of the documentation is that the department allow for an opportunity for public comment. The purpose of the public comment is to provide the agency with information about how the change may or will impact residents or clients. The public comment can be allowed by any number of methods, including but not limited to board of health meetings, a public forum held at the health department or other site, through comment cards, a survey or questionnaire, or allowing for comment through a web site posting. Evidence of public comment availability can be provided in a variety of ways. Meeting minutes can be of board of health, Management Team, Staff, or board of county commissioner meetings – at whatever meeting the opportunity was provided or where the results of feedback was discussed. For example, the department may have accepted comments by web posting and then discusses the results during a Management Team meeting. Another type of documentation is by complete records of a scheduled event, such as a public hearing or forum. The records could include an announcement or flyer for the meeting, an attendance list and a summary of comments. Another

<p>method for evidence is to produce documentation of the questionnaire or survey, if used, and a compilation or summary of the results.</p> <p>The means of announcing change and the opportunities for change should target the residents, clients or patients affected by the proposed change. Evidence for opportunity for public comment should specify the departmental change under consideration and should clearly show that an accessible opportunity for input was given to those affected. Only using an announcement for input is incomplete evidence- there should also be minutes or other evidence describing the opportunity for input and what input was received from community members. Likewise, a general “public comment” place on a Board of Health agenda is not sufficient evidence if not linked to clear demonstration that the community was informed of the change and that was the specific opportunity for that comment.</p> <p>Given the breadth and changing nature of local public health services, it is highly unlikely that a local health department would have made no changes to operations that affect clients within a four-year time period. However, if no changes have been made since the last site visit, the department would provide the policy and/or procedure that would describe the process for announcing proposed changes and for allowing public input or comment.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 2 B. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Were announcements of change provided by two different methods? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did evidence give an opportunity for public comment or input?</p>

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Policy Development</p> <p>Essential Service 3: Inform, educate, and empower people about health issues.</p>
<p>Benchmark 9: The local health department shall provide the general public and elected and appointed officials with information on health risks, health status, and health needs in the community as well as information on policies and programs that can improve community health.</p>
<p>Activity 9.6: The local health department shall assure that information disseminated by the agency reflects the cultural and linguistic character of the local population as required by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Policies that acknowledge compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of two examples of information disseminated in accordance with policy</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>All members of the population must be guaranteed access to the information disseminated by the LHD. This activity requires that any information distributed by the health department should reflect the cultural and linguistic character of the populations served. In providing information to residents about the department’s methods of improving the health of the community, effective use of the information depends on the ability of clients and residents to understand what is presented to them. The appropriate delivery of public health services also depends on clients understanding information so they can make informed choices about their health care.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>The basis for this activity is Title VI of the Civil Rights Act. Title VI prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, and national origin in programs and services that receive federal financial assistance. The “cultural and linguistic character of the local population” will be determined by Title VI and is then supported by departmental policy. For this activity, the focus is on limited English proficiency (LEP)- with the department ensuring that LEP individuals have equal access to programs and services.</p> <p>There are two components to the required documentation. The first is a departmental policy that acknowledges compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act. This may be a stand-alone policy or may be a part of the Title VI compliance plan as required by the NCDHHS Office of Civil Rights. The purpose of the policy is to specify that the agency will act in accordance with Title VI and gives the means whereby the department will do that, along with any specific protocols that will be followed.</p> <p>The second component is to provide two examples of how the agency followed/implemented its policy in the dissemination of information that is culturally and linguistically appropriate. This can be demonstrated through examples such as the use of materials translated into appropriate languages, materials at various literacy levels, use of language aids or telephone services, interpreter services, services for deaf/hard of hearing/visually impaired clients, web pages and specified training for staff. There may be some examples that are best demonstrated by staff to the site visit team while on-site.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p>

B. 2

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Review the community assessment and be aware of the population makeup.
- ✓ Do the policies, evidence, etc. reflect the population makeup?
- ✓ Is there a policy present that acknowledges compliance with Title VI?
- ✓ Are there two examples that demonstrate how the department followed policy in the dissemination of information?

BENCHMARK 10

Health Promotion

The role of health promotion is an important function of public health – to inform people about health care and lifestyle choices, to educate them on the benefits or consequences of their actions and to assist them in making the best choices possible. This benchmark measures the health department’s efforts in health promotion. The focus of this benchmark is in the development and implementation of health promotion and disease prevention programs throughout the community – programs of the health department and those of partner agencies. The emphasis is on using evidence based practices in developing those programs when such evidence exists.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Policy Development Essential Service 3: Inform, educate, and empower people about health issues.
Benchmark 10: The local health department shall provide, support, and evaluate health promotion activities designed to influence the behavior of individuals and groups.
Activity 10.1: The local health department shall develop, implement and evaluate population-based health promotion/disease prevention programs and educational materials for the general public.
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of planning, implementation and evaluation of health promotion/disease prevention programs targeted to the general public AND B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of planning, implementation, and evaluation of educational materials targeted to the general public
INTERPRETATION Intent The key focus of this activity is the health promotion/disease prevention programs that are for the general population and that are developed by the health department. A core function of public health is to prevent disease and promote healthy lifestyles. Thus a core function of a LHD is to put these programs into place. Both programming and educational materials are components of this activity. For this activity, population based programs are defined as those that are targeted to the community at large. Guidance The documentation for this activity is directed toward efforts of the local health department. The documentation should demonstrate how the department has planned (developed), implemented and evaluated the population-based health promotion and disease prevention programs that the department directs. Examples submitted could be material posted on the agency’s website, program brochures and guides, health information or data, minutes where a program or material is discussed and a report on a program. The same is true for the educational materials – the documentation should demonstrate planning (development), implementation and evaluation of the materials that are directed to the general public (not a specific target population). All evidence should be related to population-based health topics and services- such topics as tobacco control, eating habits and activity, alcohol/drug abuse, prevention of chronic disease (diabetes) and immunizations. While the department may implement programs and materials developed by outside agencies or the NC Division of Public Health, this activity assesses the programs and materials that the local health department develops. The health department does not have to develop, implement or evaluate the program or materials all on its own, but must be involved in all three components. The documentation must indicate the health department's capacity and involvement in the planning/development <i>and</i> implementation <i>and</i> evaluation of a program. These three elements do not

<p>have to be taken from one program, although that is preferable; however, you can use different programs to showcase the different required pieces of evidence. For example, you may pull the planning/development evidence from a tobacco prevention program, whereas the evaluation evidence could come from a diabetes management program.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 3 B. 3</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Does the evidence show the whole community as being targeted? ✓ Is there evidence of planning, implementation and evaluation of health promotion and disease prevention programs? educational materials? ✓ Are the programs and materials population-based in scope?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Policy Development Essential Service 3: Inform, educate, and empower people about health issues.</p>
<p>Benchmark 10: The local health department shall provide, support, and evaluate health promotion activities designed to influence the behavior of individuals and groups.</p>
<p>Activity 10.2: The local health department shall carry out or assist other agencies in the development, implementation and evaluation of health promotion/disease prevention programs and educational materials targeted to groups identified as at-risk in the community health assessment.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of planning, implementation and evaluation of health promotion/disease prevention programs targeted to an at-risk group identified in the community health assessment</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of planning, implementation, and evaluation of educational materials targeted to an at-risk group identified in the community health assessment</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent While Activity 10.1 targeted the general population, this activity targets at-risk groups identified in the Community Health Assessment (CHA). The activity again addresses both programs and materials. The health department is to either provide the programming and materials or is to fulfill an assurance role by assisting other partners in the provision of programming and materials. Note that the three components of planning/development, implementation and evaluation are again present in the activity.</p> <p>Guidance As with Activity 10.1, the documentation must indicate the health department's capacity and involvement in all three identified program and material components – planning/development <i>and</i> implementation <i>and</i> evaluation - of a program. Also, these three elements do not have to be taken from one program, although that is preferable; however you can use different programs to demonstrate the activity. Note that the evidence must be targeted to groups identified as at-risk in the CHA.</p> <p>As with Activity 10.1, the programs and materials submitted as evidence can be posted on the agency's website, distributed brochures and guides, health information or data, minutes where a program or material is discussed and a report on a program.</p> <p>For the activity, the health department may take the lead or may assist other agencies in the programs and materials. In this case, the three components of planning/development, implementation and evaluation would be shared between the health department and the other agency. It is not important the degree to which each agency does what – but that each component is done.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 3 B. 3</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <p>✓ Does the evidence show the proper target populations as identified in the community health assessment?</p>

- ✓ Is there evidence of planning, implementation and evaluation of:
 - ✓ health promotion and disease prevention programs
 - ✓ educational materials
 - ✓ either by the health department or by another agency with LHD assistance?
- ✓ Note that the activity is asking for evidence showing planning, implementation and evaluation.

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Policy Development</p> <p>Essential Service 3: Inform, educate, and empower people about health issues.</p>
<p>Benchmark 10: The local health department shall provide, support, and evaluate health promotion activities designed to influence the behavior of individuals and groups.</p>
<p>Activity 10.3: The local health department shall employ evidence-based health promotions/disease prevention strategies, when such evidence exists.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of agency exploration and employment of evidence-based strategy</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. External evidence that indicates this strategy is effective</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Evidence-based health promotion/disease prevention strategies are programs that have demonstrated their effectiveness through research and/or evaluation. It is proper, when such knowledge exists, to use programs and strategies that have been proven to be effective at reaching its objectives. This activity assesses the health department’s use of evidence-based practices when available.</p> <p>Guidance Evidence of agency exploration and employment of evidence-based strategies could include minutes or correspondence discussing an evidence-based health promotion/disease prevention strategy. It may also show a linkage between a program the health department is using with an internally-produced report or research summary of the strategy which shows it as evidence-based. This component of the documentation must be produced by the health department and demonstrate how the department explored and then implemented an evidence-based strategy. The other part of the required documentation is external evidence, or evidence from outside the department that would support the strategy being used as evidence-based. This may come from a journal, a research study, state or federal study/report or other verifiable and credible source.</p> <p>Note that for any documentation or method used to be cited as evidence-based, it must have an external reference that documents the effectiveness of the strategy in health promotion or disease prevention programs. Also note that the activity is calling for a strategy, not a specified program. For example, you may create a community walking program that is unlike another, or has added elements, as a strategy to increase family activity and reduce obesity. The external reference would be to show how community walking programs are effective health promotion endeavors in achieving the goal of increased activity or decreased obesity.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p>B. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Does the internal evidence show exploration and employment of an evidence-based strategy? ✓ Is external evidence provided that justifies effectiveness of strategy?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Policy Development</p> <p>Essential Service 3: Inform, educate, and empower people about health issues.</p>
<p>Benchmark 10: The local health department shall provide, support, and evaluate health promotion activities designed to influence the behavior of individuals and groups.</p>
<p>Activity 10.4: The local health department shall promote and support the use of evidence-based health promotion/disease prevention strategies by other community agencies and organizations.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of agency promotion and support of evidence-based strategy by community partners</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. External evidence that indicates strategy this effective</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>See the guidance in 10.3</p> <p>Intent This activity is related to Activity 10.3. Whereas 10.3 related to the health department use of evidence-based strategies, this activity relates to the health department promoting and supporting the use of such strategies by other agencies and organizations. Since evidence-based strategies should be employed by the LHD and should guide the work of the agency, the LHD should promote the usage of such strategies with partners and other community agencies who deliver services and programs to improve the community's health.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation is the same for this activity as in Activity 10.3 with a notable exception. While 10.3 showed exploration and employment of evidence-based strategies within and for programs of the health department, this activity is targeted toward health department promotion and support of evidence-based strategies by other community agencies and organizations. The same types of documentation are required – one that is produced from within the agency and one that is an externally produced document. Evidence of agency exploration and employment of evidence-based strategies could include minutes or correspondence discussing an evidence-based health promotion/disease prevention strategy. It may also show a linkage between a program the health department is promoting/supporting with an internally-produced report or research summary of the strategy which shows it as evidence-based. Note that this activity requires documentation that the local health department is promoting and supporting the use of such strategies by other agencies and community partners. The health department does not have to prove that the outside agency/organization is using the evidence-based strategy, but that it is promoting it. The health department cannot necessarily force an organization to use an evidence-based strategy unless there is contract, agreement or funding requirement to do so.</p> <p>The same evidence-based strategy can be used to document conformity with Activities 10.3 & 10.4 if the department is using it internally and promoting its use with outside agencies.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p>B. 1</p>

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Does the internal evidence show the agency's promotion and support of the use of evidence-based strategies by community agencies and organizations?
- ✓ Is external evidence provided that justifies effectiveness of strategy?

BENCHMARK 11

Understanding Community Needs

The local health department must work collaboratively in delivering public health services and programs. The health department serves the entire community or jurisdiction, not just a select few. The health department can be better prepared to meet the needs of the community when the community is involved in identifying health problems and in selecting priorities for further action.

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Policy Development Essential Service 4: Mobilize community partnerships and action to identify and solve health problems.</p>
<p>Benchmark 11: The local health department shall convene key constituents and community partners to identify, analyze, and prioritize community health problems/issues.</p>
<p>Activity 11.1: The local health department shall participate in a collaborative community steering committee to identify health issues and needs.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">A. Since the previous site visit, list of participants (by organization or group represented) in a collaborative process to identify health issues and needs <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">B. Evidence of the department's active participation in this collaborative process
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent To accomplish the goal of improving the health of the community, it is important for the local health department to work with partners and other organizations in the community. The rationale is twofold: 1) there are not enough health department resources to serve all needs, and 2) members of the community may be closer to the at-risk population and may better understand issues in reaching such populations. This activity is to demonstrate that the health department is involved with community partners in identifying health issues.</p> <p>Guidance The focus of this activity is to show the health department's involvement with the community in identifying health issues and needs. The evidence for this activity does not need to show action taken to meet those needs, just the work of identifying the health needs of the community. There are two pieces of documentation required for this activity. The first is a list of participants (this may be in collaborative records such as a membership list, minutes or a list of those who signed in to a recent meeting, or other evidence of who participated) showing that the health department is represented in the membership.</p> <p>The second piece of documentation is a record that shows the role of the health department in the group related to identifying health needs. Minutes of the committee, an action plan that results from the work, a committee report (which would include an executive summary) or other evidence can be used to demonstrate that the health department was an active participant.</p> <p>It is acceptable for health departments to use their Community Health Assessment process in developing the evidence for this activity. However, this is not the only process or partnership that may be used.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If one collaborative group does not represent the district as a whole, then a list of documentation should be provided for each county.</p>

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies
None
Pieces of Evidence Required
A. 1 B. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions
<ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Was a list of collaborative participants provided?✓ Does the evidence demonstrate the LHD's role?✓ Who from the health department works with community groups?✓ What role does the health department play in working with the collective group?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Policy Development Essential Service 4: Mobilize community partnerships and action to identify and solve health problems.</p>
<p>Benchmark 11: The local health department shall convene key constituents and community partners to identify, analyze, and prioritize community health problems/issues.</p>
<p>Activity 11.2: The local health department shall involve community members in assessing, setting priorities and establishing desired outcomes for addressing community health issues and needs.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of community member input on assessing, prioritizing, and establishing desired outcomes for community health issues or needs</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent It is through the involvement of the community that the LHD is best able to work towards outcomes that benefit the entire community. While the LHD may know what is needed, it is good to have input from community members in determining the work and priorities of the agency. When members of the community are involved in identifying issues and working toward solutions, this can build support for the recommendations among stakeholders, partners and community members. This activity requires the health department, along with the community, to assess, set priorities and establish outcomes to address the identified needs.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation for this activity must show community involvement in the assessment, setting of priorities and determination of desired outcomes for identified community health issues and needs. While assessment may be conducted during initial stages of a process to help identify issues and needs, assessment in this activity is directed toward gathering the potential options and actions to be used to address the identified needs. Priorities are then set using the findings of the assessment.</p> <p>Evidence may be demonstrated in a number of ways, but should clearly demonstrate each of the listed components of assessment, prioritization, and establishment of desired outcomes. Examples include providing recommendations from a community survey, focus group, or other community forum that was sponsored by the group leading the effort to show community involvement, meeting minutes of the group with participants listed and community input noted in the record, or any committee report documenting community input in the process.</p> <p>It is acceptable for health departments to use their Community Health Assessment material, along with developed action plans, in developing the evidence for this activity. If a LHD is currently in the process of completing a Community Health Assessment, use of preparation material and data may be used. If you have community involvement in setting priorities and potential outcomes, present that as documentation (in the form of meeting minutes, focus group information, etc.). However, the Community Health Assessment is not the only process or partnership that may be used.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If one collaborative effort to gain community member input does not represent the district as a whole, then evidence should be provided for each county.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 3</p>

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Is evidence of community input demonstrated in the documentation?
- ✓ How does the LHD get community input?
- ✓ How does the evidence demonstrate that input?
- ✓ How does the health department involve community groups?

BENCHMARK 12

Addressing Community Needs

As in Benchmark 11, this benchmark continues the efforts of the health department in collaborative efforts to identify and address health issues and needs. The local health department must conduct its work in this area with community partners. Here the department works with partners, stakeholders and the community in developing strategies that will be used to solve identified community health problems. The three activities in this benchmark build upon each other to implement a collaborative process for identifying needs and solutions for public health issues in the jurisdiction served by the health department.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Policy Development Essential Service 4: Mobilize community partnerships and action to identify and solve health problems.
Benchmark 12: The local health department shall develop strategies in collaboration with community partners to solve existing community health problems.
Activity 12.1: The local health department shall participate in a collaborative process to identify strategies for addressing community health problems.
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, list of participants (by organization or group represented) in a collaborative process to identify strategies for addressing community health problems AND B. Evidence of the department's active participation in this collaborative process
INTERPRETATION Intent This activity closely follows Benchmark 11 which describes the use of collaboration and community input in identifying community needs while this one describes joint planning and strategy development with community groups to deal with those needs. Here the LHD is to work with community partners in developing strategies and processes in working towards a solution for health issues identified in the community served. Guidance This activity requires the local health department to be a participant in a collaborative process to identify strategies to tackle and solve community health problems. The type of collaborative process is not specified and can be any number of possibilities – a committee or task force, joint project, community forum, or a combination of activities. The work of the collaborative effort is to identify or consider strategies for possible interventions to solve identified community health problems. The identified issues can come from the Community Health Assessment, the work of Activity 11 or other source. The strategies developed for this activity should not use only those from an already developed program. These can be part of the evidence if the collaboration that occurred to develop the strategies in use is a part of the documentation submitted. There are two pieces of documentation required for this activity. The first is a list of participants (this may be in collaborative records such as a membership list, minutes or a list of those who signed in to a recent meeting, or other evidence of who participated) showing that the health department is represented in the group. The second piece of evidence must demonstrate how the local health department is participating in the collaborative process to identify strategies. Evidence of a current intervention can be used with the inclusion of how the strategy in use was developed in a collaborative effort. Minutes of a meeting can be used if the record reflects discussion of strategies by the group. Lastly, a written report could be provided which documents the strategies considered by the group.

Any evidence provided must include discussion, consideration and/or identification of potential strategies and demonstrate participation by the partners or members involved in the effort.
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>If one collaborative effort to identify strategies for addressing community health problems does not represent the district as a whole, then evidence should be provided for each county.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p>B. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Does the evidence show a collaborative effort? ✓ Does the evidence demonstrate the consideration and identification of strategies? ✓ What is the health department's role or responsibilities?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Policy Development Essential Service 4: Mobilize community partnerships and action to identify and solve health problems.</p>
<p>Benchmark 12: The local health department shall develop strategies in collaboration with community partners to solve existing community health problems.</p>
<p>Activity 12.2: The local health department shall participate in a collaborative process to assess resources needed, including personnel, funding, policy changes, and system change, to address community health problems.</p>
<p>Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of participation in a collaborative process to assess resources needed to address community health problems</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Resources are needed to carry out programs and these resources may come from a variety of sources. This activity continues the process of collaboration to address community problems from the last activity. Once strategies for interventions are determined, then the resources needed for implementation must be assessed. The intent of this activity is to show health department involvement in an analysis of the strategies identified in Activity 12.1. The purpose of the analysis is to determine what will be needed, and what resources are available among partners, to implement selected strategies.</p> <p>Guidance There are many ways to provide evidence of participation in a collaborative process to access resources needed to address community health problems. A feasibility study, focusing on resource needs to address community problems and if conducted by or on behalf of the collaborative group, can be submitted. A grant proposal submitted by the group after needs are determined could also be used. The grant proposal can be submitted by a collaborative member or the health department, but the proposal itself must be collaborative and involve partners working on the issue. Another example would be for several group members to present a request to the board of commissioners or develop a funding request or letter of support signed by all member organizations. For example, the health department can show an expansion budget request that has collaborative support. Finally, the collaborative could recommend system or policy changes that have been identified through the collaborative process.</p> <p>The focus for this activity is the collaborative effort and the assessment of resources. The local health department must demonstrate collaboration in the documentation. Evidence required for this activity should include information showing the assessment of resources needed and should include all four – personnel, funding, policy changes, and system change (defined as change in the way of doing things to achieve desired outcomes) – to some degree.</p> <p>While evidence for this activity can link directly to the previous activity using the same collaborative process, it does not have to. For example, the agency can provide evidence from one collaborative effort in 12.1 and use another effort as the basis for documentation in 12.2 if the department has good examples from two different collaborations.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>

Pieces of Evidence Required
A. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions
✓ Does the evidence show a collaborative process?
✓ Does the collaborative process show the effort to assess resources needed?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Policy Development Essential Service 4: Mobilize community partnerships and action to identify and solve health problems.</p>
<p>Benchmark 12: The local health department shall develop strategies in collaboration with community partners to solve existing community health problems.</p>
<p>Activity 12.3: The local health department shall participate in a collaborative process to implement population-based programs to address community health problems.</p>
<p>Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of a collaborative process on the implementation of a population-based program to address community health problems</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent After assessment, planning and developing resources have been completed, the implementation of a project or program using population-based efforts in a collaborative approach to solve community issues must be done. Population-based implies a program that targets the entire community. This activity follows the previous two and assesses the implementation of programs to address health problems in the community served. While continuing the process from Activities 12.1 & 12.2, a qualifier has been added – that the programs implemented be population based. The intent for this activity is to demonstrate the follow through of implementation after the steps of identifying needs, strategies and resources to solve a public health issue have been done.</p> <p>Guidance Documentation must demonstrate evidence of collaboration in the implementation of a program and would define roles of the various partners. This implies that there is effort among partners to implement the program, not just a collaborative effort to develop the program. It is not acceptable for the full program to be implemented and provided by a single partner. While one member of the group may lead or direct efforts, all partners must play a role in the implementation process.</p> <p>Meeting minutes can be from the collaborative, board of health, health department team or work group, or other group as long as the minutes record both the collaborative effort and program implementation. A media release or program report must mention partners involved, program information, and indicate what has been implemented. Brochures, flyers or other program materials must also indicate the partners involved and show program implementation. This same evidence can be posted on the health department web site. Lastly, if a grant funded the program, any reports to the grantor that list partners, implementation roles, and demonstrate program implementation can be submitted as documentation.</p> <p>Again, while acceptable and appropriate, evidence for this activity does not have to link to the evidence in 12.1 or 12.2, but can demonstrate a separate implementation effort of another collaborative of the local health department.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions ✓ Does the evidence show health department participation in a collaborative effort?</p>

- ✓ Does the evidence show implementation of a collaborative program to address a community health problem?
 - ✓ Is the program population based?

BENCHMARK 13

Broadening Community Partnerships

This benchmark continues the theme of community partnerships and is another aspect that complements the work of Benchmarks 11 & 12. The health department is not only to work within established relationships but is to broaden those that already exist and work to develop new relationships with potential partners.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Policy Development Essential Service 4: Mobilize community partnerships and action to identify and solve health problems.
Benchmark 13: The local health department shall identify and build upon community assets and direct them toward resolving health problems.
Activity 13.1: The local health department shall broaden existing partnerships by cultivating innovative and new community contacts, such as businesses and industries, healthcare practitioners, faith communities, and grassroots organizations, and increasing their awareness of public health through outreach and training.
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, documentation of targeted outreach and training activities with two new community partners or contacts
INTERPRETATION Intent It can be comfortable for a local health department to work within existing partnerships. Only doing this may limit or neglect potential actions needed to improve the public's health. This activity moves beyond working with existing contacts/partners and calls on the health department to develop new ones that had not been used before. By developing these new contacts/partners, the LHD broadens its impact, can reach new populations, and can build new public health champions in their communities. Guidance This activity seeks to do two things to broaden existing partnerships. One is to develop innovative and new contacts in the community. By innovative, the health department is seeking a partner relationship with an agency, group or organization that had never been used as a partner or with a new contact within an existing partner organization or company. The second element of the activity is to increase the new partner's awareness of public health through outreach from and training by the health department. The documentation requires two examples of targeted outreach and training activities with new community partners/contacts. Documentation of the outreach and training activities can be documented by minutes of meetings, description of activities, powerpoint presentations used, etc. Both examples used for documentation must have elements of both outreach and training.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 2
SVT Review and Guiding Questions ✓ Is there evidence of two targeted outreach and training activities with community partners? ✓ Does the evidence show new contacts or partners?

BENCHMARK 14

Engagement with Policy Makers

Benchmark 14 moves into the fifth essential service of policy development and planning. The four activities under this benchmark demonstrate the engagement of local health departments with policymakers across all levels of government. The purpose is to put policy and law into effect that will support and strengthen health efforts throughout the jurisdiction served by the department.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services
Function: Policy Development
Essential Service 5: Develop policies and plans that support individual and community health efforts.
Benchmark 14: The local health department shall work with local, state and federal policymakers to enact policies, laws, rules, and ordinances that support individual and community health efforts.
Activity 14.1: The local health department shall disseminate information relative to public health needs to elected and appointed officials.
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, information dissemination for two different public health needs
INTERPRETATION Intent This activity examines the health department’s ability to communicate with elected and appointed officials that are in a policy or law-making role. The intent is for the department to provide information on public health needs to these individuals to inform and initiate discussion on possible policies or laws that may be warranted. The efforts of the health department in this activity are not limited to local officials but also include state and federal levels. Guidance The evidence requires two examples of dissemination of information to policymakers for different needs. Both needs can be shared using the same method of dissemination or multiple methods of dissemination could be used. For instance, a presentation can be directed toward elected and appointed officials (e.g. a board of commissioners meeting) or can be at a public forum if documentation shows that either appointed or elected officials were in attendance and can be identified. Or, a memo or email could be sent- clearly naming the elected or appointed officials (a general heading of “To: All elected officials of Acme County” is not acceptable). Meeting minutes are valid if the need discussed is recorded and the minutes document the elected and/or appointed officials present. For this activity, the emphasis is not on sharing general information or publicity on services, but on the public health needs of the jurisdiction covered by the local health department. The information shared can include internal department needs for addressing the public health issue being discussed or could be a discussion/presentation on solving a community need that has been identified. Benchmark 40 addresses the board of health role in this area. For Benchmark 14, the efforts are to come from the health department (the director, leadership and staff).
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 2

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Review the public health needs that have been communicated to officials.
- ✓ Are there two different needs represented?
- ✓ Does the evidence show that information was disseminated?
- ✓ Possible Interview Question: Ask elected or appointed officials how they obtain information on public health needs.

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Policy Development</p> <p>Essential Service 5: Develop policies and plans that support individual and community health efforts.</p>
<p>Benchmark 14: The local health department shall work with local, state and federal policymakers to enact policies, laws, rules, and ordinances that support individual and community health efforts.</p>
<p>Activity 14.2: The local health department shall provide information and recommendations to support the local board of health and the board(s) of county commissioners in setting public health priorities and planning public health programs.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of LHD provision of information/recommendations to the BOH/human services board <u>and</u> county commissioners regarding public health priority setting and program planning</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>The work of this activity builds upon the previous one. Activity 14.1 required the sharing of information with appointed and elected officials. This activity narrows the focus to providing and using information to help set priorities and plan programming. Elected and appointed officials are narrowed to the Boards of Health and Commissioners. This activity provides insight as to how the agency interacts with its governance groups. Effective communication is essential for productive working relationships. Both of these governance boards should rely on and expect the LHD to provide information needed in setting public health priorities and planning for the programming to meet the priorities set to serve the identified needs of the residents they serve.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>The documentation for this activity should demonstrate the input of the health department in efforts of both the board of health and the board of county commissioners to determine priorities and programming. Documentation can be through a number of means such as meeting minutes from the BOH and the board of county commissioners if the minutes record that the health department has provided input in public health priority setting and program planning. Written correspondence or a report provided to the BOH and to the county commissioners that reflects department input can also be used. Input can include sharing of information, statistics or rankings, results or reports of task forces, and recommendations. However, all input should be aimed at setting health priorities and planning for programs. A presentation of the CHA is not acceptable, as the sharing of this information is covered in other activities.</p> <p>Although the documentation only requires one example, the activity requires communication with both Boards. Activities 39.1 & 39.4 under the Governance Standard require that the board of health communicate with the board of commissioners. Here the effort is on the LHD in providing information to both groups.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>Evidence must be provided for each board of county commissioners involved in the District.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>For agencies with a human services board, input must be provided to that board as well as the board of county commissioners. For counties where the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers and duties of the board of health, only one piece of evidence (presentation to the BOCC) is required.</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 2 (1 if BOCC assumes BOH powers/duties)</p>

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Does the evidence show the LHD presenting governance board members with information and recommendations?
- ✓ Does the information provided demonstrate input into public health priority setting and program planning?
- ✓ Possible interview question: Ask governance board members how they receive information from the Health Director or LHD on setting priorities or planning programs?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Policy Development</p> <p>Essential Service 5: Develop policies and plans that support individual and community health efforts.</p>
<p>Benchmark 14: The local health department shall work with local, state and federal policymakers to enact policies, laws, rules, and ordinances that support individual and community health efforts.</p>
<p>Activity 14.3: The local health department shall evaluate, with the local board of health, the need for additional rules or ordinances to protect the health of the public.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of agency evaluation, with the BOH, of the need for additional rules/ordinances or amendment of current rules/ordinances</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>This activity describes the need for the health department and the BOH to be partners when considering the need to use rule making authority in protecting the public’s health. Being aware of public health needs and how to take action is the responsibility of public health officials, and health departments should, in cooperation with the BOH, constantly evaluate local needs that may necessitate a rule or ordinance. While the authority to pass a rule rests with the BOH, the information needed to undertake the process of rule-making will come from the health department. The need to evaluate the use of rules to protect public health is a responsibility of both parties and is of serious importance.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>The documentation that must be provided is one of three possible options. BOH minutes must show efforts, involvement, discussion or responsibilities for both the BOH and the health department. A section of a strategic plan – from the BOH, the health department or a combined plan – can be used. Another option is the notes, summary or report from a public hearing on a proposed rule, ordinance or the investigation of the need for or amendment of a rule. Any documentation used must demonstrate the evaluation of need and record Health Department and BOH cooperation. That is the key phrase in meeting this activity – “shall evaluate ...the need”. The activity does not infer that a rule or ordinance is drafted or approved and the evaluation may even show that a rule or ordinance is not needed or amendment is not needed. Whether a rule or an ordinance, the only requirement is that the agency, along with the board of health, evaluates the need for additional, or amendment of current, rules. A statement from the BOH that evaluation was not needed during the four years since the previous site visit is not acceptable.</p> <p>This activity links closely to Activity 34.4 under the Governance Standard. Under 34.4, the BOH is to evaluate the need for the adoption or amendment of local rules or ordinances. That activity demonstrates the efforts and involvement of the BOH while this activity demonstrates the efforts and involvement of the health department. While the same documentation may be used for both efforts, the highlights within the documentation will be different and will focus on the efforts of each party as required by the activity.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>For agencies with a human services board, evidence should show evaluation between them and the LHD. For counties where the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers and duties of the board of health, evidence should show evaluation between them (not an advisory committee on health) and the LHD.</p>

Pieces of Evidence Required

A. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Does the evidence provided show that the need for rules is evaluated or assessed by the LHD in collaboration with the BOH?
- ✓ Possible interview questions: Ask LHD leadership and/or the BOH how they evaluate the need for rules.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services

Function: Policy Development

Essential Service 5: Develop policies and plans that support individual and community health efforts.

Benchmark 14: The local health department shall work with local, state and federal policymakers to enact policies, laws, rules, and ordinances that support individual and community health efforts.

Activity 14.4: The local health department shall assist the local board of health in drafting local ordinances and rules as needed and presenting them to elected officials in order to implement or enforce needed local public health prevention and protection activities.

Documentation:

- A. Since the previous site visit, BOH minutes or BOH memo referencing input of agency in drafting/amending rule or ordinance impacting the public's health

AND

- B. Since the previous site visit, minutes of meeting of board of county commissioners citing new/amended rule or ordinance as a presentation and/or discussion item

OR

- C. If no work toward rules or ordinances has been done, the health department should provide a signed statement indicating that no local rules/ordinances have been drafted/amended by the board of health

INTERPRETATION

Intent

This activity is a continuation of the previous one. Activity 14.3 evaluated the need for a rule. This activity assumes that the evaluation did show a need and now the process of developing the proposed rule or ordinance is underway. A partnership between the health department and the BOH is a must for success in the drafting of ordinances and rules. The staff from the department will bring public health expertise to work with the BOH in crafting a beneficial and effective rule. They will work with commissioners to draft a beneficial and effective ordinance. Drafting possible rules and ordinances must be a cooperative effort between all involved in the development process.

Guidance

The focus on this activity is on the drafting of a rule or ordinance (or amendment of a current rule or ordinance) for the purpose of addressing a needed local public health prevention and protection activity. There are two pieces of documentation. The first is either meeting minutes or a memo from the BOH that records the input or involvement of the health department in drafting a proposed or amending a current rule or ordinance. The second piece of the documentation would be a record in the meeting minutes of elected officials (county or city commissioners) showing the new/proposed/amended rule or ordinance as a presentation/discussion item. Note that there can be a difference in whether a rule or ordinance must go to an elected board. Board of health rules do not have to be approved by the board of county commissioners. However, if the BOH and the health department are working toward a county ordinance, commissioner approval will have to be obtained. It is advisable to also inform elected officials when the BOH is considering or drafting a rule, however. This is good practice to communicate among partners and to build support among elected officials for the rule.

If no work toward rules or ordinances has been done, the health department should develop a statement indicating that no local rules/ordinances have been drafted by the board of health, signed by the board of health chair.

The activity does not require that the rule or ordinance pass. The focus is on the evidence of the health department's assistance in drafting or amending a rule or ordinance.

<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments District health departments may help draft a local ordinance that may not be adopted by all counties served by the district.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies For agencies with a human services board, evidence should show work between them and the LHD. For counties where the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers and duties of the board of health, evidence should show input between them (not an advisory committee on health) and the LHD, but formal presentation/ discussion of the rule/ordinance may not be necessary. However, the issue MUST clearly be public health-related.</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 0 or 1 (if applicable) B. 0 or 1 (if applicable) C. 0 or 1 (if applicable)
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Were there any rules or ordinances drafted or amended? ✓ Does the evidence show LHD input in drafting/amending rules? ✓ If the documentation states that no rules or ordinances have been drafted since the last Site Visit, it is appropriate to confirm this during interviews with the board of health or a commissioner member.

BENCHMARK 15

Departmental Policies and Plans

This benchmark addresses the need for the agency to have current plans and policies in place. The activities focus on the development, implementation and training on departmental policies and plans. Benchmark 15 also requires an agency strategic plan. All plans and policies should give guidance and direction to the department in its efforts to improve the health of the community.

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Policy Development</p> <p>Essential Service 5: Develop policies and plans that support individual and community health efforts.</p>
<p>Benchmark 15: The local health department shall develop plans to guide its work.</p>
<p>Activity 15.1: The local health department shall develop or update annually an agency strategic plan that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• includes a review and analysis of factors influencing the health department's ability to improve the community's health,• uses local health status data and information to set goals and objectives,• uses community input where applicable,• states desired outcomes for each element,• sets priorities, and• uses community collaborations to implement activities.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Copy of current strategic plan</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Evidence that CHA results were used in most recent agency strategic planning</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>C. Since the previous site visit, evidence of annual updates and implementation of the strategic plan</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>Strategic planning is essential for an organization to function effectively and efficiently. The specific components of the required plan are listed and all should be present. A strategic plan that is in use should be updated or revised on a regular basis and at least yearly. The intent behind the strategic plan is to have a document that will bring focus and direction to the work of the department. The strategic plan can have elements directed at both the operations of the agency and its efforts to improve the health of the community. A strategic plan should define goals, set timelines, identify strategies and assign responsibilities. The plan should be practical and achievable.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>The department must provide the current strategic plan along with evidence of implementation of the strategic plan. This evidence shall include a record of annual updates with results achieved for each year since the previous site visit. Depending on when the current strategic plan was adopted/approved, some evidence of implementation and yearly results may be from the previous strategic plan. Also, the department must demonstrate integration of the strategic planning process and the community health assessment by showing how CHA results were used in the strategic plan or planning process.</p> <p>It is not necessary, nor expected, that all local health departments will have identical elements or content in the strategic plan. The strategic plan should clearly allow the site visit team to identify how the required bullets are a component of the plan. There is also no defined process, format, length, structure or template for the planning process or the plan itself. Site visitors are not to judge style of</p>

the strategic plan, but rather focus on the content. How extensive the strategic plan is will be a decision of each LHD.

The information or content that would address all six components (the bulleted items) of the activity must be present in the plan. The factors that influence the department's ability to improve the community's health can be demonstrated by what is termed a "SWOT" analysis (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats). This list will help the department understand how it relates to its internal and external environment and may point out issues that affect the community's health. In setting goals and objectives, the process should use health status data and information. This data does not need to be developed by the department, but can come from a variety of sources, including the Division of Public Health, the NC Center for Health Statistics and the CHA. If it is relevant to the topic or issue under consideration, public input should be sought. This may be applicable for possible new programming or when programming may be modified or ended. For each topic area, goal or objective in the plan, there must be a desired outcome defined. If known, possible undesired outcomes can also be included. After the review and analysis of information, the plan should include the priorities among the goals, objectives and outcomes. The final component of the plan is to include collaborative efforts to implement the activities that will help the agency achieve the desired outcomes. Use of community collaborations will be related to those goals that address community need. All six of these components may not be present for each topic area or objective of the plan. However, all six should be in the plan to some degree and as appropriate for the objective.

Evidence to demonstrate that the agency has set priorities would be to identify those elements by enumeration in the strategic plan, such as "the top three priorities for the fiscal year" or to determine three objectives as "priorities 1, 2 & 3". It is not acceptable to declare that all objectives are a priority. Priorities should be action items that are identified as the most important to the department to complete or implement and should have associated timelines.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

None

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

If the human services agency as a whole has a Strategic Plan and the plan clearly includes a focus on public health issues and CHA results were used, the agency may submit that Plan.

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1
- C. 3-4 (depending on timeframe of agency plan)

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Was a current plan available?
 - ✓ Were the required components included?
- ✓ Was there evidence of plan implementation?
- ✓ Was there evidence of use of the CHA?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Policy Development</p> <p>Essential Service 5: Develop policies and plans that support individual and community health efforts.</p>
<p>Benchmark 15: The local health department shall develop plans to guide its work.</p>
<p>Activity 15.2: The local health department shall develop and adopt program policies and procedures that meet the following criteria: refer to the federal and state legislation, rules or regulations, or local rules or ordinances that provide the authority to carry out agency programs and activities, and delineates desired outcomes.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, examples of three program policies and procedures that include reference to applicable laws, rules or ordinance and identify purpose/outcomes</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>Staff of the health department should have the guidance needed to carry out the specific functions of each program and to assure that the staff are following requirements of the program as defined by law or regulation. This activity verifies that the department has the proper program policies for staff to follow and use. Activity 15.2 is focused on policies that are specific to programs (not general administrative policies) of the health department.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>The required documentation for this activity is to provide three examples of program policies and procedures that include reference to applicable laws, rules or ordinance. The activity states that the policies must have at least two components. The first, any legal authority that gives the health department authority to carry out the program should be so defined and referenced in the policy. The second component is to have the desired outcomes of the program defined in the policy. The desired outcomes in program policies and procedures should specify a purpose for the program in the written documentation.</p> <p>These two elements can be within a single policy or can be among several policies or procedures for a program. While the two specified components should be present for all program policies, the department must only provide three program policies. The department may wish to have program manuals available should a question be raised.</p> <p>There may be general policies that apply to all or several health department programs as well as policies specifically designed for a single program. General policies must apply directly to programs and cannot be typical administrative policies. For example, a billing and fees policy may cite various programs but is a general administrative policy for the department. A general policy that defines how the department discharges patients in various programs would apply since the focus of the policy is a specified programmatic issue. Program policies may be mandated by state or federal funding, and by contract or agreement. The policies may be provided by the state or other source and may be sufficient so that additional local policies are not required. Any listed criteria for the program, if so specified, must be included in policies.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 3</p>

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Were three examples of *program* policies provided?
- ✓ Have the policies been adopted?
- ✓ Was there evidence of the two required components?
- ✓ How policies are developed and updated and where policies are kept could be questions for program staff interviews.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services

Function: Policy Development

Essential Service 5: Develop policies and plans that support individual and community health efforts.

Benchmark 15: The local health department shall develop plans to guide its work.

Activity 15.3: The local health department shall have a written procedure providing for annual review, and revision if necessary, of all policies.

Documentation:

A. Current policy on policies

AND

B. Evidence of annual review of all policies (Site Visit Team will select one year since the last site visit for review when on-site)

AND

C. Evidence of revision, if applicable, of all policies revised during the year selected for review

AND

D. If any policies were revised during the year selected for review, evidence that policy on policies has been followed related to current staff notification

INTERPRETATION

Intent

An element of quality improvement is in regular review and revision of policies to keep them current and applicable to the work of the agency. This activity is asking the department to have a procedure for the annual review and/or revision of policies. This “policy on policies” should describe how the staff will review policies, how revisions will be made and how staff will receive the information.

Guidance

The policy on policies is the document that guides the review and revision of, and staff training on agency policies.

The policy on policies should include:

- Guidelines on how the board of health approves agency policies (including which go to the board of health vs. health director)
- Detail on format for policies
- Protocol for establishing a new policy (including resource assessment)
- Detail on where policies are kept and how staff can access them
- Detail on how staff are informed of changes in policies
- Detail on how revisions are tracked.

Once the policy on policies is approved and in place, any new policies developed and adopted must follow the policy guidelines as outlined. When policies that were adopted prior to the policy on policies are reviewed, the new guidelines must be followed. If revised, the document must follow any formatting requirements. If the policy on policies specifies who signs specific types of policies, the site visitors will check to make sure they are appropriately signed and dated. It would be unusual for all policies to be reviewed and signed on the same date unless the agency sets aside one or more days each year for this review. If there are no changes, the policy can remain in its current state. As policies are reviewed, there must be some means to record this, even if there are no changes.

For the year selected for review, the department must provide the corresponding policy on policies (version that was in place at that time) along with all signed, dated policies. If any of these policies were revised, evidence of the revision must be provided- this can be done by keeping previous versions of a policy to show the history of any changes. Additionally, for policies that have been revised, there must be evidence that the policy on policies has been followed in regards to notifying staff about any

<p>changes. Notification and implementation of policy changes shall be consistent with the agency's policy on policies. The purpose under this activity is not on training, but on the adherence to policy on how the department informs staff about changes. This can be done through posting on the web or intranet, distribution of policy changes, email notification, or other communication methods. Whatever the method, evidence for staff notification must be provided for any policy revised during the year selected for review.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 1 B. On-site review C. On-site review D. On-site review
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Is there a policy on policies? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Does the policy state the review/revision procedure? ✓ Is there evidence of review and revision? ✓ If revised, is there evidence the policy on policies has been followed?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Policy Development</p> <p>Essential Service 5: Develop policies and plans that support individual and community health efforts.</p>
<p>Benchmark 15: The local health department shall develop plans to guide its work.</p>
<p>Activity 15.4: The local health department shall assess the internal and external resources that are available or needed to implement proposed new or updated policies and procedures.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the last site visit, evidence of assessment of resources that are available or needed to implement proposed new or updated policies and procedures</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent When the health department creates or expands a policy, there should be due consideration for the resources, including time and funds, needed to carry out and implement the policy. This activity directs the health department to demonstrate that an assessment of needed resources has been done specific to a given policy.</p> <p>Guidance There is one piece of required documentation for this activity. For a proposed, new or updated policy, evidence must be provided to show a resource assessment. This assessment can be discussed at a BOH meeting, and can be presented in a fiscal report of some type – such as the financial or resource impact of implementing the new or revised policy. It could also be a cost/benefit analysis should the new or revised policy be implemented. The department could also provide a projected budget. The budget should be related to the policy and the resources needed to implement. There are no required elements or processes for the resource assessment. The department should use the best process available to assess the true resource cost to implement proposed or revised policy. It is acceptable for the assessment to document that there is no resource need if so indicated.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Have any new or updated policies been established or proposed? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ If so, has documentation been provided that demonstrated a resource assessment for the new policy or proposed changes?

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services

Function: Policy Development

Essential Service 5: Develop policies and plans that support individual and community health efforts.

Benchmark 15: The local health department shall develop plans to guide its work.

Activity 15.5: The local health department shall ensure that new staff is oriented to program policies and procedures and existing staff receives training on any updated or revised program policies and procedures.

Documentation:

- A. Program orientation policy for new staff based on role
AND
- B. A list of all employees hired in the previous 12 months
AND
- C. Evidence indicating each new employee has completed policy training for the program(s) in which he/she will work
AND
- D. Procedure for distributing policy changes to existing/current staff
AND
- E. Evidence that appropriate staff have been notified of substantive policy updates/revisions related to their role

INTERPRETATION

Intent

This activity assesses how the health department keeps staff current in its knowledge of the policies and procedures that guide the work of the LHD. It requires the health department to make certain that staff are familiar with policies and procedures and includes both new and existing staff – either through orientation or through on-going training. Program policies and procedures are to be a part of the orientation process for new staff and all staff are to receive training on any updated or revised program policies and procedures. While staff are to be trained on all policies of the department, the focus of this activity is on program policies and procedures, not the administrative or departmental policies and procedures.

Guidance

The required documentation for this activity includes five elements. First the program orientation policy for new staff must be provided. The policy must include how staff will be oriented on policies of the department. For this activity, orientation to program policies must be addressed. As a means to confirm that the proper orientation/training has been given, the department must provide a listing of any employees who have been hired in the previous 12 months. The site visit team will check this list against orientation records to see that these employees have been oriented to the policies and procedures for any programs that they have responsibilities or duties. If this is not evident from the records, the site visitors may ask for staff assignments or areas in which they work. This review is to provide evidence that will indicate each new employee has completed program policy training. This may be within individual orientation records, a log or supervisor records. There is no required format for this information.

The department must also provide a procedure for distributing policy changes to all current staff. This may be included in the policy on policies or may be a separate procedure. Again, the focus is on the distribution of changes to program policies, not general policies of the department. Finally, the department should provide evidence that appropriate staff have been notified of substantive policy updates/revisions. This can be documented through a training log, minutes of a staff or team meeting, or other means. There is no required format for this evidence.

Orientation to policies and procedures, and any follow-up training is for those staff who work within the program in some manner or need to have knowledge of the program policies. For example, a home visitation nurse who will never work in immunizations does not need to be oriented to the immunization program policies. However, if that nurse is used as a back-up or is in a rotation to help offer coverage to the immunization clinic, then the nurse must be oriented and trained on those policies.

If no new employees have been hired within the previous 12 months, there will be no evidence for the orientation requirement and the department should provide evidence or a statement that there have been no new hires. If an existing staff member changes duties or switches to a position in another program, then the employee must be oriented to the program policies for the new program if not previously trained.

If an employee has been with the department for any amount of time prior to implementation of an orientation policy, there may be no documentation of that employee's orientation. However, if there are policy changes or updates, evidence that this information has been distributed to all appropriate employees is required.

Note that Activity 15.3 requires notification on policy changes, with the focus on any and all policies of the department, while the focus of this activity is on training for program policies.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

Documentation should be provided for all new staff in each county department in the district.

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

None.

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1
- C. 0+
- D. 1
- E. 0+

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Review orientation policy and training.
- ✓ Was evidence of training provided for all staff hired in 12 months prior to 90 day notification?
- ✓ Was a procedure for distributing program policy changes to all staff provided?
- ✓ Were there any program policy updates/revisions?
 - ✓ Were appropriate staff notified?
- ✓ Possible Interview Questions: How are staff oriented or trained on program policies and procedures and notified of changes? How are program policies distributed and made available to staff?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Policy Development</p> <p>Essential Service 5: Develop policies and plans that support individual and community health efforts.</p>
<p>Benchmark 15: The local health department shall develop plans to guide its work.</p>
<p>Activity 15.6: The local health department shall ensure that program policies and procedures are accessible to all staff.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Program Policies</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Evidence demonstrating accessibility by all health department staff</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity assesses the availability of program policies to all staff. All staff should know where such policies are located, especially those who work or have responsibilities within each program. It is important that all information is accessible to persons needing it. No one is expected to know, by memory, all the policies and procedures that guide the work of the LHD. However, it is expected that this information be available and that staff know where it is when needed.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation for this activity requires that there be program policies in existence and that they be accessible by all health department staff. Evidence is required to demonstrate both of these components. Program policies and procedures must be written and can be in hard copy or electronic form. There is no specified format. They can be placed in the respective program manuals or placed together in a single program policy manual. If the health department has several locations that deliver programmatic services, copies of the appropriate policies must be at each location in an accessible place. All program policies do not have to be at all locations – only the programs that operate within that facility. If hard copies are kept in multiple locations, all copies should be current and the same version with matching content. Copies can be stored on a server and accessible by computer drive or posted on a department web site. If so, all who are affected by the policy must have access. Each individual does not have to be assigned a computer, but must have access to one should the policy need to be reviewed or referenced.</p> <p>Policies must be accessible at all times. If hard copy, the location of the policies should be central. An office is fine, but the office cannot be locked during operational hours. For example, program policies may be kept in the office of the nurse supervisor who directs the program. That is acceptable, but if the nurse supervisor is away at a conference, meeting or vacation, the office cannot be locked in his/her absence.</p> <p>Activity 15.2 required a sample of program policies to review that the two required components of the activity were included. This activity requires all program policies for the department, but the site visitors will not be reviewing them for any policy content. The focus of this activity is to assess that program policies exist and are accessible.</p> <p>Activity 31.1 is a companion to this activity and relates to accessibility of administrative policies and procedures.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If policies are not provided centrally, such as on a web portal or intranet, accessibility of policies should be observed in each county.</p>

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None.
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1+ B. Narrative + on-site review
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Were program policies provided?✓ Was there evidence of accessibility?✓ Look for policy manuals during facility tours. Are they accessible by all staff?✓ Policy manuals may be available on-site during the site visit. If so, ask where they would be located in the facility.✓ If policies and procedures are electronic or on-line, does all staff have computer access?✓ If multiple sites, were the appropriate policies accessible in each location? If hard copy, were policy copies current?✓ Possible Interview Question: Ask staff where are program policies located and how do they access the policies.

BENCHMARK 16

Agency Public Health Law Training

Benchmarks 16-29 address the assurance function of the essential services. This benchmark addresses the use of laws and regulations to protect health. The staff are to have adequate knowledge of public health law and a basic working understanding of how the law and practice of public health relate to each other. There are three activities in this benchmark dealing with the orientation and training of staff to public health law. This training will give staff the knowledge needed to be familiar with public health law and regulations and how they are a part of practice.

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assurance</p> <p>Essential Service 6: Enforce laws and regulations that protect health and ensure safety.</p>
<p>Benchmark 16: The local health department staff shall have knowledge of public health law and an understanding of the relationship between the law and public health practice.</p>
<p>Activity 16.1: The local health director and unit directors, such as directors of communicable disease, nursing, clinical services and environmental health, shall receive ongoing training in current public health law and its application.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. List of appropriate directors who require training (must include health director, directors of communicable disease, nursing, and environmental health)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of at least two trainings each for appropriate directors in relation to applicable laws and their application to public health practice</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>Many aspects and programs of public health have the force of law in defining the actions taken. Some programs are primarily regulatory in nature. The law as an intervention is necessary when there is a population health threat and needed action that may have to be taken. Thus the health department staff must be knowledgeable about the legal application of laws and regulations they must enforce. This activity assesses that the proper personnel of the department are trained in public health law and how it is applied in practice.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>The health department determines who is an appropriate director requiring training. At a minimum, the activity defines the health director, communicable disease program director, nursing director or supervisor, and the environmental health director. The list of directors provided for this activity should be consistent when aligned to the agency's organizational chart. Depending on the make-up, directors may or may not be more than the management team members- it may include program managers under a nursing supervisor or director. This will vary by agency and will need to be determined case by case.</p> <p>The department must provide a record of health director's and unit directors' participation in some type of public health law training activity or update. This can be through a webinar, self-study course, web-based trainings, workshops, or conferences. It can also be an in-house presentation or staff meeting. The department can also submit records of health director and/or unit director participation in a legal conference. Any evidence must show the training for public health law and its application to practice. While the training event does not have to be solely dedicated to legal issues, it must have a component of public health law and application. Appropriate documentation would be the agenda and training materials if the conference title is not apparent as a reference to public health law. There is no required format for keeping the training evidence. It can be kept in meeting minutes, logs, certificates</p>

<p>of completion or in individual personnel records. If training is done in a group setting, there should be a participant record kept.</p> <p>On-going training can be determined by the local health department, but must include at least two trainings for each director since the previous site visit.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p>B. 8+</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Is there a record of health director and unit director training in public health law? ✓ Possible Interview Questions: How often is legal training provided for the health director and other directors? ✓ Was the list of appropriate directors provided (including the health director)? ✓ Is there evidence of ongoing training (2 events each)?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assurance</p> <p>Essential Service 6: Enforce laws and regulations that protect health and ensure safety.</p>
<p>Benchmark 16: The local health department staff shall have knowledge of public health law and an understanding of the relationship between the law and public health practice.</p>
<p>Activity 16.2: Local health department new employee orientation shall address public health laws and rules.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, evidence that new employee orientation addresses public health laws and rules</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>The previous activity measured how department leadership receives training in public health law. This activity requires that all new employees receive an orientation in public health law. New employees must be integrated to the ongoing work of the health department. This will include aspects of the law as it applies to public health and as it applies to the work assignments of the department.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>The documentation requires evidence that agency new employee orientation covers public health laws and rules. There are three possible options given for acceptable evidence of the orientation of new employees:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The department may provide copies of the orientation materials used to train new employees on public health law. The materials do not have to be developed by the department, but can be provided by another source. Orientation materials may be copies of rules and laws, a PowerPoint presentation, a checklist of materials, an agenda or an outline used for a presentation. The materials can be hard copy or electronic. 2. The department can also provide minutes of staff orientation meetings if training is conducted in a group setting. 3. Finally, the department can provide individual training records of new employees, indicating their orientation to public health. <p>The documentation for this activity is seeking to show a general orientation to law and practice for all staff. While the implication is for this general orientation, there will be aspects of law that are specific to positions such as for Registered Environmental Health Specialists, that would be a part of the orientation for the affected positions. Each department should have the level of legal training and knowledge defined and implemented. All staff should know that the department has a regulatory aspect to its scope of work and is responsible for enforcing certain law and rules, such as communicable disease control and environmental health rules. However, the general orientation process will not need the specifics of the laws and rules and their enforcement that individual positions will need to be trained for.</p> <p>The orientation policy required in Activity 15.5 can also be referenced to note any stated legal orientation or training for new staff.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>None</p>

Pieces of Evidence Required

A. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Is there evidence of new employee orientation to public health laws and their application?
- ✓ Does the evidence show that orientation of new employees to public health law has been conducted?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assurance Essential Service 6: Enforce laws and regulations that protect health and ensure safety.</p>
<p>Benchmark 16: The local health department staff shall have knowledge of public health law and an understanding of the relationship between the law and public health practice.</p>
<p>Activity 16.3: Environmental health staff shall be trained in the implementation of laws, rules and ordinances that they enforce and shall have access to copies of the laws, rules and ordinances.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. List of Environmental Health Specialists (EHS) currently employed/contracted and the programs for which they are enforcing <li style="text-align: center;">AND B. Evidence that registered EHS employees/contractors are maintaining training requirements of RS Board and programs for which they are enforcing <li style="text-align: center;">AND C. Evidence demonstrating accessibility to laws, rules and ordinances that they enforce
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent While all of benchmark 16 activities are linked, certain public health programs use legal tools more than others and these employees must have specified legal training. The focus of this activity is the application of laws and regulations in environmental health. Since this program is seen primarily as a regulatory program, and as an essential program in public health, the intent of the activity is to assess the degree that environmental health staff are familiar with public health law and can easily access copies of the laws they are responsible for enforcing.</p> <p>Guidance There are three components to include. The first is a listing of all Environmental Health Specialists (EHS) currently employed and/or contracted with, along with the programs they are enforcing rules for.</p> <p>Along with the list, the department must provide evidence that registered EHS employees and contractors are maintaining training requirements of the RS Board and the programs they are enforcing. The documentation may be through Centralized Intern Training, continuing education sessions, RS hours, public health meetings, and internal trainings. This can be through a log or individual records and can be hard copy or electronic.</p> <p>Finally, the health department must demonstrate accessibility to laws, rules and ordinances for environmental health staff. The copies of statues, rules, regulations and ordinances, if any, can be hard copy or electronic. If electronic, such as on a computer hard drive or server, then the staff must have computer access. If web-based, staff must have internet access. The department will determine the best method for access when staff are in the field. For electronic access, the department may demonstrate access for the site visitors during facility tours.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 1 B. 1+

C. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Is there evidence of accessibility to laws/rules?
- ✓ Is there evidence that registered EHS are maintaining training requirements?
- ✓ Possible interview questions: How does the staff access laws, rules and regulations (in office or in the field)?

BENCHMARK 17

Agency Public Health Law Implementation

Benchmark 17 continues the assessment of the health department's enforcement of laws. The focus here is on two primary enforcement duties of the health department – environmental health and communicable disease. While benchmark 16 addressed training, this benchmark looks at implementation and enforcement activities in these two program areas.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services
Function: Assurance
Essential Service 6: Enforce laws and regulations that protect health and ensure safety.
Benchmark 17: The local health department shall monitor compliance with public health laws and rules.
Activity 17.1: The local health department shall conduct inspection and permitting activities for state mandated environmental health regulatory programs.
Documentation: <ul style="list-style-type: none">A. Completion of <i>Activity 17.1 and 22.2 Division of Public Health State Programs Section of the XXXX County HDSAI Programs List</i> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">B. Letters from appropriate monitoring offices of NC Division of Public Health verifying environmental health program compliance <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">C. Evidence of performance improvement activities, as indicated by corrective action plan(s) or program letter(s)
INTERPRETATION
Intent <p>This activity assesses the health department role in performing inspection and permitting actions as an agent of the state for environmental health programs. In environmental health programs that the health department delivers, there are required inspections with a schedule of when these are to be done. The NCDPH has the responsibility of monitoring this work.</p>
Guidance <p>NCDPH programs have been directed to provide a letter to each local health department for any program that receives state or federal funding. The Environmental Health Program Letters will be sent by the Environmental Health Section Chief and one letter may address several programs under that section of NCDPH. The program letters provided as evidence should be the most current and should be dated within one year of the 90 day notification. If programs are not monitored by NCDPH, the health department will not receive a program letter for these programs.</p> <p>There are three components of documentation for this activity. The department should have program letters from the Environmental Health Section that verify program compliance by the department and should provide these. If noted in the letter, there may be a corrective action plan (CAP) required for the program. If there is an open CAP, there should be evidence of progress toward fulfilling CAP requirements and this should be presented as evidence. Lastly, all of this information should be summarized in <i>Activity 17.1 and 22.2 Division of Public Health State Programs Section of the XXXX County HDSAI Programs List</i> (available on the NCLHDA website). In completing the table, there are five columns of information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. First, check whether the department offers the program listed. The department may check each appropriate program or may answer “yes” or “no” to all programs.2. In the next column, note whether the program letter was received- if so, it should be provided as evidence.

3. Next, note the date of the most recent review for that program, if a review or monitoring is done. A review may be in-person or may be based on documentation or reports submitted by the department.
4. Next, note the results of the review based on whether any corrective action was requested. If all factors of compliance were met, put “met” in the program line. If corrective action was required, put “CAP” in the program line.
5. In the last line, place the status of the CAP- submitted, accepted, or closed.

When corrective action plans (CAP) are mentioned in the program letters, the following applies. If accepted and a CAP is open, the agency will need a letter/documentation stating such and the site visitors will need to see the CAP and any documentation on progress/improvement. The site visit team will not assess the content of any corrective action plans, but will check to see if they were submitted if required and if they have been accepted by the state environmental health program. If any timelines are indicated, they will expect to see that the work of the CAP is progressing as directed. If the CAP has been closed, the agency will need a letter/documentation stating such and the Site Visit Team does not review the closed CAP.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

If program monitoring letters are not issued for the district as a whole, there should be letters provided for the individual county or counties within the district.

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

None

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1+
- C. 0+

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Review list of programs and letters from the table with the activity.
- ✓ Is the table complete?
- ✓ If a corrective action plan is required, has it been accepted by NCDPH?
- ✓ Possible Interview Question: Ask staff about programs if clarification is needed.

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assurance</p> <p>Essential Service 6: Enforce laws and regulations that protect health and ensure safety.</p>
<p>Benchmark 17: The local health department shall monitor compliance with public health laws and rules.</p>
<p>Activity 17.2: The local health department shall conduct inspection and permitting activities assigned to the local health department by local rules, ordinances, or policies.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Completion of <i>Activity 17.2 Summary of Locally Mandated Regulatory Programs Environmental Health Section of the XXXX County HDSAI Programs List</i> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> B. Evidence of local program activities including copy of local rules, ordinances, or policies <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> C. If no local rules, ordinances or policies have been adopted, a signed statement from the board of health chair
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity is related to Activity 17.1. In that activity, the focus was on environmental health program activities from state-mandated programs in which the local health department acts as an agent of the state. This activity relates to the administration of environmental health programs as authorized by and specific to the county or jurisdiction covered by the health department.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation for this activity is to show evidence of any local environmental health programs, if any exist. These can be programs that are given authority for enforcement to environmental health staff by board of health rules, county ordinances or by policy. Other programs may be administratively assigned to or housed in the environmental health unit or division of the health department. Locally mandated environmental health programs may be approved by the local board of health or may be adopted through county ordinances. Since these are local programs, there will not be any program letters sent through NCDPH.</p> <p>This information should be summarized in <i>Activity 17.2 Summary of Locally Mandated Regulatory Programs Environmental Health Section of the XXXX County HDSAI Programs List</i> (available on the NCLHDA website). The table should be complete with dates as appropriate and any support material also submitted as evidence. Evidence of local program activities should include a copy of any local rules, ordinance, or the policy being enforced. The documentation should include records of program activities so the site visit team can verify that the agency is fulfilling its responsibilities to the program.</p> <p>If no local rules, ordinances or policies have been adopted, then a statement from the board of health chair must be submitted as evidence.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If evidence of local program activities is not provided for the district as a whole, it should be provided for each county.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 0+ (if applicable)
- C. 1 (if applicable)

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Review accompanying table and evidence.
- ✓ Were copies of local rules, ordinances or policies provided?
- ✓ Possible Interview Question: Ask staff about programs if clarification is needed.

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assurance</p> <p>Essential Service 6: Enforce laws and regulations that protect health and ensure safety.</p>
<p>Benchmark 17: The local health department shall monitor compliance with public health laws and rules.</p>
<p>Activity 17.3: The local health department shall monitor compliance with communicable disease control laws and rules.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Most recent annual summary of implemented control measures, isolation/quarantine orders, or arrest orders</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>Communicable disease control has been a cornerstone of public health practice since its early history. Public health has the (legal) responsibility to protect the population by the screening, detection, treatment and quarantine of persons and or groups who may spread a communicable disease or agent. This activity requires the department to monitor compliance with the laws and rules on communicable disease. This activity also implies the need to keep records and reporting data related to their jurisdiction. Monitoring of active or suspected cases is another function. This activity examines how the local health department will function in documenting full compliance with its authority, orders and control measures.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>There is one piece of documentation that must be provided and the department can create an annual summary that best shows compliance with the law. A list of reported diseases will not apply here – that is evidence for Activity 2.3; however, the annual CD Report could be used if the report is comprehensive and the appropriate information is included. The department can provide an annual summary of implemented control measures, isolation/quarantine orders, or arrest orders; the report may also be a combination of them.</p> <p>Communicable disease includes all reportable diseases in NC as well as others so defined by public health, such as influenza. Although there may not be control measures defined by law, there will be control measures that can be reported for this activity.</p> <p>The documentation is asking for one annual summary report. As a support, the department may need to show individual case documents. Although the site visit team members sign confidentiality forms, there is no need to show protected health information with evidence for this activity. Names and identifying information (such as address, phone & social security number) should be blacked out before sharing.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Review current reports presented as evidence. ✓ Is there evidence that the health department is monitoring compliance with communicable disease law?

BENCHMARK 18

Agency Public Health Law Enforcement

Benchmark 18 continues the activities associated with how the department enforces the public health laws over which it has authority. The department must define how it will enforce those laws and the actions it must take when there are complaints received. The four activities under this benchmark assess the department's preparation to enforce law and ability to take action when there is a violation.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services
Function: Assurance
Essential Service 6: Enforce laws and regulations that protect health and ensure safety.
Benchmark 18: The local health department shall enforce public health laws, rules and ordinances.
Activity 18.1: The local health department shall have written policies and procedures addressing enforcement of public health laws, rules and ordinances.
Documentation: A. Policies and procedures
INTERPRETATION
Intent Observing public health laws and rules is a must to protect health and ensure health for the population. Enforcement of the law is always needed and is a responsibility of the health department in cooperation with the NC Division of Public Health. As with other actions the health department must take, written policies are necessary for quality operation and for the consistent application of enforcement actions.
Guidance The requirement for this activity is the written policies and procedures used by the department that define how the enforcement of public health laws, rules and ordinances will be done. All health department programs with some type of legal authority should have written policies and procedures addressing enforcement of public health laws, rules and ordinances. The policies and procedures may be an overall policy, separate group of policies, or could be written within various program policies. If the agency does not have an overall policy that speaks to enforcement of law, it should present three to five examples of policies and procedures regarding laws, rules, and ordinance from various programs- such as communicable disease or environmental health. The agency should be prepared to present documentation if there is a specific request from the site visitors during their review of documentation for activities regarding the agency's enforcement of public health laws, rules and ordinances.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 or 3+
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Were policies and procedures that address the enforcement of public health laws, rules and ordinances present?<ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Review policies and procedures.✓ Possible Interview Question: Ask the health director, or other staff responsible for enforcement, how action(s) would be taken.

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assurance</p> <p>Essential Service 6: Enforce laws and regulations that protect health and ensure safety.</p>
<p>Benchmark 18: The local health department shall enforce public health laws, rules and ordinances.</p>
<p>Activity 18.2: The local health department shall take enforcement action for violations of public health laws, rules and ordinances.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of three examples of enforcement actions taken for violations of public health laws, rules and ordinances</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>With policies and procedures in place to define how the department will enforce the law, this activity assesses the use of the enforcement power by the local health department. The intent for this activity is to show that the department is fulfilling its responsibility to act when there is a violation of the law it oversees.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>The documentation for this activity is to provide at least three examples of enforcement actions taken. Examples could include notices of violations (NOV's), letters of intent to suspend or revoke or other legal actions, permit actions, criminal actions, inspections, warrants, quarantine orders, and isolation orders. The documentation that can be submitted is not limited to these examples, nor do they have to be included. The documentation is asking for examples, not every instance of enforcement action that has been taken. The examples should come from different programs (i.e. not three separate examples of letters of intent to suspend food service operations in environmental health). If all examples provided are from the same program, site visitors may ask if examples are available for other programs.</p> <p>A notice of violations (NOV's) may be a form or template that is completed or could be in a letter format. Enforcement actions/notices of violations for environmental health refer to actions to revoke or suspend a permit or other legal remedies taken, including embargo, warrants issued, injunctions or criminal actions. Enforcement actions/notices of violations, for communicable disease, are issued if an individual fails to comply with an isolation order or written control measures. Letters of intent to suspend or revoke or other legal actions included in correspondence are usually directed at a business or individual; they will be signed by the health director or the appropriate designee. Permit actions, including the application process, investigation process and issuance of a permit reflect another set of enforcement actions. A record of actions against criminal behavior can be submitted. Other examples include various inspection actions, issuance of warrants for violation of public health law and issuance of quarantine and isolation orders to show the department enforcing the law.</p> <p>The health department may also be responsible for local rules and ordinances specific to that county. If so, it is suggested that the department include enforcement actions in these areas in the documentation. The intent is for the documentation submitted to show the range of enforcement that the agency is responsible for.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 3</p>

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Were at least three examples provided of enforcement actions that have been taken by the health department?
 - ✓ Do the examples demonstrate the breath of responsibilities?
- ✓ Possible Interview question: Ask staff how enforcement action is taken and what actions have been taken.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assurance Essential Service 6: Enforce laws and regulations that protect health and ensure safety.
Benchmark 18: The local health department shall enforce public health laws, rules and ordinances.
Activity 18.3: The local health department shall have written policies and procedures for handling complaints related to enforcement of laws, rules and ordinances.
Documentation: A. Policies and procedures
INTERPRETATION Intent Part of the regulatory process is receiving complaints. Since the health department has a very diverse mission and serves the entire community in enforcing the law, complaints may result. Complaints can be against someone who is accused of violating the law. A complaint can also be against the health department for the way it has enforced the law. Complaints may be the result of action taken or of action not taken. Policy and procedure for recording, addressing and reporting of complaints must be in place to ensure that the complaint is properly handled. Guidance The department must have written policies and procedures that spell out how it handles complaints related to enforcement of laws, rules and ordinances. The written policies and procedures should include the handling of complaints for all health department programs that have responsibilities for the enforcement of laws, rules and ordinances. This would include environmental programs, clinical programs, and any locally enforced programs. The focus in this activity is on complaints related to the enforcement of laws, rules and ordinances. Any program that has enforcement action that the health department is responsible for must have a component in policy that defines how complaints are handled. There is no required format for the policies and they may be written as one document, may be several separate documents, or may be included within various program policies or manuals. Complaints about how the health department has enforced the law can be a part of programmatic policies or procedures or can be a part of general complaint handling policies.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Are policies and procedures for handling complaints related to enforcement of laws, rules and ordinances provided? ✓ Ask staff how complaints are handled.

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assurance</p> <p>Essential Service 6: Enforce laws and regulations that protect health and ensure safety.</p>
<p>Benchmark 18: The local health department shall enforce public health laws, rules and ordinances.</p>
<p>Activity 18.4: The local health department shall address complaints in accordance with its policies and procedures.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of review of three complaint reports demonstrating adherence to policies and procedures</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Activity 18.3 required policies and procedures handling complaints related to enforcement of laws, rules and ordinances. This activity is closely related and states that the department must act on complaints. This activity continues that process with evidence of three complaint reports demonstrating adherence to policies and procedures. Prompt and appropriate response, in accordance with agency policy, is necessary when responding to complaints. This activity assesses how departments are responding to complaints. Departments should show how their responses are leading to performance improvement within the agency.</p> <p>Guidance The requirements build on the documentation efforts of the previous activity. Activity 18.3 required policies and procedures for handling complaints related to the enforcement of laws, rules, and ordinances. This activity continues the process with examples of actions taken from complaints. The documentation is asking for examples, not every instance of a complaint investigation and response. The examples should come from different programs, if possible. If all examples provided are from the same program, site visitors may ask if examples are available for other programs. The examples must be related to a complaint regarding the enforcement of public health laws, rules, and ordinances and must adhere to the policies included in Activity 18.3</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required 1. 3</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Look for accordance with policy and procedure. ✓ Possible Interview Question: Ask how complaints are received and handled. ✓ Were three complaints reviewed?

BENCHMARK 19

Identification of Underserved Populations

Benchmarks 19 through 22 look at the essential service of linking people to the services that they need to assure good health. Benchmark 19 has a focus of the health department determining which populations in their jurisdiction are not receiving services or are underserved.

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assurance Essential Service 7: Link people to needed personal health services and assure the provision of health care when otherwise unavailable.</p>
<p>Benchmark 19: The local health department shall identify populations that are not receiving preventive services or are otherwise underserved with respect to health care.</p>
<p>Activity 19.1: The local health department shall assess use of public health programs and health care services by underserved, at-risk and vulnerable populations identified in the community health assessment process.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">A. Since the previous site visit, data on utilization of agency services by underserved populations ANDB. Since the previous site visit, data on utilization of agency services by at-risk populations ANDC. Since the previous site visit, data on utilization of agency services by vulnerable populations ANDD. Evidence of annual review of utilization data
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent The assurance role of public health means that a “population in need” can rely on public health to either link or provide the needed service. This activity asks the health department to assure this is happening by identifying the underserved, at-risk, and vulnerable populations in the communities served. These populations should be identified in the community health assessment (Activity 1.1). The intent is that the assessment of the use of services is related to both department services and to those provided by others.</p> <p>Guidance Documentation requires that the agency access the use of its own services and of access to services in the community by populations identified in the community health assessment process. All evidence must identify the population being discussed and should have been identified in the community health assessment process. The intent of the assessment is to show that, through both the LHD and outside providers, access for the identified populations is being met.</p> <p>The department must provide some type of data or information on the use of department services by three population groups – underserved, at-risk and vulnerable. The data does not have to be created by the department but can be from another source. Also, there is no specific requirement on the content or type of data – only that it show the use of services by one of the three groups. The three examples of data may come from one agency program or different programs.</p> <p>Though there are not universally accepted definitions of the three groups, here are some basic definitions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. <i>Underserved populations</i> relate to those who do not access health care either because there is a lack of services or providers available or because of limitations of income, literacy or understanding on how to access services.

2. *At-risk populations* are the members of a particular group who are likely to, or have the potential to, get a specified health condition. This could be from either engaging in behavior (such as pregnant women who smoke) that could cause a specified health condition or having an indicator or precursor (high blood pressure) that could lead to a specified health condition.
3. A *vulnerable population* is one that may be more susceptible than the general population to risk factors that lead to poor health outcomes. Vulnerable populations, a type of at-risk population, can be classified by such factors as race/ethnicity, socio-economic status, cultural factors and age groups.

The other component of the documentation is to provide evidence that utilization data is reviewed by the health department annually for these populations. The purpose of the review is to assess service use by these populations and what changes could be made to improve access.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

If data is not maintained or provided for the district as a whole, documentation should be provided for each county.

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

Human services agencies as a whole often serve the populations included in this Activity. However, all evidence submitted should be directly related to public health programs and health care services.

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1
- C. 1
- D. 4

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ What data is provided to show assessment of program use by underserved/at-risk/vulnerable populations?
- ✓ Were these underserved/at-risk/vulnerable populations identified in the CHA?
- ✓ Since previous site visit, was there evidence of annual review of utilization data?

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services

Function: Assurance

Essential Service 7: Link people to needed personal health services and assure the provision of health care when otherwise unavailable.

Benchmark 19: The local health department shall identify populations that are not receiving preventive services or are otherwise underserved with respect to health care.

Activity 19.2: The local health department shall take actions to include linguistically and culturally representative persons in planning and implementing programs intended to reach underserved population groups.

Documentation:

A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of participation by such persons in at least one planning activity targeted at that population

AND

B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of participation by such persons in at least one implementation activity targeted at that population.

INTERPRETATION

Intent

Targeted populations are often identified but may not be appropriately included in the planning and implementation of services. A possible result can be that programs are not as effective as they could be and may not meet the need of the intended populations. Cultural considerations and language/literacy barriers must be considered when developing programs to reach underserved populations. If the department has staff who are representatives of these underserved groups, input may be facilitated. However, if such representation is not available, planned efforts must be taken to assure input. The purpose for this activity is to demonstrate the department's efforts to include linguistically and culturally representative persons when developing and delivering programs that are intended to reach underserved populations.

Guidance

The required documentation for this activity is twofold. It is to provide evidence of participation by a representative of an underserved population during the planning of a program for that population. It is also to provide evidence of participation by a representative of an underserved population during the implementation of a program for that population. The documentation should demonstrate participation in one planning activity and in one implementation activity. Examples of planning activities may include- but are not limited to- focus groups, interviews, and planning meetings. Examples of implementation activities may include- but are not limited to- media/promotional campaigns and program delivery.

The essence of the documentation requirement is to have the *perspective* of the target audience included during program planning and implementation. If there are linguistic and cultural considerations for the underserved population, then both perspectives should be represented. This may be through one person or through multiple individuals. Two different programs can be used to demonstrate the planning and implementation requirements, however both must include the appropriate individuals. The best documentation would link the entire process and include linguistically and culturally representative persons in the planning AND implementation of a program.

There may be possible situations where there are no actual members of that group with enough command of the English language or other concepts to be able to effectively participate. In such an instance, the local health department would need to involve a "representative" or liaison selected by that group. Staff who are representatives of the underserved population under consideration may

<p>assist in locating representatives and may even serve, but should not be the sole source of linguistic and cultural representation.</p> <p>If a service area includes a very small number of minorities (for example, a number below the percentage threshold used in the Title VI plan), linguistically and culturally appropriate materials may not be needed. In this case, include some form of evidence that there is no community need in terms of culturally and linguistically appropriate materials due to the population size. This information should include census information or some documentation that supports the conclusion that there is no community need.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p>B. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Does the evidence show participation by linguistically and culturally representative persons in a planning activity? ✓ Does the evidence show participation by linguistically and culturally representative persons in an implementation activity? ✓ Are the representatives from the targeted underserved population? ✓ Are the representatives all staff? ✓ Possible Interview Question: Ask staff how linguistic and cultural representatives are selected.

BENCHMARK 20

Assuring Access to Care

Benchmark 20 continues the work of connecting people to the services they need. The focus of this benchmark is in the health department's efforts to bring on other community partners, organizations and providers in the provision of resources needed to tackle health care problems. The two activities in this benchmark measure health department collaboration with community providers to remove barriers to care and to provide services.

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assurance Essential Service 7: Link people to needed personal health services and assure the provision of health care when otherwise unavailable.</p>
<p>Benchmark 20: The local health department shall mobilize the community to address health care resource needs.</p>
<p>Activity 20.1: The local health department shall collaborate with community health care providers to provide personal and preventive health services.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of collaboration with community health care providers to provide personal health services <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of collaboration with community health care providers to provide preventive health services
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent For the health department to mobilize the community, it must collaborate and engage with partners and health care providers. It is not possible, nor desirable, for the health department to meet all health care needs given resource limitations and the objective to have community ownership of the health of residents. The intent of this activity is to assess department collaboration with partners to provide services. Involvement of health providers and other health care partners from the community assures that needed care is provided to all segments of the population.</p> <p>Guidance Departments should work with providers in their jurisdiction for both personal health care (such as primary care and disease management) and preventive health care (such as screenings and tobacco cessation). Preventive health care can be targeted to individuals and to populations. Evidence of collaborative efforts could be an assessment for a current or proposed service, joint efforts to expand services, development of new services, or efforts to reach targeted populations. The department can use meeting minutes where community providers are present and involved in the discussion. A media report, including a press release or newspaper article, which details the collaborative work and the partners involved can be submitted. Records that detail the collaboration such as a written report, correspondence, and memoranda of agreement can be used as evidence. Finally, any records or documentation that demonstrates the health department's active participation in a community health care network, alliance or partnership is acceptable. The documentation does not have to be written or produced by the health department, but could be a partner-developed document. However, all documentation must show health department and community providers working together to provide personal and preventive health services.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies
None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 B. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Does the evidence show collaboration with community health care providers to provide personal and preventive health services?✓ Possible Interview Questions: What collaborative efforts with community health care providers to provide personal and preventive health services are underway? How were those services selected?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assurance</p> <p>Essential Service 7: Link people to needed personal health services and assure the provision of health care when otherwise unavailable.</p>
<p>Benchmark 20: The local health department shall mobilize the community to address health care resource needs.</p>
<p>Activity 20.2: The local health department shall collaborate with community health care providers and agencies to reduce barriers to access to care.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of at least two collaborations with community health care providers to reduce barriers to access to care</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>This activity is similar to the previous activity in that the health department is to mobilize the community through a collaborative process with community health care providers. The focus for this activity is on the reduction of barriers to access to care. During the collaboration and planning process, barriers to care need to be prevented and removed. It is the health department's role and responsibility, working with partners, to identify these barriers and coordinate planning on how to remove obstacles that prevent residents from accessing health care services.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>While Activity 20.1 focused on collaboration to provide personal and preventive health services, this activity focuses on collaboration to reduce barriers to access to care. Barriers to access to care are varied. The health department, along with community providers, will select the barriers to work on. The barriers should be present in the community and should be undertaken by the partners in the collaboration. However, different partners may be working on different barriers at the same time. Examples of barriers to care include such issues as lack of health insurance, lack of transportation, limited income (inability to pay), hours of service provision, low literacy, language and lack of trust of governmental health care.</p> <p>The documentation provided must demonstrate how the partners are working to reduce barriers. Meeting minutes, media reports, written reports, and correspondence are types of records that could document the partners involved, the barriers selected to reduce, and the plans or strategies for reducing those barriers. A collaborative grant application or letter of support for grants can be used if the purpose of, or an element of, the grant is to reduce barriers to care. The documentation does not have to be written or produced by the health department but could be a partner-developed document. However, all documentation must show health department and community providers working together to reduce barriers to care. At least two examples must be provided for this activity.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 2</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Are there two examples of documentation provided? ✓ Does the evidence show collaboration with community health care providers to reduce barriers to access to care?

✓ Possible Interview Questions: What barriers to access to care are being worked on with community partners? How were those barriers to access to care identified and selected?

BENCHMARK 21

Linking the Community to Health Services

In linking people to needed services, the health department has a major role. Often seen and perceived as the “provider of last resort”, the health department seeks to ensure that all residents are receiving appropriate health care. This means that the health department provides some services and also is fully aware of the other services available in the community and can unite people with those services.

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assurance Essential Service 7: Link people to needed personal health services and assure the provision of health care when otherwise unavailable.</p>
<p>Benchmark 21: The local health department shall lead efforts in the community to link individuals with preventive, health promotion, and other health services.</p>
<p>Activity 21.1: The local health department shall make available to the general public a current, comprehensive list of community health and wellness resources.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">A. Copy of current resource list or directory<li style="text-align: center;">ANDB. Policy or mechanism for dissemination of list<li style="text-align: center;">ANDC. Since the previous site visit, evidence of three examples of dissemination of list
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Health departments have a responsibility for striving to create a community vision in which people can be healthy. Linking the public to available resources is a necessary part of that vision. In order to make this linkage, the health care resources that are available must be known. This activity requires that the health department take an active role in assuring that a list of community resources is made available to all residents.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation for this activity has three components. First, the department should provide a copy of the current resource list, guide or directory. The LHD does not have to develop or maintain the resource list or directory. However, it should be current and be the resource that is used by the department. The list can be hard copy or electronic.</p> <p>The resource list should be comprehensive. That is, it should include all known sources and providers of health and wellness services in the community. It can be related to just health care resources or can be a part of a larger community guide that includes a variety of resources (such as relief organizations, emergency service agencies and food assistance).</p> <p>The second component for documentation is to provide a copy of the policy, procedure or mechanism for the distribution of the resource list. This process should detail the department role in distributing the list. While it does not matter who develops the list, the health department should be an active participant in the dissemination of the list and show examples of how that is accomplished. The policy can be comprehensive on the list (including development, updating and responsibilities, etc.) or may be a stand-alone protocol on the distribution. The only element required by this activity is on how the health department is involved in the distribution of the list.</p> <p>The final component of the documentation is to provide at least three examples demonstrating the dissemination of list. This can be a list of places that the health department places the list, a posting on</p>

<p>the department’s website or providing a link to a site where it is posted. Brochures and flyers can educate about the availability of the list. Media reports, including press releases, PSAs and news articles, can demonstrate informing the public about the availability of the list and how it can be accessed. One means of dissemination of the list that should always be offered is to have copies or access available at the health department for clients, visitors and the public.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If there is not one resource list for the district as a whole, then a resource list and subsequent documentation should be provided for each county.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 1 B. 1 C. 3
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Were all three items of evidence present – current resource list, policy or mechanism for distribution and examples of how distributed? ✓ Is the resource list comprehensive and current? ✓ Possible Interview Question: Ask how the resource list is kept current and disseminated.

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assurance Essential Service 7: Link people to needed personal health services and assure the provision of health care when otherwise unavailable.</p>
<p>Benchmark 21: The local health department shall lead efforts in the community to link individuals with preventive, health promotion, and other health services.</p>
<p>Activity 21.2: The local health department shall make available complete and up-to-date information about local health department programs, services and resources.</p>
<p>Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of three examples of linguistically and culturally appropriate information about agency programs, services, and resources</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent One aspect to linking people with services is for the health department to ensure that information about its services is readily available. This activity demonstrates how the health department describes and distributes information on services to residents. The availability of information must be related to the community served and ensure access by all populations. It is important that information be complete, up-to-date and be presented in a linguistically and culturally appropriate manner.</p> <p>Guidance The focus of this activity is to show that the department has complete and up-to-date information about programs, services and resources available to the community and that it is appropriate in its presentation. The documentation requires three examples (e.g., signage, brochures, flyers, websites, newsletter, health fair promotions, media articles) of how the health department accomplishes this. There are no required examples that must be provided as evidence. The department is to select documentation that best shows how it is providing information on its services to reach all segments of the community. Some examples are given but the documentation is not limited to these examples.</p> <p>The examples must also be linguistically and culturally appropriate- this means that general information about agency programs, services, and resources provided by the department must be appropriate for a diverse mix of populations in the community. The National Standards on Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services (CLAS) (source: http://minorityhealth.hhs.gov/templates/browse.aspx?lvl=2&lvlID=15) state that linguistically appropriate would mean that materials are available for individuals/populations with low literacy levels, with limited English proficiency and materials would be available in languages needed to serve the community. CLAS defines culturally appropriate as being “provided in a manner compatible with... cultural health beliefs and practices and preferred language.”</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 3</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Site visitors may need to review summary data (from other activities or the CHA) on population demographics in order to evaluate the evidence. ✓ Were three examples of documentation provided? ✓ Is the evidence presented linguistically and culturally appropriate? ✓ Is the information complete and up-to-date?

- ✓ Possible Interview Question: How does the department determine that information is linguistically and culturally appropriate?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assurance</p> <p>Essential Service 7: Link people to needed personal health services and assure the provision of health care when otherwise unavailable.</p>
<p>Benchmark 21: The local health department shall lead efforts in the community to link individuals with preventive, health promotion, and other health services.</p>
<p>Activity 21.3: The local health department shall develop and implement strategies to increase use of public health programs and services.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Plans or policies for increasing utilization of public health programs and services</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of two examples of culturally and linguistically appropriate implementation of those plans or policies</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>It is important for local public health to reach out to populations and residents who are not served and would benefit from the services provided by the LHD. The LHD better achieves its mission of creating a healthy community when that community is reached and served by the varied programs of public health. This activity requires the health department to seek out those who do not use public health services, or may not use all available services, by the development and implementation of strategies to increase use.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>There are two components to the documentation for this activity. The department must provide plans or policies that show the development and implementation of strategies for increasing use of public health programs and services. This can include targeting those who do not use any public health services or for those who use some, but not all that is available to them. This activity does not require that the department document an increase in use, only that it has developed and implemented strategies to achieve this.</p> <p>The second component of the documentation is that in implementing the strategies that have been developed, there is evidence that it is done in a culturally and linguistically appropriate manner. This means that the plans or policies being implemented are done in a way that addresses the cultural and linguistic needs of populations that may be targeted in the strategies to increase use. Also, see the Guidance in Activity 21.2 for further explanation of culturally and linguistically appropriate. At least two examples must be provided for this activity.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p>B. 2</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Are there plans or policies for increasing use of public health programs and services? ✓ Do the plans or policies show development and implementation of strategies to increase use? ✓ Is there evidence that the plans have been implemented? ✓ Is the implementation culturally and linguistically appropriate?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assurance Essential Service 7: Link people to needed personal health services and assure the provision of health care when otherwise unavailable.</p>
<p>Benchmark 21: The local health department shall lead efforts in the community to link individuals with preventive, health promotion, and other health services.</p>
<p>Activity 21.4: The local health department shall assure that the program planning and implementation involve community health advocates that represent populations being served in the local health department.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of program planning that shows involvement of community health advocates <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of program implementation that shows involvement of community health advocates
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Previous activities address the inclusion of people who are of a population being targeted for services they can access for health care. This activity speaks to having representation for populations being served. The activity requires that program planning and implementation being done by the department include community health advocates that will serve as representatives for the populations being served in the local health department. Here the wording speaks to having an advocate for a population – which can refer to a member of the population, a community champion, or others who speak for a particular population.</p> <p>Guidance There are two pieces of documentation that must be present for this activity. First, evidence that shows the involvement of community health advocates in program planning must be provided. Linked to that is evidence that shows involvement of community health advocates in program implementation. These documents can be related to the same program (showing both planning and implementation activities) or can be from separate programs. The documentation can be related to a new or an existing program. The focus of the documentation is to demonstrate that community health advocates are involved as representatives of populations being served. Therefore, the evidence should clearly show what program is involved as well as what community health advocates are participating and how they represent the populations being served by the health department. The implication in the activity is that the populations are already being served by the department.</p> <p>In some cases, it may be appropriate for health department staff to serve as advocates, but this should be minimal. Advocates should come from outside the department to minimize conflicts of interest. It can place staff in an awkward position if they must balance being an advocate for a population being served and be a spokesperson for and defending department actions.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 1 B. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Do the documents show the involvement of community health advocates in planning and in implementation?

BENCHMARK 22

Agency Healthcare Services

Benchmark 22 wraps up the health department's efforts to link people to needed services. The three activities under this benchmark assess how the department serves as a health care provider in the community when local need so dictates and when there is authority for the department to provide such services. This is measured against the capacity and the resources available to the department to provide such services. This benchmark addresses both planning and assessing for unmet needs. It also reviews the programs and services offered by the department and sees that they are provided according to law or contract.

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assurance Essential Service 7: Link people to needed personal health services and assure the provision of health care when otherwise unavailable.</p>
<p>Benchmark 22: The local health department shall serve as a health care provider when local needs and authority exist, and the agency capacity and resources are available.</p>
<p>Activity 22.1: When the local health department determines that there are compelling unmet health care needs in the community, the local health department shall develop a plan with community leaders and providers to meet the unmet needs, which may include the establishment and provision of such services by the local health department if the department has the authority, capacity and resources to address the unmet needs.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">A. Community Health Action Plans from most recent CHA with approval notice from NCDPH <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of implementation and results of Community Health Action Plans
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity incorporates the benchmark into its language. The local health department, through the Community Health Assessment and other community survey processes, should be able to determine if there are unmet health care needs in the community. If that is the case, the health department, in cooperation with both community leaders and providers, is to develop a plan to meet the unmet needs. The needs may be met by community providers or the health department. Based on the unmet need, the health department may need to develop new services. This is in light of several things – the need is compelling (it is of such a high level it must be addressed), and the department has the authority, capacity and resources to address the need. This activity is an assurance function of the health department to link the population with needed personal health services. When there are unmet needs, the LHD is to work with community partners to develop a plan to meet those needs. The response should include development and provision of services if appropriate for the LHD.</p> <p>Guidance As documentation for this activity, the Community Health Action Plans from the most recent CHA are used to demonstrate how the health department will address unmet needs. As a part of the CHA, unmet health care needs should be assessed and/or analyzed. This information will become part of the basis on which to develop a plan on how to meet these needs. Community Health Action Plans can include a newly developed plan that is not yet fully implemented. If the community health action plans are new, there should be some evidence of implementation within the year due. If plans have been in place for less than one year, results from implementation of community health action plans generated from the previous CHA should be provided.</p>

The department should provide the email notice stating that NCDPH has received and reviewed the Community Health Action Plans. If the most recent CHA was due in March and the site visit is in the August-October time period, the agency may provide draft Community Health Action Plans (though they may have been received but not reviewed by NCDPH).

The local health departments must follow the NCDPH guidelines in developing Community Health Action Plans. The plans are to be complete, should demonstrate collaboration, and designate a lead agency. The Community Health Action Plans will be:

- A. on the form provided by NCDPH
- B. from identified priorities of the community health assessment
- C. targeted to identified at-risk groups
- D. aligned with Healthy NC 2020 objectives
- E. reflective of multilevel interventions

In addition, health departments must provide evidence of implementation and results of Community Health Action Plans. This evidence can be provided through such records as meeting meetings, logs, and reports. The documentation should show how the department, along with partners, are implementing the action plans and what results were obtained.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

If Community Health Action Plans are not developed for the district as a whole, then the Community Health Action Plans for each county should be provided.

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

None

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 4 (assuming three priorities chosen from CHA)
- B. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Were Community Health Action Plans provided?
- ✓ Was there evidence of implementation and results of Community Health Action Plans?

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services

Function: Assurance

Essential Service 7: Link people to needed personal health services and assure the provision of health care when otherwise unavailable.

Benchmark 22: The local health department shall serve as a health care provider when local needs and authority exist, and the agency capacity and resources are available.

Activity 22.2: The local health department shall comply with laws, rules and contractual requirements for programs and services provided pursuant to the local health department's consolidated agreement and agreement addenda, including requirements for corrective action.

Documentation:

A. Completion of *Activity 17.1 and 22.2 Division of Public Health State Programs Section of the XXXX County HDSAI Programs List*

AND

B. Letters from appropriate monitoring offices of NC Division of Public Health verifying program compliance

AND

C. Evidence of performance improvement activities, as indicated by CAP(s) or program letter(s)

INTERPRETATION

Intent

The purpose of this activity is to demonstrate how the health department is complying with the consolidated agreement and agreement addenda from DPH. This is done through statements from NC Division of Public Health programs declaring if a department is in compliance with program requirements. The status of any corrective action will also be included. This statement is done by a "program letter" that is provided to LHDs from each program they receive funds from. The purpose of the program letters is to assure that each local health department is reviewed on a fair and equitable basis. This is a performance improvement function for both DPH and the LHD. When monitoring of a program notes a deficiency, corrections must be made to bring the program into compliance. When corrective action is required, it should be accepted as appropriate, implemented and the plan closed when complete. Lack of compliance could threaten funding, thus threatening the future provision of the program by the health department.

Guidance

NCDPH programs have been directed to provide a letter to each local health department for any program that receives state or federal funding. The DPH letters will be sent by the DPH Program Consultants or staff and one letter may address several programs under that Section of NCDPH (e.g., all Child Health programs). DPH program managers will communicate with counties regarding program letters. The program letters should be the most current and should be dated within one year of the 90 day notification date. If programs are not monitored by DPH, the health department will not receive a program letter for these programs.

There are three components of documentation for this activity. The department should have program letters from the all appropriate monitoring offices of DPH that verify program compliance by the department and should provide these. Monitoring letters needs to be from and signed by a specific person. The signature can be written or sent electronically. The important thing is that the document should indicate who generated the program letters. Letterhead and an individual's name and title should be included. If the letter does not indicate who generated it, the LHD should request an additional letter. If the most recent program review for a DPH program was several years ago or infrequent, this information may be included in the program letter and the letter should be dated within the past year. Program Letters should include a statement, similar to "Our last monitoring visit in ___ indicated ___ (The results of the last monitoring activity)." In addition, the program letter

should include information related to corrective action plans, and implementation of those plans if indicated.

When corrective action plans (CAP) are mentioned in the program letters, all corresponding CAP communication must be included as evidence. If accepted and a CAP is open, the agency will need a letter/documentation stating such and the site visitors will need to see the CAP and any documentation on progress/improvement. The site visit team will not assess the content of any corrective action plans, but will check to see if they were submitted if required and if they have been accepted by the NCDPH office. If any timelines are indicated, they will expect to see that the work of the CAP is progressing as directed. If the CAP has been closed, the agency will need a letter/documentation stating such and the Site Visit Team does not review the closed CAP.

Lastly, all of this information should be summarized in *Activity 17.1 and 22.2 Division of Public Health State Programs Section of the XXXX County HDSAI Programs List* (available on the NCLHDA website). In completing the table, there are five columns of information:

1. First, check whether the department offers the program listed. The department may check each appropriate program or may answer “yes” or “no” to all programs.
2. In the next column, note whether the program letter was received- if so, it should be provided as evidence.
3. Next, note the date of the most recent review for that program, if a review or monitoring is done. A review may be in-person or may be based on documentation or reports submitted by the department.
4. Next, note the results of the review based on whether any corrective action was requested. If all factors of compliance were met, put “met” in the program line. If corrective action was required, put “CAP” in the program line.
5. In the last line, place the status of the CAP- submitted, accepted, or closed.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

If program monitoring letters are not provided for the district as a whole, then letters should be provided for all programs in each county.

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

None

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1+
- C. 1+ (if applicable)

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Review to see that program letters are present for the programs administered by the LHD.
- ✓ Review the table that accompanies this activity. Was the table complete?
- ✓ Was a copy of the Corrective Action Plan provided, if required by program letter?
 - ✓ Is there a letter of acceptance if plan was accepted by DPH?
 - ✓ Does evidence contain a record of activities to date if the plan is still open?
 - ✓ Was there evidence of progress toward fulfilling CAP requirements dated within the last 6 months?
 - ✓ Was there evidence of performance improvement activities, as indicated by corrective action plan or program letter?

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services

Function: Assurance

Essential Service 7: Link people to needed personal health services and assure the provision of health care when otherwise unavailable.

Benchmark 22: The local health department shall serve as a health care provider when local needs and authority exist, and the agency capacity and resources are available.

Activity 22.3: The local health department shall comply with laws and rules relating to programs and services offered by local health department but not covered by the consolidated agreement and agreement addenda.

Documentation:

- A. Completion of *Activity 23.2 Program and Services Offered by the Local Health Department But Not Covered by the Consolidated Agreement and Agreement Addenda* Section of the *XXXX County HDSAI Programs List*

AND

- B. Policies and procedures for each program

AND

- C. Since the previous site visit, evidence of performance improvement activities resulting from oversight or monitoring, as indicated

INTERPRETATION

Intent

The consolidated agreement, and associated agreement addenda, define the required work of a local health department regarding funds that come from state and federal sources and flow through state agencies. The agreement provides guidance as to certain operational and programmatic requirements. However, this agreement does not limit the work of the LHD and there may be programs directed by the LHD that are not mentioned through the consolidated agreement or agreement addenda. Compliance with laws and rules is expected and should be monitored to ensure that compliance even for those programs. This activity is a follow-up to the previous one. Activity 22.2 assessed compliance with programs and services covered by the consolidated agreement and agreement addenda. This activity assesses compliance of programs and services offered by local health department but not covered by the consolidated agreement and agreement addenda.

Guidance

The documentation for this activity is three-part. Copies of the policies and procedures for each program offered by the health department and NOT covered by agreement addenda must be provided. There must also be some type of evidence of program oversight or monitoring by the department. Lastly, all of this information should be summarized in *Activity 23.2 Program and Services Offered by the Local Health Department But Not Covered by the Consolidated Agreement and Agreement Addenda* Section of the *XXXX County HDSAI Programs List* (available on the NCLHDA website).

The table is a guide for the agency in completing documentation for this activity and will guide the site visitors in their review. The table has some programs that may be offered by the department. There are blank lines for the department to add other programs that it offers. There are four columns on the table that are to be completed for each program the health department offers. In compiling the table information, the department must complete all columns for programs administered:

1. The first is for the department to note whether it offers the program or not. The department may check each appropriate program or may answer yes or no to all programs.

In addition, the agency will need to provide evidence showing how it monitors these programs. The next three columns on the table deal with monitoring:

2. First note the type of monitoring or oversight process. In completing the “nature of the oversight activity” column, an agency may conduct, but is not limited to one of the following:
 - Program and/or chart audit
 - on-site monitoring
 - quality improvement program
 - self-audit or internal audit
3. Next, give the date of the most recent monitoring or oversight activity.
4. Finally, state the outcome of the monitoring or oversight activity. The “outcome of most recent oversight activity”, depending upon what the department has completed, could range from the need for an internal action plan to the program was compliant. Examples for completing the column could include such outcomes as “no irregularities noted”, “on schedule”, “needs review in 2 weeks”, or “audit scheduled”. This column is just a summary of the review. There should be more complete documentation either in program documentation or through the department’s QI program that is to be made available to the site visitors for review.

Health departments also need to provide evidence of performance improvement activities resulting from the oversight or monitoring of these programs that has been conducted since the previous site visit. As with Activity 22.2, the performance improvement activities can be a part of the overall QI plan and process or can be a separate process linked directly to this activity. However, documentation on improvement should be related to the requirements of this activity.

If a health department serves only as the pass-through for funds and is NOT involved in the activities or administration of the funded program they do NOT need to list the program or provide any other type of oversight for accreditation purposes.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

If evidence is not provided for the district as a whole, it should be provided for all programs in each county.

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

None

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1+ (if applicable)
- C. 1+ (if applicable)

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Are there policies and procedures for each program offered?
- ✓ Review the table that accompanies this activity. Was the table complete?
- ✓ Was there evidence of oversight and monitoring of listed programs?
- ✓ Was there evidence of performance improvement activities, as indicated by oversight or monitoring?

BENCHMARK 23

Staff Qualifications

This benchmark begins the essential service of having employees of the health department who are competent in the duties they perform. While all positions will have general qualifications for the individuals who will fill them (See Activity 31.4), some positions may have credentials that are specified by law or through some type of system awarding credentials. This benchmark examines three areas of qualifications for the department – the health director, the medical director and staff who must fulfill requirements of a registration, certification or licensure program.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assurance Essential Service 8: Assure competent public and personal health care workforce.
Benchmark 23: The local health department staff shall meet statutory and regulatory qualifications for their positions.
Activity 23.1: The local health department shall have, or be recruiting, a health director who meets the legal requirements for the position.
Documentation: A. Evidence that the current health director has required credentials OR B. Evidence that the board of health is seeking a health director with required credentials
INTERPRETATION Intent Qualified leadership is a legal, ethical and practical responsibility. This activity requires the department to have a health director who meets the legal requirements for the position. Whenever the department is without a director, it must recruit candidates who will meet the legal requirements for the position. NC Statute defines the legal requirements of the health director position. It is the responsibility of the department and its governing board to fulfill this requirement when recruiting and hiring a health director. Guidance The evidence for this activity is to provide proof of the health director's credentials. The credentials provided must match the statutory and job description requirements. Evidence of required credentials can be materials that demonstrate that the health director has fulfilled the requirements to be in the position as defined by statute - § 130A-40 – Appointment of local health director. This may be a copy of a diploma showing degree awarded, a copy of the transcript stating the degree awarded, or a letter from the Division of Public Health stating that the division has determined that the person is qualified to be in the position of health director. If a health director was hired into the position prior to 2004, there is no need to request a letter from DPH stating that a person is qualified since such letters were not provided prior to that. However, other credentials do need to be provided. Health directors hired after 2004 should be able to produce a DPH letter stating that they are qualified for the appointment. If the health director position is not filled at the time of the due date of the HDSAI, evidence the BOH is seeking a health director with appropriate credentials must be submitted. Evidence the board is seeking a qualified new director could include minutes, a job posting, or any other form of documentation that demonstrates the board is seeking a qualified candidate.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

For consolidated agencies, if the human services director does not meet the qualifications to be a local health director, then a qualified person must be appointed and must have responsibility to carry out the responsibilities designated in statute to the local health director. In this case, the agency should submit the qualifications for this person along with documentation from the agency’s governing board that they are designated to carry out the responsibilities designated in statute to the local health director.

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1+ (if applicable)
- B. 1 (if applicable)

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Was proof of the credentials of the health director provided?
- ✓ If the department is recruiting a health director, is there evidence of seeking a health director with appropriate credentials?
- ✓ Possible Interview Question: If recruiting a health director, discuss plan with BOH representatives.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services

Function: Assurance

Essential Service 8: Assure competent public and personal health care workforce.

Benchmark 23: The local health department staff shall meet statutory and regulatory qualifications for their positions.

Activity 23.2: The local health department staff shall meet all registration, certification or licensure requirements for positions held and duties assigned.

Documentation:

- A. Evidence of up-to-date registration, certification or licensure for staff as required by each staff position.

Site Visitors will review randomly selected personnel records based on health department size. All records reviewed must meet the documentation required.

<u>Health Dept. Staff</u>	<u>Personnel Records Accessed</u>
30 or fewer	Up to 8 records
31-100	Up to 15 records
101 or more	Up to 15% of total staff's records

Site visitors will review at least one individual in each of the following roles: public health nursing, environmental health, and member of the management team. If the randomly selected personnel records do not reflect these roles, additional personnel records may be requested.

INTERPRETATION

Intent

This activity requires the department to meet all registration, certification or licensure requirements. This refers to credentialing required for a position held (such as nursing and environmental health) and for duties assigned (credentialing required by a job description, such as CPR certification for the Safety Officer). The need for a qualified workforce is essential to the delivery of services and guaranteeing that proper credentials exist assures the public that they are served by such. Public health is a multidisciplinary profession, and there are a variety of requirements for professionals. If there are registrations, certifications and/or licenses required by positions or duties, the personnel in those positions should be up-to-date in maintaining their credentials.

Guidance

This activity is one of several that will require personnel record review by the site visitors for documentation. For each record selected, the site visitors will assess whether the position has any registration, certification or licensure requirements. If so, they will review to determine if the person in the position has the required credentials and that any requirements for those credentials are up to date. This would include any required training or continuing education that is related to the credential held. This type of training or continuing education is considered a requirement for Activity 24.3. See the guidance for that activity when reviewing continuing education or training records.

Generally, credentials required by position will be directed toward providers, nursing and environmental health. Site visitors do not need to review or assess any documentation for licensure when the license is granted by a legal entity such as a professional board. By virtue of the presence of the license, the requirements will have been met by the individual. Site visitors should note whether any licenses or registrations held are current and still in effort and whether the individual has the proper credentials if required by the position. To help health departments in assessing credentialing requirements by position or discipline, use the following guidelines.

The NC Board of Nursing has a cardless system. The health department will verify licenses online. For the licensure verification for accreditation, one approach may be to print the screen from the Board of Nursing website. In addition, for the health department's records, you may want to consider creating a log that indicates licensure verification for members of the nursing staff.

Public Health Nurses –

- Beginning July 1, 2006, upon license renewal or reinstatement, all RNs and LPNs will be required to complete a self-assessment of their practice, develop a learning plan, and select an approved learning activity option which must be completed **prior to the next renewal date** in order to comply with Continuing Competence requirements.
- Effective July 1, 2008 and thereafter, upon application for license renewal or reinstatement, all licensees will be required to **attest to** completion of a self-assessment of their practice, development of a learning plan, and **completion of one** of the approved learning activity options during the preceding renewal cycle or prior to reinstatement of license. (Licensees should be prepared to submit evidence of completion if selected for audit by the Nursing Board.)
- Each Enhanced Role Registered Nurse (ERRN) specialty area requires ongoing continuing education(CE) and clinical practice requirements:
 - Child Health ERRN – 15 hours of relevant CE and 150 hours of clinical practice in the role through 12/23/17. Beginning 1/1/2018 the requirement is for 10 hours of relevant CE and 100 hours of clinical practice in the role each year.
 - Maternity ERRN-10 hours of CE and 100 clinical hours each year.
 - Family Planning ERRN- 10 hours of CE and 100 clinical hours each year.
 - STD ERRN- 10 hours of CE and assessment and management of at least 50 STD male and female clients per year

Environmental Health Specialists (EHS), including interns, must be registered through the NC State Board of Environmental Health Specialist Examiners. Proper authorizations through NCDPH Environmental Health Section should be evident. To document continuing education and training requirements for Environmental Health staff, the local health departments could provide documentation that the individuals have registered with the NC State Board of Environmental Health Specialist Examiners. The health departments can print documentation from www.ncrehs.com. The documentation, either from the Environmental Health Section or the NC State Board of Environmental Health Specialist Examiners, will indicate that the individuals have met the credentialing and continuing education requirements. Other documented continuing education credentials information may also be presented to the Site Visit Team.

Administrative Staff may not have a registration, certification or license. Again see if the job description or duties require such certification. If the individual is listed as having certification, evidence should confirm this.

Physicians on staff must have required credentials on file. They must have a license to practice medicine in NC and documentation of any degrees or educational requirements of the position.

All Other Positions reviewed may or may not have a registration, certification or license requirement. Review the job description to see if the duties require any type of registration, certification or license. If so, or if the individual is listed as such, evidence should confirm this.

Licenses for licensed personnel may be paper copies or may be by web verification. Either is acceptable, as long as the Site Visit Team can see verification of current licensures. There may be certifications required by job description, but not necessarily by discipline, such as CPR. If there are

such requirements, the certification held by the individual must be current and documentation be provided as evidence.

Many job descriptions may require the employee to hold a valid driver's license. There is no need to provide evidence for this, nor for the site visitors to review this.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

None

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

All personnel listed on the Staff Roster submitted with the HDSAI are subject to personnel record review. Questions regarding which human services staff should be included on the roster should be directed to the Accreditation Administrator.

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. On-site review

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Review the selected personnel records
- ✓ Site visitors should review the job description to note any required credentials. In the records review, are required registrations, certifications, and/or licenses present for the positions selected?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assurance Essential Service 8: Assure competent public and personal health care workforce.</p>
<p>Benchmark 23: The local health department staff shall meet statutory and regulatory qualifications for their positions.</p>
<p>Activity 23.3: The local health department shall employ or contract with one or more physicians licensed to practice in North Carolina to serve as medical director.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Evidence of medical director’s current M.D. or D.O. license <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> B. Job description or current contract
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity requires the department to employ or contract with a medical director. This may be provided by one or by several physicians. All who serve as a medical director must be licensed to practice in North Carolina. A medical director may oversee the clinical operations of the department, will sign appropriate medical orders, may see patients, may serve as oversight for nursing and other providers, and may determine standing orders for the department. Each department will determine the duties of the medical director. The medical director may be an employee of the department or on contract for specified hours each month. Whatever the means whereby the LHD acquires the services of a medical director, the person must be appropriately licensed and credentialed.</p> <p>Guidance There are two parts of documentation for this activity. First the department must provide evidence of a NC license for each physician serving in a medical director role. This could be a copy of the license or a statement from the appropriate licensing board. The evidence of the license must be provided whether the physician is a staff member or a contractor. If contracting, the contract should state that the physician must provide a copy of his/her license to the department. Any individual serving under any capacity as a medical director must meet the requirements of this activity. If the local health department uses different individuals to perform the Medical Director duties, whether by contract or as employees, then all of those individuals should be reviewed as a part of this activity.</p> <p>The second element is to provide the job description if the physician is an employee or a copy of the contract if the physician is contracting with the department to provide medical director services. Either the job description or contract should spell out the medical director duties of the individual and should include the credentials that the individual must hold to serve in that capacity. If the medical director is under contract, the contract should be current; if not an annual contract, the contract should have a time period for services specified in some way.</p> <p>The evidence required by this activity is similar to 23.2. If the medical director is a contract employee, all that is needed is a copy of the contract and evidence that the MD is properly credentialed. If the medical director is an employee of the department, site visitors should examine evidence for proper credentials, the job description, and any records for required continuing education. If the medical director’s personnel record is pulled as a part of activity 23.3, the record must meet the requirements of both activities. If more than one person on staff serves as medical director, all job descriptions must be examined.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If there is not one Medical Director for the entire district, then evidence should be provided for each county’s Medical Director or for each physician serving in a Medical Director role.</p>

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies
None
Pieces of Evidence Required
A. 1
B. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was there evidence that each physician who serves as medical director is licensed to practice in North Carolina? ✓ Was a contract or job description provided for each individual designated as a medical director? ✓ Review personnel information if on staff (i.e. M.D. license and job description). ✓ If on contract, ask for records that provide evidence of required credentials and NC license.

BENCHMARK 24

Staff Training

Regular training and continuing education is an important factor in developing and maintaining a competent workforce. The health department should support and provide access to training to aid employees in their professional growth. This benchmark requires the department to evaluate needs and develop a plan for providing education and training. The activities under this benchmark assess the health department's efforts in staff development and training.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assurance Essential Service 8: Assure competent public and personal health care workforce.
Benchmark 24: The local health department shall regularly evaluate staff training and development needs and provide opportunities for continuing education, training and leadership development.
Activity 24.1: The local health department shall have policies that promote and provide staff access to training.
Documentation: A. Policies <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> B. Since the previous site visit, evidence that policies have been implemented
INTERPRETATION Intent Public health is a dynamic field and the latest practice and new scientific information must be incorporated into health department operations. Without a policy supporting training, this may not take place as training can be seen as less than important. However, training is important in assuring a competent workforce and in having the capacity to function as a quality public health agency. This activity requires policies that describe how the department will support staff access to training and continuing education opportunities. Guidance The department must provide the department's policies that promote and provide staff access to training. Both aspects must be defined – a description of how the department will <i>promote access</i> to training among staff and how it will <i>provide access</i> to training. To promote refers to how the department supports and encourages continuing education for the staff. To provide refers to how the department pays for, reimburses for, sponsors or directly conducts training and continuing education. For Activities 24.1 & 24.2, the policies regarding training opportunities and the staff development plan may be combined in the same document, but are two distinct sections. The policies describe the means whereby the agency will address staff training opportunities and the staff development plan will be the means whereby the agency implements that policy. The policies can be a standalone document or can be part of either programmatic or administrative policies. The department must also provide evidence that shows how the policies have been implemented. This can be done by providing documentation, minutes, summaries, logs, reports, etc. that show how the department has both promoted and provided access to training. The implementation evidence must link back to the policy and what the policy states that the department will do to accomplish staff development.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 B. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Were policies that promote and provide staff access to training provided?✓ Was there evidence of policy implementation?✓ Possible Interview Questions: How does the department promote access to continuing education, training and leadership development? In what ways has the department provided access to staff training?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assurance</p> <p>Essential Service 8: Assure competent public and personal health care workforce.</p>
<p>Benchmark 24: The local health department shall regularly evaluate staff training and development needs and provide opportunities for continuing education, training and leadership development.</p>
<p>Activity 24.2: The local health department shall have a staff development plan that includes identifying and addressing the training and continuing education needs of the staff.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Staff development plan</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of plan implementation</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity requires the department to have a staff development plan with a two-fold purpose – to identify training and continuing education needs of the staff and then to address those needs. The plan is a complement to the policy required in the previous activity. A staff development plan assures that the department has a process defined for how it will implement the policy and how it will provide access to training. The plan will include all disciplines in the department, both required and/or expected training and other opportunities to develop the expertise of the staff.</p> <p>Guidance The department must provide the staff or workforce development plan that includes addressing the training and continuing education (not orientation) needs of its staff. There are no required elements but the plan may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • objectives, • assignment of responsibilities, • identification of training needs, • how the agency will address training needs, and • defined timelines. <p>The plan should clearly show that an assessment of needs was conducted and how the department will provide training or continuing education in response to the needs. The staff development plan may be developed specifically for the department, or may be a part of a larger plan (such as for a county).</p> <p>For Activities 24.1 & 24.2, the policies regarding training opportunities and the staff development plan may be combined in the same document, but are two distinct sections. The policies describe the means whereby the agency will address staff training opportunities and the staff development plan will be the means whereby the agency implements that policy. The policies can be a standalone document or can be part of either programmatic or administrative policies.</p> <p>The department must provide evidence that the plan has been implemented. This may be done through minutes, logs, staff development forms/templates, records for trainings, continuing education or coursework, QI documents, or other documents used to track progress of the plan.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>

Pieces of Evidence Required

A. 1

B. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Was a staff development plan provided?
- ✓ Does the plan identify and address training needs?
- ✓ Does the plan implement the policy required in Activity 24.1?
- ✓ Possible Interview Question: Ask how training needs are identified and addressed.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services

Function: Assurance

Essential Service 8: Assure competent public and personal health care workforce.

Benchmark 24: The local health department shall regularly evaluate staff training and development needs and provide opportunities for continuing education, training and leadership development.

Activity 24.3: The local health department staff shall participate in orientation and on-going training and continuing education activities required by law, rule or contractual obligation.

Documentation:

- A. Evidence that health department staff have participated in orientation and ongoing training and continuing education activities required by law, rule or contractual obligation; and that the training is up-to-date.

Site Visitors will review randomly selected personnel records based on health department size. At least 85% of the records reviewed must meet the documentation required.

<u>Health Dept. Staff</u>	<u>Personnel Records Accessed</u>
30 or fewer	Up to 8 records
31-100	Up to 15 records
101 or more	Up to 15% of total staff's records

Site visitors will review at least one individual in each of the following roles: public health nursing, environmental health, and member of the management team. If the randomly selected personnel records do not reflect these roles, additional personnel records may be requested.

INTERPRETATION

Intent

It is the intent of this activity to assure that the agency prepares its work force to meet the challenges of the position. It requires the staff to participate in orientation and on-going training and continuing education activities required by law, rule or contractual obligation. This addresses legal requirements that would apply to all staff and is not necessarily related to position. Orientation and ongoing training may be a condition for an employee to meet the requirements of a specific position or job responsibility.

Guidance

This activity is one of several that will require personnel record review by the site visitors for documentation. For each record selected, the site visitors will assess whether the position has participated in orientation and on-going training and continuing education activities required by law, rule or contractual obligation. If so, they will review to determine if the person in the position has completed the required orientation and on-going training and that any training requirements up to date. For staff hired before a policy requiring orientation was developed, proof of orientation may not be available; the SVT may count that as met for that person or select another record.

Site Visitors should request this information for the randomly selected staff members. This may be in individual records or presented in a log. This activity applies to both continuing education specific to a particular license or registration (see Activity 23.2) and for continuing education required by law, rule or contractual agreement related to both discipline-specific positions and general staff. This would include training requirements related to OSHA, HIPAA, Title VI, and other so designated continuing education.

Public Health Nurses –

For any PHN who does not have a baccalaureate or higher degree in nursing, NC Administrative Code requires, within the first year of employment, successful completion of the "Introduction to Public Health Nursing." Evidence of completion should be in the file. For any PHN who dispenses medications, the Board of Pharmacy requires participation in training approved by the Board; documentation of such training, either by the DPH Pharmacist or a local pharmacist using the provided curriculum, should be in the file.

For PHNs who function in "enhanced roles" which allows the agency to bill Medicaid for assessments done by these PHNs, the following additional educational requirements apply:

- Child Health - evidence of successful completion or challenge of the "Child Health Training Program"
- Maternal Health - evidence of successful completion or challenge of the "Physical Assessment of Adults," "Orientation to Women's Health Services," and "Maternal Health Enhanced Role Training" (includes Women's Health Core and Specialty track)
- Family Planning - evidence of successful completion or challenge of the "Physical Assessment of Adults," "Orientation to Women's Health Services," and "Family Planning Enhanced Role Training" (includes Women's Health Core and Specialty track)
- Adult Health - evidence of successful completion or challenge of the "Physical Assessment of Adults"
- STD - evidence of successful completion or challenge of the "Physical Assessment of Adults," "STD Nurse Clinician" and "HIV Prevention Counseling"

For Enhanced Role Nursing Continuing Education see Activity 23.2.

Environmental Health Specialists –

Registered Environmental Health Specialists (EHS), including interns, must be registered through the NC State Board of Environmental Health Specialist Examiners. Proper authorizations through NCDPH Environmental Health Section should be evident. To document continuing education and training requirements for Environmental Health staff, the local health department could provide documentation that the individuals have registered with the NC State Board of Environmental Health Specialist Examiners. The health department can print documentation from www.ncrehs.com. The documentation will indicate that the individuals have met the credentialing and continuing education requirements. Other documented continuing education credentials information may also be presented to the Site Visit Team.

Other positions reviewed may or may not have continuing education requirements. There may be certifications required by job description, but not necessarily by discipline, such as CHES for Health Educators or Nutritionists, Dieticians, or Social Workers. Review the job description to see if the position requires any type of continuing education. If so, or if the individual is listed as having such, and the certification held by the individual is current, there is no need to provide documentation of continuing education requirements as evidence as they relate to that specific certification.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

None

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

All personnel listed on the Staff Roster submitted with the HDSAI are subject to personnel record review. Questions regarding which human services staff should be included on the roster should be directed to the Accreditation Administrator.

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. On-site review

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

✓ Does evidence provided show that orientation, on-going training and continuing education requirements as required by law, rule or contractual obligation are being met?

BENCHMARK 25

Relationships with Training Partners

This benchmark assesses the building of a competent workforce by establishing and building relationships with educational and research organizations. The stated purpose is to enhance the practice of public health through these relationships. By linking employees to educational and research opportunities, best practice can be explored, employees can further their personal and professional development and the health department can benefit from the results.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services
Function: Assurance
Essential Service 8: Assure competent public and personal health care workforce.
Benchmark 25: The local health department shall build relationships with entities that conduct education or research to enrich public health practice.
Activity 25.1: The local health department shall work with academic institutions and other programs such as universities, colleges, community colleges, Area Health Education Centers, CDC and professional associations to provide training opportunities for current staff and future public health practitioners.
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, evidence from each of at least two programs or institutions demonstrating the provision of training opportunities
INTERPRETATION
Intent Health departments must have a competent workforce to provide quality care, services and programming. In addition to hiring qualified individuals, maintaining their competency while on the job is a must. This activity requires the department to work with academic institutions and other programs to provide training opportunities for current staff. Colleges and universities, the Area Health Education Centers (AHECs), professional organizations, such as the NC Public Health Association (NCPHA) or the NC Society for Public Health Education (SOPHE), or other qualified programs are where health departments look for this training. The activity also requires that health departments help with the education of future public health practitioners. Partnerships may also exist with these institutions to provide a training site for students.
Guidance The documentation for this activity requires evidence of partnership with academic institutions and other professional training programs to provide opportunities for training for current staff as well as those training in public health. The department can provide: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• a record of academic training programs attended by staff. This may be a program or seminar provided to one or more staff or may be records of a staff member enrolled in a course of study. Records could be through enrollment documents, grade reports, transcripts, or certificates.• a section within the staff development plan defining how it will use academic public health resources in educating and training staff or in providing training opportunities.• a copy of a formal agreement between the department and an educational institution where the agency will serve as a training site for a student in a clinical rotation, an internship or for a field practicum.
As stated in the activity, these agreements or records can be from universities, colleges, community colleges, Area Health Education Centers, the CDC and public health professional associations. At least two examples must be provided for this activity and they should be inclusive of working with two different institutions.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 2
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Does the evidence provided show relationships with the example institutions listed in the activity? ✓ If the staff development plan is used, does it show use of academic public health educational resources to provide training opportunities for current staff and future public health practitioners?

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services

Function: Assurance

Essential Service 8: Assure competent public and personal health care workforce.

Benchmark 25: The local health department shall build relationships with entities that conduct education or research to enrich public health practice.

Activity 25.2: The local health department shall work with at least two academic institutions and other programs such as universities, colleges, community colleges and Area Health Education Centers to facilitate research and evaluation of public health programs and issues.

Documentation:

- A. Since the previous site visit, evidence from each of at least two programs or institutions demonstrating research and evaluation of public health programs and issues

INTERPRETATION

Intent

Research is necessary to advance the field of public health and local health departments must be involved as partners in field research. This activity assesses how the department works to facilitate research and evaluation of public health programs and issues. The department is required to work with at least two academic institutions and other programs. The activity allows for the work to be either research and/or evaluation. When agencies and researchers work together to further the practice and knowledge of public health, all benefit.

Guidance

The evidence must show that the department is involved with research or evaluation of a program or issue. Evaluation is the systematic collection of information about the activities, characteristics, and outcomes of programs to make judgments about the program, improve program effectiveness, and/or inform decisions about future program development. (*Patton MQ, Utilization-focused evaluation. The new century text. 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA Sage 1997*) Research is a systematic investigation, including research development, testing, and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalized knowledge. (*USDHHS. Healthy People 2010. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, 2000*)

Evidence may include:

- a record of participation or a research grant proposal in which the department is collaborating with another organization.
- an agreement, contract, or memorandum of understanding for some type of research or evaluation services (e.g., statistical analyses, etc.)
- a description of internship(s) when the department has hosted interns or students that have conducted research or evaluation projects as the primary component of the internship or of a student practicum. This documentation should include the findings or report of the internship. Any internship documentation listed in this activity cannot be used in Activity 25.1.
- a list of agency staff serving as adjunct faculty. For staff who may serve as adjunct faculty, the faculty position must relate to the activity and show a link to facilitating research and evaluation of public health programs and issues, not just teaching an occasional class or course.

The documentation for this activity must show a relationship with two separate institutions from those listed in the activity, such as universities, colleges, community colleges and Area Health Education Centers. The institutions cited must have an educational purpose. Here two separate relationships or programs must be demonstrated, but may include different departments within a large institution. The department cannot work with the same group on two topics. There must be a distinct difference in the groups. However, the intent of the activity is to demonstrate two separate institutions.

The research or evaluation may be done primarily by the department, primarily by the educational organization or as a collaborative effort. Assistance – faculty, staff, student or funds - may be received by the department from academic institutions to conduct the evaluation or research.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 2
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was evidence of work with at least two academic institutions and other programs provided? ✓ Does the evidence describe the facilitation of research and evaluation of programs and issues?

BENCHMARK 26

Workforce Diversity

This benchmark completes the activities for the essential service of workforce competence. There are three activities here that look at aspects of the department developing a diverse workforce that represents the communities and populations served. It also addresses the need for staff to understand and accept the diversity of the customers they serve.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services
Function: Assurance
Essential Service 8: Assure competent public and personal health care workforce.
Benchmark 26: The local health department shall promote diversity in the public health workforce.
Activity 26.1: The local health department shall have and implement a non-discrimination policy as required by state and federal law and train staff in its application.
Documentation: A. Policy <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of implementation of policy <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> C. Since the previous site visit, evidence of training on policy
INTERPRETATION Intent Public health departments are obligated to follow all state and federal labor laws. To accomplish this, relevant policies must be implemented and training of staff on those policies is essential. This activity requires the department to have and to implement a non-discrimination policy. The policy is to reflect requirements of state and federal law and to train staff in its application. Guidance A copy of the policy is required. This policy may be a part of another policy such as personnel policies or may be in the administrative policies of the department. The policy should include training expectations, training schedule, identify staff that must be trained, and must reflect any state or federal requirements on non-discrimination. Also, the documentation must include evidence of implementation of the policy. For example, if the policy states that a non-discrimination statement will be printed on all department materials, a sample of recently produced materials should be provided. Site visitors would also examine materials for the statement during the facilities tour. There must also be evidence of training records since the previous site visit. This may be a training log, roster, or sign-in sheet. Records of training may be in individual personnel files. If this is the case, Site Visitors should look for these records when they are examining personnel records for other activities. All new staff should be trained as a part of the department's orientation program or during the initial months of work. However, simply requiring training upon hire cannot provide a staff member with all of the knowledge that is needed to assure non-discriminatory practice- reinforcement is needed. Annual training of all staff is not required, but should be conducted at least once since the previous site visit. Again, policy should provide a timeline for ongoing training that is then reflected in personnel records and training logs.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 B. 1 C. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions ✓ Was a non-discrimination policy provided? ✓ Was there evidence of implementation? ✓ Were training records provided?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assurance</p> <p>Essential Service 8: Assure competent public and personal health care workforce.</p>
<p>Benchmark 26: The local health department shall promote diversity in the public health workforce.</p>
<p>Activity 26.2: The local health department shall develop and implement a plan consistent with the health department’s non-discrimination policy to recruit and retain a management team and staff that reflects the population of the service area.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. A plan to recruit and retain a management team and staff that reflects the population of the service area which supports the non-discrimination policy</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of plan implementation</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>For this activity, the department must develop and implement a plan to recruit and retain a management team and staff that reflects the population of the service area. The plan must be consistent with the health department’s non-discrimination policy from Activity 26.1. Not only does a health department need to comply with non-discrimination laws, it should also actively recruit and retain a diverse staff that represents the people of the department’s jurisdiction. To the extent possible, the management team and entire staff should reflect the population of the area.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>The department must provide a plan to recruit and retain a management team and staff that reflects the population of the service area and which is supportive of the non-discrimination policy. The plan will need to have, or to reference, data that will define the demographic breakdown of the population served and how the staffing numbers would reflect that. Site visitors will need to review the data on demographics for the area served by the health department in the review of the policy or plan. If not a part of the plan, this information should be a part of the Community Health Assessment.</p> <p>An appropriate plan is one structured for a health department to recruit and retain both members of the management team and members of the staff at large that reflect the diverse population of the service area. The plan may be a part of a larger document, such as a general recruitment plan. The intent of the Activity is not to focus on the current composition of the management team and staff, but on recruitment and retention efforts to keep a diverse staff reflective of the community. The activity does not require documentation that the department has achieved a management team and staff that reflects the population of the service area, as recruitment and retention efforts may not be successful. It does require that efforts be made and a plan be developed that will strive for this.</p> <p>The plan should also include efforts and methods used by the agency to retain the diverse management team and staff. This may include succession planning that develops staff for future leadership positions. It could also include support for furthering the education of staff, such as tuition reimbursement and educational leave. The plan may have a salary study to determine if the salaries of the agency are within the market range of other employers.</p> <p>The department must also provide evidence of implementation of the plan. Whatever efforts were done, as outlined by the plan, there should be records and results of the efforts made to recruit and retain.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies
None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 B. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Was a plan to recruit and retain a management team and staff that reflects the population of the service area provided?✓ Does the plan support the non-discrimination policy?✓ Was there evidence of plan implementation?✓ Possible Interview Question: Ask staff or management team members to describe their efforts to recruit and retain a diverse workforce.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services
Function: Assurance
Essential Service 8: Assure competent public and personal health care workforce.

Benchmark 26: The local health department shall promote diversity in the public health workforce.

Activity 26.3: The local health department shall assure that agency staff receives training in cultural sensitivity and competency.

Documentation:
A. Policy on cultural sensitivity and competency
AND
B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of implementation through staff training

INTERPRETATION

Intent
Cultural sensitivity and competency are characteristics that all health department staff need in their work with serving diverse populations. Cultural norms vary among populations and when those cultural norms are misunderstood, the continuity of care can be interrupted or misguided. Having knowledge of and skills in the cultural background of the populations served results in better care and improved health outcomes. To achieve this, the department must assure that agency staff receive the training needed in cultural sensitivity and competency.

Guidance
The department must provide the policy that describes the cultural sensitivity and cultural competency practices of the agency and how staff will receive training. This policy may be a part of another policy such as personnel policies or may be in the administrative policies of the department. Cultural sensitivity is being aware of the differences and similarities between cultures and how these factors play a role in beliefs and values. Cultural competency is the ability of staff to interact effectively with people from different cultures. Both aspects must be addressed in the policy. The policy should include training expectations, schedule, and identify staff that must be trained. It is recommended that all staff be trained. Though some positions may have no or limited contact with customers of another culture, it is preferred that all staff understand the populations that are customers of the department, or with whom they may have potential interaction.

The department must provide training records since the previous site visit. This may be a training log, roster, or sign-in sheet. Records of training may be in individual personnel files. If this is the case, Site Visitors should look for these records when they are examining personnel records for other activities. All new staff should be trained as a part of the department’s orientation program or during the initial months of work. However, cultural sensitivity and competency training should be provided to all staff on a regular basis. Simply providing training upon hire cannot possibly make a person culturally competent. Demographics are constantly changing and should be reviewed on a regular basis and staff trained. Cultural competence is not a one-time achievement. It is process that through continual training and application is achieved. Annual training of all staff is not required, but should be conducted at least once since the previous site visit. Again, policy should provide a timeline for ongoing training that is then reflected in personnel records and training logs

While Title VI and Cultural Competency have some overlap, it is important to note that there are distinct differences between the two. Title VI is not the cultural sensitivity and cultural competency plan or policy for the agency, but is more directed toward discriminatory behavior. Title VI states, “No person in the U.S. shall, on ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, or be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.” Meanwhile, Cultural Competence is defined as “A set of attitudes, skills, behaviors, and policies that enable organizations and staff to work effectively in cross-cultural

<p>situations” (Cross, et al). The University of Minnesota further defines Cultural Competence as “the ability of individuals and systems to respond respectfully and effectively to people of all cultures, in a manner that affirms the worth and preserves the dignity of individuals, families, and communities.” Therefore, a Title VI policy and Title VI training (unless they include content specific to broader cultural competency/sensitivity) alone will not meet the requirements for this activity.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 B. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Was a policy that addresses training in cultural sensitivity and competency provided? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Were training records since the previous site visit provided?</p>

BENCHMARK 27

Quality Improvement Processes

Benchmark 27 begins the quality and performance improvement processes for the agency. It is the benchmark that examines the ninth essential service. It explores how the department can appraise its services by looking at how effective those services are, by seeing how easy it is for residents to access those services and by reviewing the overall quality of services. There are three activities in this benchmark that look at how the agency accepts feedback and how it used that feedback to improve.

NOTE: Program and service outcome evaluation is a component of the NCDPH program reviews cited previously in Benchmarks 17 and 22 (respectively).

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assurance Essential Service 9: Evaluate effectiveness, accessibility, and quality of personal and population-based health services.</p>
<p>Benchmark 27: The local health department shall evaluate all services it provides for effectiveness in achieving desired outcomes.</p>
<p>Activity 27.1: The local health department shall have in place a process for assessing consumer and community satisfaction with its services.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">A. Policy and procedure related to seeking consumer and community input<p style="text-align: center;">AND</p>B. Since the previous site visit, copies of tools used for both consumer and community input<p style="text-align: center;">AND</p>C. Since the previous site visit, examples of data collected from both consumer and community input
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity requires that the department have a means to collect comments on its services. It is asking for feedback from both consumers (users of LHD services) and the community at large regarding their satisfaction with the services provided by the LHD. To accomplish this there will need to be both a policy and the procedure and a tool for collecting data on consumer and community satisfaction. This process of evaluation should give valuable information to the LHD that can be used for improvement of services or for possible new areas of service.</p> <p>Guidance There are three areas of documentation that must be present for this activity. First, there must be a policy and procedure that describes the process for obtaining input from both the consumer <u>and</u> the community. The policy is to let the public know of the ways to provide input to the department regarding satisfaction with its services. The policy should define the methods for obtaining input and how consumers <u>and</u> community members will be informed about those methods.</p> <p>Also, copies of tools used must be submitted. Tools are the types of instruments used to collect the data, including surveys, interview protocols, and questionnaires. Departments must have tools that capture input both from consumers as well as the community at-large on the satisfaction with LHD services. The tools should be described in the policy- they may also be attached as addenda to the policy. The tools may be hard-copy or electronic, but should be provided in a way that allows for ease of completion and/or return. There may be multiple tools or a single combined survey. Tools may be different based on the program or service or if it is directed to consumers versus the community. There is no specific format, questions or length that must be included. The focus is that the feedback is for</p>

assessing satisfaction with health department services of all types. If separate tools are used by each program, these should be provided as evidence for this activity. However, all tool(s) used in combination to gather input from consumers and community on the satisfaction of LHD services should reflect all LHD programs and services that are provided (clinic, environmental health, etc.).

Tools should be provided to match the language and linguistic needs of the customers served and that is present in the community. This would be based on the numbers served and the percentage of an ethnic group in the jurisdiction of the department. Survey tools may be given out personally or provided by mail, email or by web posting. While they can be conducted orally, they should include a means to provide anonymous feedback if desired. If using a web posting to reach the community, there must be evidence of feedback being received and/or of publicity and encouragement of input. While the department cannot force anyone to provide feedback, a survey that receives no responses is either poorly designed or ineffective as a means of soliciting input.

The other component of documentation is to provide examples of data collected. This can be individual responses, a compilation of responses, analysis of responses or a report developed from responses. Data should be provided for both consumer and community at-large input. The data does not need to show what was done with the data or any changes or recommendations that resulted, as this is the requirement for Activity 27.2.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

If documentation is not provided for the district as a whole, documentation should address consumer and community satisfaction with services in each county.

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

Human services agency customer and community satisfaction surveys can be used to meet this requirement as long as they reflect all LHD programs and services that are provided.

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1+
- C. 2+

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Were the policy and procedure related to seeking consumer and community input provided?
- ✓ Was a copy of the survey tool(s) provided?
 - ✓ Does the tool(s) provided reflect input on all LHD services?
- ✓ Are examples of this data provided?
- ✓ Possible Interview Questions: How is input on the satisfaction of services obtained from customers? How is input on the satisfaction of services obtained from the community?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assurance Essential Service 9: Evaluate effectiveness, accessibility, and quality of personal and population-based health services.</p>
<p>Benchmark 27: The local health department shall evaluate all services it provides for effectiveness in achieving desired outcomes.</p>
<p>Activity 27.2: The local health department shall use data from the consumer and community satisfaction assessment to make changes to improve its services.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Since the previous site visit, meeting minutes or records describing how consumer/community satisfaction data was used in planning or decision-making <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> B. Evidence of how changes were made to improve services. If no changes were indicated from data collected, provide policy/protocol for how community satisfaction data would be used to improve services
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent The previous activity required the department to collect data by having a process in place to assess satisfaction. Collecting the data is only one step of the process and data alone does not serve any useful purpose unless analyzed to identify areas that need improvement. This activity requires the department to use data from the satisfaction assessment to make changes to improve services. This is a performance improvement measure showing that a LHD is continuously looking for ways to improve service or operations and is using input from customers and the community at large to guide those improvements.</p> <p>Guidance There are two parts to the documentation. First there must be meeting minutes or other records that describe how the consumer/community satisfaction data was used in a planning process or in decision-making to improve services. Meeting minutes can be from an internal department meeting, from a quality improvement team meeting or from a meeting that includes multiple organizations or representatives, including the health department. The records must show that the satisfaction data was shared, reviewed and used in some manner to make changes that will help improve services.</p> <p>The other component of the evidence is documentation of any changes made. One way to demonstrate this would be through policy revision (e.g., a copy of “old” and “revised” policy or procedure). Other examples could be a change in service hours, changes to a check-in or check-out process, or revisions to forms and records. Any change is acceptable as long as it relates to an improvement in service for the customer or the community.</p> <p>If no changes are indicated from data collected, then provide the policy/protocol for how the community satisfaction data is to be used to improve services. This could be a stand-alone policy or a part of the department’s quality improvement policy/plan. However, there still must be some type of minutes or record that indicate the data was reviewed, discussed, and the group determined there was no need to make changes at this time. While there may not be an obvious change to be made, the data should be analyzed to also see if staff have a suggestion for improvement based on the feedback.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

While human services agency customer and community satisfaction surveys can be used to meet this requirement as long as they reflect all LHD programs and services that are provided, evidence provided for this activity should be specific to public health services.

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Were meeting minutes or other records describing how satisfaction data provided?
- ✓ Does the evidence show that the data was used in planning or decision making?
- ✓ Does the documentation show that changes were made?
- ✓ If there were no changes, was there a policy or plan that describes what would be done to improve services?
- ✓ Possible Interview Questions: How is consumer/community satisfaction data used in planning or decision making? What changes that improve services have been made based on this input? How are clients and the community notified of the decisions?

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services
Function: Assurance
Essential Service 9: Evaluate effectiveness, accessibility, and quality of personal and population-based health services.

Benchmark 27: The local health department shall evaluate all services it provides for effectiveness in achieving desired outcomes.

Activity 27.3: The local health department shall employ a quality assurance and improvement process to assess the effectiveness of services and improve health outcomes.

Documentation:

- A. Quality assurance policies and procedures

AND

- B. Quality improvement policies and procedures

AND

- C. Since the previous site visit, evidence of policy implementation for one quality assurance effort and one quality improvement effort

INTERPRETATION

Intent
The health department must have a process in place to review and improve the work they do, whether in services or operations. This activity requires the department to have and use a quality assurance and improvement process. The purpose of the process is to assess the effectiveness of services, improve health outcomes, and provide accountability to elected officials and the public. Quality improvement processes are important to have efficient and effective use of resources through continuous assessment and enhancement. This is a means to better serve consumers and the community who use the services and programs of the LHD and who depend on the department to protect and improve their health.

Guidance
Quality improvement is defined by the American Public Health Association (APHA) as “a prospective and proactive examination of existing processes and making measurable improvements.” Likewise, APHA defines quality assurance as “a planned, systematic review of a process; sometimes includes quality control, which is focused on reviewing the outputs, or products of a process” (APHA Quality Improvement in Public Health: It Works!).

The department must provide the quality assurance (QA) and quality improvement (QI) policies and procedures that have been adopted. The policies can be a stand-alone set of policies, procedures, plans and tools or can be a part of the overall administrative manual of the department. There is no required length, format or content.

The department also must show the results obtained from the implementation of QA and QI processes. Since quality improvement is a goal of an accreditation process, the focus moves from putting a plan into place to producing results from the implementation of the plan or policy. The department must provide documentation of one quality assurance and one quality improvement effort and show the improvements achieved as a result. Methods for documenting implementation of the process may include:

- roster of a quality improvement team or the name of a staff member responsible for or designated to lead quality improvement efforts,
- minutes of quality team meetings,
- reports or records of efforts as defined by plan or policy,
- pre and post records,
- photo records,

- project narratives,
- logs & worksheets, and
- other documents that demonstrate the department’s quality assurance/improvement work.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

None

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

None

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1
- C. 2

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Were the policies and procedures for quality assurance and improvement provided?
- ✓ Was there evidence that the assurance and improvement processes are in place and implemented?
- ✓ Possible Interview Questions: Describe the department’s quality assurance and quality improvement processes. What improvements have been implemented as a result?

BENCHMARK 28

Evidence-based Practice

This benchmark, in addition to Benchmark 29, demonstrate the department's responsibility in providing essential service ten, uniting the department's practice role with that of research and the search for new knowledge and best practice in the delivery of services and programs. This benchmark has one activity that assesses the department's efforts to ensure that evidence-based practice is used when investigating or proposing a program.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assurance Essential Service 10: Research for new insights and innovative solutions to health problems.
Benchmark 28: The local health department shall use research to develop and evaluate public health programs.
Activity 28.1: Before implementing a proposed public health program, the local health department shall review, when available, research evaluating the potential effectiveness of the program.
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of internet or library search OR B. Since the previous site visit, record of consultation with academic institution, professional organization, or state consultant, regarding information about evidence-based best practices
INTERPRETATION Intent Seeking evidence-based practice (EBP) is becoming the accepted way of planning and implementing public health programs. Evidence-based practice is usually determined through research, evaluation, and previous use. EBP supports the approach taken when implementing a new program, rather than assuming the new approach is best or will produce the desired results. This activity requires the department to review available research that evaluates the effectiveness of a new approach or program prior to implementation. The emphasis in the activity is on using research information to assess the effectiveness of a program. Guidance There are two possible methods to develop the documentation for this activity. The focus is on conducting this research related to and prior to the implementation of a proposed program or service. The department can conduct a search of the topic, issue or proposed service or program on the internet and/or in a library. Many libraries also offer journals and searches online. The evidence will be to show that the research was done and the materials, articles or data gathered as a result of the search. If the program was implemented, there should be use of the data to support the program. The review of research does not have to be conducted solely by the department but can be done by or in collaboration with another organization, a college or university including academic related centers of practice, professional organizations such as NCPHA or APHA, state consultants from DPH and contracted consultants. The role of one of these- same as the search- is to help the department gather information about evidence-based or best practices related to a proposed program or service.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 OR

B. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Does the evidence show results of an information search or of interaction with consultants, faculty, and/or others (researchers) regarding evidence-based practices?
- ✓ Possible Interview Questions: How is information on evidence-based programs gathered and used? How is information about possible new programs or approaches received and reviewed?

BENCHMARK 29

Research

Benchmark 29 completes the final essential service and is the last benchmark in the Core Functions and Essential Services Standard. This benchmark has two activities that ensure that when the department participates in any type of research project, ethical methods are used. The focus of these two activities is that residents and customers who take part in a research program or project are treated with consideration, care and respect, fully informing them of the purpose of the research.

Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services Function: Assurance Essential Service 10: Research for new insights and innovative solutions to health problems.
Benchmark 29: The local health department shall ensure that its participation in research meets ethical standards.
Activity 29.1: The local health department shall develop and implement policies ensuring that state and federal requirements are followed regarding the rights of participants in local public health research programs and requiring that any requests to access health department clients have Institutional Review Board approval obtained by the host research organization.
Documentation: A. Research policies <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> B. Evidence of implementation if research activities were conducted since previous site visit. If there has been no research conducted by a host research organization, the department should submit a statement to that effect signed by the health director
INTERPRETATION Intent The public must be protected from intentional or unintentional harm from research. A review panel should approve all projects to assure this happens. Approval for research participant rights protection may be done at the health department or at the host research organization. The purpose for this activity is to ensure that the health department has the policies and procedures needed to guard the rights of participants involved in research. Guidance The activity requires evidence of the department's research policies addressing the rights of research participants. The focus of the policy is on defining and protecting these rights. These policies are to make sure that all requirements are followed in local public health research programs. This refers to research programs that are conducted at the health department or in the local community. The policies are written to speak to health department or LHD patients/clients/customers involved in research. The policies should also require that, when requesting to access health department clients, the research program/project must have Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval obtained by the host research organization. The host research organization referenced in this activity is not the LHD- it is an outside agency, academic institution or company which directs clinical trials. The policies here are to protect the LHD's clients by ensuring that whenever clients or client data are to be used in a research project, the proper approvals have been obtained. The policies may be a part of an administrative set of policies or may be stand-alone. There must also be evidence of implementation of the policies. This would be required for any research activities that have been conducted since the previous site visit. If there have been research projects, then the department must show that:

- all state or federal requirements regarding the rights of participants were followed,
- the host research organization had IRB approval for the project if it will request access to health department clients, and
- any specified practices defined by policy were followed.

If there has been no research conducted since the previous site visit, provide a statement declaring such.

This activity speaks primarily to research programs that are based outside the health department but may involve the department, specific programs, data or individuals affiliated with the department in some aspect of the research. Activity 29.2 speaks to the LHD being the host research organization.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

None

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

None

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Were research policies provided?
- ✓ Does policy indicate that approval of an IRB by the host organization must be obtained?
- ✓ Possible Interview Questions: Has any research using LHD clients been done? If so, describe how the policy was followed.
- ✓ Was there evidence of policy implementation?

<p>Standard: Agency Core Functions and Essential Services</p> <p>Function: Assurance</p> <p>Essential Service 10: Research for new insights and innovative solutions to health problems.</p>
<p>Benchmark 29: The local health department shall ensure that its participation in research meets ethical standards.</p>
<p>Activity 29.2: The local health department shall develop and implement policies for participation in research activities that impact its clients or community members.</p>
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Research policies</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Evidence of implementation if participated in research activities since the previous site visit. If the health department has not participated in any research projects as the host agency, it should provide a statement to that effect signed by the health director</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent</p> <p>This activity is complementary to the previous one. The LHD has the responsibility to oversee public health research that involves their clients or community members to ensure that the research activities are ethical and benefit the discipline of public health. The activity requires the department to create policies addressing participation in research and put them into practice. This applies to research projects or programs that will affect or involve either clients or customers of the department and community at-large. The health department must have and take responsibility for participant standards in a research program.</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>As with the previous activity, the department must provide its research policies as documentation. The department may use the same policy required in 29.1 for 29.2, but there must be clear distinctions between research directed by a host organization and research directed by the agency. The point of this activity is to provide information on research processes and the protection of rights for participants in research projects or programs when the health department is the host or a primary collaborator in a project. The LHD is the host research organization for this activity and a policy should be developed referencing client’s rights when the LHD is conducting research. The department, or an outside entity, may conduct the research. The focus is not on who does the research, but on the fact that the health department is the primary sponsor of the research and will be involving its own clients or customers.</p> <p>In addition to the research policies, the department must provide evidence of implementation of the policies. This would be if the department has participated in research activities since the previous site visit. This could include release forms from participants in the study, or a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)/Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with another entity that will be conducting the research, an IRB document showing approval for the project, project criteria and guidelines, or a combination of these documents. If there has been no research conducted since the previous site visit, provide a statement declaring such.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p>B. 1</p>

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Were policies that address participation in research activities that impact clients or community members provided?
- ✓ Was there evidence of implementation since the previous visit? If no participation in research since the last site visit, was there a statement declaring such?

BENCHMARK 30

Facilities

A local health department should have the facilities needed to provide programming to the residents served. Health departments, as a part of their work, will provide a number of clinical services. To properly provide clinical care, and to respond to emerging issues, the LHD must have facilities that are suitably designed for use. This will involve accessibility, cleanliness, safety, confidentiality and security. There are ten activities under this benchmark. All deal with some aspect of the physical plant of the health department and the ability to deliver services within those facilities.

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 30: The local health department shall provide safe and accessible physical facilities and services.
Activity 30.1: The local health department shall have facilities that are clean, safe and secure for the specific activities being carried out in the facility or any area of the facility, such as laboratory analyses or patient examinations.
Documentation: A. Visit to facilities and observations by Site Visitors (refer to “Activities that Require Visual Observation” sheet).
INTERPRETATION Intent The health department carries out a number of services, programs and functions. The types of services will vary from department to department, and the facilities will vary as well. Health departments must function in facilities that allow the agency to satisfactorily carry out its mission and programs. The facilities used by the health department must have the stated characteristics of cleanliness, safety and security. At a minimum, areas visited during the Site Visit Team tour should be free from visible dirt and debris and in good repair. Hallways should allow for staff and clients to move through the area without obstructions. “Staff only” areas should be secure (accessible only by badge, ID or combination lock) or clients should be escorted when moving through those areas. Evacuation routes should be posted in “easy to see” places for staff and clients. Signage for weapons should follow county or state regulations, be posted at all entrances, and reference policy/legislation. Guidance There is no documentation required for this activity. The agency’s self-assessment scoring will be verified by the site visitors as they visit health department facilities and make their observations. All site visitors will tour the main health department facility. As scheduling dictates, other facilities of the department will be visited by the Site Visit Team, sometimes by dividing into two groups. Site visitors may visit some or all of the satellite sites. Usually the sites that are fully operated and managed by the LHD will be visited by members of the Site Visit Team. If there are no public services given at a specific location, that site may not be visited by the Site Visit Team. This will be determined by the Site Visit Coordinator and AAC prior to the site visit.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. On-site review
SVT Review and Guiding Questions ✓ Review the ‘Activities that Require Visual Observations’ document while touring facilities.

- ✓ Ask any questions that arise during the tour of agency facilities.
- ✓ Health departments may operate out of older or reclaimed buildings. Do not judge a facility simply by age, but in the context of its cleanliness, safety and security related to programs and services.

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services

Benchmark 30: The local health department shall provide safe and accessible physical facilities and services.

Activity 30.2: The local health department shall have facilities that are accessible to persons with physical disabilities and services that are accessible to persons with limited proficiency in the English language.

- Documentation:**
- A. Policies/protocols that address accessibility for persons with physical disabilities and Limited English Proficiency
- AND**
- To be verified through observations by Site Visitors
(refer to “Activities that Require Visual Observation” sheet):
- B. Interior and exterior signage that facilitates access to persons with physical disabilities and Limited English Proficiency
- AND**
- C. Facilities that are accessible to persons with physical disabilities. If not fully accessible, evidence of performance improvement (such as seeking funding or short-term solution) since the previous site visit

INTERPRETATION

Intent

Facilities of the health department must be accessible to all residents that may need the services provided by the agency. This activity requires that the department’s facilities accommodate individuals with physical disabilities (handicapped, visually impaired, hearing impaired). Also the facility must have signage and labeling that helps individuals with limited English proficiency navigate health department buildings. The intent of this activity is for health departments to have facilities open for use by all of the population (e.g. ramps, handicapped-accessible restrooms and examination rooms, Braille signage.)

Guidance

The required documentation for this activity is both written policy and observations by the Site Visit Team. The written documentation for this activity is to present the policies and/or protocols used by the health department that address accessibility issues. This activity is verified through observations by Site Visitors during facilities tours and during interviews. During tours of the facilities, the Site Visit Team is to look for interior and exterior signage that facilitates access and they will look for aspects of health department facilities that enable access to persons with physical disabilities (exterior ramps, handicapped-accessible restrooms and examination rooms, and Braille signage, etc.). If the facility offers services on multiple floors, elevator access will also be observed. Signage and accessibility will be observed in all sites that are visited by the Site Visit Team.

Interior and exterior signage that facilitates access is signage that denotes entrances to the health department, shows directions within the facilities or denotes areas of service (interview rooms, check-out, laboratory, etc.). When the facilities are older, the LHD may draw attention to improvements to the building and be prepared to answer questions on how the agency accommodates people with disabilities that limit access. Additionally, if accessibility issues are cost- or structurally-prohibitive to fully correct, the agency should demonstrate some improvement effort made since previous site visits to address the issue in some way. This could include budget or grant requests, modifications, or changes to protocol.

<p>The activity also speaks to the issue of accessibility to persons with limited proficiency in the English language. This includes not only those for whom English is a second language but also for individuals that read at a low literacy level. Thus, signage should be bilingual, as needed by the communities or populations served. For low literacy clients, there should be materials available as needed to accommodate that population that would be at an understandable level.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 1 B. On-site review C. On-site review +
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Review and refer to the 'Activities that Require Visual Observations' document while touring facilities. ✓ Observe the signage. ✓ Review policies/protocols that address accommodations for physical disabilities. ✓ At times during the tour, it may be appropriate to notice or review health education or public materials at random in a check for literacy level. If this is done, ensure that someone on the team is skilled in this kind of evaluation. ✓ At times during the tour, it may be appropriate to ask questions regarding access to facilities by individuals with physical disabilities or with limited English proficiency.

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 30: The local health department shall provide safe and accessible physical facilities and services.
Activity 30.3: The local health department shall have examination rooms and direct client service areas that are configured in a way that protects client privacy.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Policy/procedures addressing client privacy</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p style="text-align: center;">To be verified through observations by Site Visitors (refer to “Activities that Require Visual Observation” sheet):</p> <p>B. Agency floor plan/layout and processes conducive to privacy</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Clients seeking services from the health department should have an assurance that all that can be done will be done to grant them privacy. The physical layout of services should ensure this or should be enhanced by policy and practice.</p> <p>Guidance There are two required components for this activity. The first is the policy/procedures addressing privacy that are used by the department. The policy/procedures do not have a specified format and can be a policy document or a procedure used by clinical operation. If the agency utilizes an adopted policy, then it must follow the guidelines under the policy on policies. A protocol does not need to have the format required for policies in the agency, but must be approved and accessible to staff. Any staff who are affected by the protocol must be educated on its use. Clinical protocols addressing privacy may be included within another policy, such as HIPAA, but must specifically address wording of this activity (i.e. layout conducive to privacy- such as privacy curtains/screens, doors opening in a manner to ensure privacy, etc.). A basic HIPAA policy alone will not suffice.</p> <p>The second component for this activity is that the agency floor plan is conducive to privacy. In older buildings, or buildings being used that were not designed for clinical facilities, there may be floor plans that are not of themselves conducive to privacy. Here the agency is to work with the layout of the agency- that is, the arrangement of furniture and added features, such as privacy curtains and other possible methods, to remedy privacy concerns through practice in using the facility. This second component is to be verified through observations by Site Visitors during facilities tours.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p>B. On-site review</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Review policies. Do they contain protocols for clinical practice? ✓ Observe layout of service areas from intake to treatment. ✓ Notice layout of clinic/exam rooms. ✓ If not clearly pointed out, ask about interview space for services not using examining rooms.

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services

Benchmark 30: The local health department shall provide safe and accessible physical facilities and services.

Activity 30.4: The local health department shall ensure privacy and security of records containing privileged patient medical information or information protected by the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act.

Documentation:
A. Medical records policies, including if they are electronic
AND
To be verified through observations by Site Visitors
(refer to “Activities that Require Visual Observation” sheet):
B. Proper handling, storage and transport of medical records

INTERPRETATION

Intent
Clients expect their medical information and records to be protected and not be shared unless there is a legal basis for the sharing. Unless there is a secure place to contain records, and secure transport of records, this privacy cannot be assured. This also relates to the form or method for keeping information and for medical information that may be kept in other programs records, such as a lead investigation conducted by environmental health.

Guidance
This is the only activity that relates specifically to medical records and information, stating that the medical records must be secured and must be properly managed in their use. The documentation for this activity has two components. The first is the department’s medical records policies. This can be part of the administrative policy manual, can be in combination with other subjects (such as confidentiality in Activity 30.3) or can be a stand-alone policy. The policies should address such areas as HIPAA, Business Associate Agreement(s), Records Retention, Information Release, Confidentiality, Components of the Medical Records, Acronyms/Abbreviations, Medical Records Access, whether flashdrives may be used, security of computers taken to client’s homes, parental access to children’s records, off-site records access, etc. This list is not exhaustive and policies can contain other areas the department wishes to address. This can be done through one policy or many, with one subject area per policy.

The second component is for the department to exhibit the proper handling, storage and transport of medical records. This will be verified through observations by Site Visitors during facility tours and during interviews. Site visitors may ask to see record storage areas or may ask how records are transported. Based on the answer, site visitors may ask to see confirmation of the practice described. Please note that this activity does not require electronic medical records, nor does it directly address the imaging/scanning of medical records. However, if electronic records are present, the same requirements are present as for paper records – that they must be secure and properly managed. Medical records should be stored in an area that is secure and not accessible by anyone other than health department staff. Each agency will have to determine policy and protocol for the protection of health information. While medical records rooms do not have to be kept locked in areas accessible to clients, the agency must ensure that the information is protected and that access is monitored and is limited to appropriate personnel. Security of records does not imply that there must be a separate room, but rather that there is a combination of both secure space and proper handling practices. If there is not a separate, locked area for records, the department must define how the information is secured from general access and how that information is protected when there is no staff in attendance.

<p>If there are satellite facilities that require medical records to be transported to other facilities or home visits are conducted, the health department should have specific policies and proper equipment to transport the records between facilities/locations. If records are accessed electronically off-site, policies should specifically address secure access off-site.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 B. On-site review</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Review medical records policies- note that this is more than a HIPAA plan or policy. ✓ How is HIPAA information contained in medical records provided to patients or others? ✓ If information is electronically accessed off-site, what security is in place? ✓ What evidence is presented to show proper handling and storage of medical records? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Is this observable? There may be a need to ask questions while on a tour of facilities. ✓ Is there a difference in how public records and private information are handled? ✓ Possible Interview Questions: Who has access to medical records room? How are records pulled/check out? How is privacy assured once a record is pulled/checkout? How are medical records transported to off-site locations?

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 30: The local health department shall provide safe and accessible physical facilities and services.
Activity 30.5: The local health department shall comply with OSHA regulations.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Policies and procedures regarding OSHA compliance</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of two examples indicating utilization of policies</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent OSHA rules set the standards for protection of the workforce. They are federal requirements and must be followed. The health department policies/procedures describe how the rules are to be operationalized and followed within the agency.</p> <p>Guidance There are two required components for the documentation of this activity. First, the department must provide the policies and procedures for Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) compliance. This may be a single policy or multiple policies.</p> <p>The second component is documentation showing how the department has used its policies and procedures. Examples indicating utilization of policies would include such things as records of staff training requirements in the policy, showing corrective action when policy has not been followed, medical follow-up for a bloodborne exposure (needle stick), or other implementation actions for policy requirements. At least two examples must be provided for this activity.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1 B. 2</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Are a written copy of policies and procedures for OSHA available? ✓ Was documentation provided to show use and implementation of the policies and procedures? ✓ Possible interview questions: How is the staff informed of OSHA regulations? How does the management ensure rules are followed?

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 30: The local health department shall provide safe and accessible physical facilities and services.
Activity 30.6: The local health department shall ensure cleaning, disinfection and maintenance of clinical and laboratory equipment and service areas and shall document all cleanings, disinfections and maintenance.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Policies and procedures (including a comprehensive equipment list), supported by evidence-based practice, related to cleaning, disinfection, and maintenance of clinical equipment, laboratory equipment, field equipment, and client service areas</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Training records showing where applicable staff were trained on policies and procedures related to cleaning, disinfection and maintenance of clinical equipment, laboratory equipment, field equipment, and client service areas</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p style="text-align: center;">To be verified through observations by Site Visitors (refer to “Activities that Require Visual Observation” sheet):</p> <p>C. Since the previous site visit, evidence of implementation of policies and procedures for assuring cleaning, disinfection and maintenance of clinical equipment, laboratory equipment, field equipment, and client service areas</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent It is important for the prevention of disease transmission and for providing accurate lab results that clinical service areas and laboratory equipment used to provide clinical care be properly cleaned/disinfected and maintained. Likewise, the local health department must demonstrate the capacity to maintain accurate environmental health field equipment that is necessary to enforce laws and rules.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation for this activity has three components.</p> <p>First, the agency must have policies and procedures related to cleaning, disinfection and maintenance of clinical equipment, laboratory equipment, field equipment, and client service areas. The policies/procedures should include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. WHAT relevant items (i.e. pieces of equipment or service areas) exist in clinic, the laboratory, and for environmental health field operations; 2. WHO is responsible for cleaning/maintaining each item; 3. HOW cleaning will be performed (the steps to be taken and the order in which they should be done) or HOW equipment will be maintained (i.e. specific procedure, periodic verification, according to manufacturer recommendation, etc.) 4. WHEN (frequency) cleaning and/or maintenance will occur and any “special circumstances” (such as outbreaks of norovirus) 5. WHICH and HOW staff are trained on cleaning, disinfection, and maintenance policy and procedures <p>As this policy/procedure requirement covers varying service areas (clinic vs. environmental health), multiple policies/procedures can be used. However, the policies in combination should address all clinical, laboratory, and field equipment existing within the agency.</p>

It is the responsibility of the agency to determine how/when cleaning and maintenance is done for various pieces of equipment, however, how/when should be informed by evidence-based practice, manufacturer recommendation, or common industry practice. If a concerning practice/process is observed while on a facility tour, the agency may be asked to provide documentation for how that practice/process is evidence-based **or manufacturer/industry recommended.**

For environmental health field equipment, use of the term “maintenance” in this Activity is considered synonymous with “verification of accuracy.”

The burden of identifying equipment is on the individual agency. However, if equipment is observed during the facility tour that is obviously not included in policy/procedure, a question will be asked about that service area/equipment. Though providing a comprehensive list of equipment here is not possible due to the varying services offered at different agencies, the following are examples of types of equipment that should be considered:

Clinical/Laboratory	Environmental Health Field
AED	Clinometer
Audiometer	Dish machine thermometer
Autoclave	EPI collection kit
BP cuff	Food thermometer
Crash cart	Laser level
Dental equipment	Lead sample collection kit
Eye wash station	Light meter
Scales	pH guide
Thermometers	Munsell Guide
Toys	Sanitizer test strips
Vision testing equipment	Water quality test kit
Water laboratory equipment	XRF
X-ray equipment	

Again, this list is not exhaustive, but rather a guide for identifying relevant equipment.

The second required item is documentation of training of **relevant** staff on these policies and procedures. Again, there are not specific training requirements detailed here (i.e. how often, what method), but could include periodic training during staff meetings, individual orientation, competency or demonstration checks, etc. Site visitors will expect to see documentation of training as described in the above policies and procedures.

The third requirement is verified through observation during the site visit. Site visitors will observe facilities to assure cleaning, disinfection, and maintenance policies and procedures are being followed. For example, if an agency’s policy requires daily cleaning logs of exam rooms, the site visit team may ask to see these logs. The site visit team may also ask to see equipment maintenance records (such as documentation of work performed to keep it in working condition, such as repairs, scheduled upkeep, replacement of parts, **verification of accuracy**). The department should have complete records since the previous site visit. Site visitors will select a month from the 24 months prior to the HDSAI due date for review.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

None

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 B. 1+ C. On-site review
SVT Review and Guiding Questions ✓ Are policies and procedures available and do policies/procedures cover all service areas? ✓ Are there training and verification records to show applicable staff have been adequately trained per policy and applicable staff are following policies and procedures? ✓ Are there records (per agency policy) to show that cleaning, disinfection and maintenance has been done?

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 30: The local health department shall provide safe and accessible physical facilities and services.
Activity 30.7: The local health department shall have and comply with policies and procedures for infection control required by law in providing clinical services.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Policies and procedures</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, two examples of evidence of compliance with infection control laws</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Infection control is an element of good clinical practice and accepted guidelines and policies must be followed by staff who provide patient care in a medical setting. This activity assesses the departments compliance with policies and procedures related to infection control in delivery of clinical services. The focus of this activity is any infection control efforts that are required by law.</p> <p>Guidance There are two pieces of documentation for this activity. The department should provide the policies and procedures it follows in infection control. It should also provide evidence on how it is complying with infection control laws. This activity requires staff adherence to policy and procedure as required by law. Any policies and procedures in place should be current with any legal requirements. While the contents of the policies/protocols are not strictly defined, they must at the least contain elements stated in statute or administrative code. When there is a question regarding content, have an appropriate authority or consultant review the policies and procedures.</p> <p>How to comply with the law should be stated in the policies and procedures. The department will then provide at least two examples to show that the policy is implemented and followed. In many cases, compliance with infection control laws can be confirmed through visual observation and could include the wearing of latex gloves in appropriate clinical areas, hand sanitizer dispensers for use by staff and public and proper disposal of medical waste. Other compliance can be demonstrated by records, such as showing that staff requirements for Hepatitis B vaccination are being met by appropriate clinical personnel.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1 B. 2</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Review policies and procedures ✓ Is there evidence of compliance with infection control laws? This may be noted through visual observation during facilities tours. ✓ Possible Interview Questions: What infection control measures are you familiar with? How does staff comply with infection control measures?

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 30: The local health department shall provide safe and accessible physical facilities and services.
Activity 30.8: The local health department’s hours of operation shall be based on documented community need.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of consumer and community input related to hours of operation</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Evidence of agency’s evaluation of that input</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent The intent of this activity is to show that the operating hours of the health department meet the needs of the public and are based on documented need. The department must get input in some form to determine what the documented need is. The hours that a department provides services gets at issues of access and the department should determine if its hours prevent the community, or a specified population in the community, from receiving services.</p> <p>Guidance If the health department is to be responsive to the community’s needs, then it follows that the needs of the community, and the department’s customers in all Programs (e.g., environmental health, WIC, immunizations, etc.) must be assessed. This includes asking when services should be provided. If for example, the health department has programs for teenagers, these services should be available after school, evening and/or Saturdays – at times when teenagers could access those services. The input does not have to be about operating hours only. It may be about service or program needs of the community or consumers. With that input, the department can determine what should be done to respond, which may include a discussion of operating hours needed to provide the service need.</p> <p>The documentation for this activity is composed of two parts. First, there must be some evidence of consumer and community input related to service needs. Second, there must be evidence for how the agency evaluated the consumer and community input.</p> <p>Here the requirement is for evidence of <u>BOTH</u> consumer and community input related to service needs specific to the hours of operation. Data or information should be provided to show the input. The community input may indicate that the hours of operation are fine or it may indicate the need for extended hours, weekend hours and evening hours. All documented need is to be evaluated as opportunities to better serve the community and reach populations that would otherwise go without services. Evaluation can be documented through such evidence as management team or staff meeting minutes, etc.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If documentation is not provided for the district as a whole, documentation should address input received from each county in relation to hours of operations.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1 B. 1</p>

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Was there evidence of both consumer and community input into service needs?
 - ✓ Are surveys done of community members other than current health department patients?
- ✓ Does the evidence demonstrate how information is obtained and how decisions/responses are made?

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 30: The local health department shall provide safe and accessible physical facilities and services.
Activity 30.9: The local health department shall prohibit the use of tobacco in its facility.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">To be verified through observations by Site Visitors (refer to “Activities that Require Visual Observation” sheet):</p> <p>A. Signage prohibiting the use of tobacco in its facilities</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Tobacco, in any of its forms, has been demonstrated to cause adverse health effects and therefore is not allowed in the health department. This is a requirement of the Consolidated Agreement and should be an example to the community from the agency that promotes good health. This activity demonstrates that the health department is supportive of tobacco control. Through policy, signage and enforcement, the department should prohibit any tobacco use in its facilities. This activity applies to anyone who is in a department facility.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation used to show evidence for this activity is signage that will be verified by site visitor observations. The local health department should post signage at all entrances of all LHD facilities as well as at other places that clients and visitors congregate. Signage should also be placed in public areas, or other appropriate areas, in the facility. The department can have a policy on tobacco use, but the policy is not required as evidence for this activity. The type of signage does not matter, but since the activity refers to all tobacco use, ‘no smoking’ signage alone will not suffice; signage throughout the facilities should be consistent to prohibit tobacco, not just smoking. If any signage is in English, it must also be in other languages if your client and visitor populations dictate such. Signage can be professionally done or made within the health department.</p> <p>Signage must be at all facilities that the LHD operates or where the department has a full-time or permanent presence. A full-time or permanent presence is defined as space that is occupied or used primarily by the department and equipment, furniture, materials and/or records remain at the site when the department is not seeing clients or customers. A community facility or private building that the LHD uses on an occasional or scheduled basis does not have to have signage if the health department brings in all materials/equipment/records when it will use the site and removes all materials/equipment/records when leaving the site.</p> <p>For mobile units, signage must be present at the entrances to the unit unless it is parked on a tobacco free campus, such as a school or hospital. In those cases, signage is not necessary; however, the department should provide evidence that the campus is tobacco free. It is recommended that at any location where health department services are being provided, tobacco free signage be posted.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. On-site review</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Observe and verify signage. ✓ Do signs prohibit all tobacco use?

- ✓ Are signs posted at all facilities managed by the LHD?
- ✓ If signs are not observed, ask about signage.

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 30: The local health department shall provide safe and accessible physical facilities and services.
Activity 30.10: The local health department shall make efforts to prohibit the use of tobacco in all areas and grounds within fifty (50) feet of the health department facility.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Evidence that the agency may prohibit the use of tobacco use within 50 feet by vote of the board of health or the board of county commissioners for ALL department facilities</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, evidence that a request to prohibit the use of tobacco within 50 feet was made to the board of health, county commissioners or property owner for ALL facilities with a response from the board of health, county commissioners or property owner</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Although it is now in NC Statutes to ban tobacco products in and around the health departments, and commissioners can now ban tobacco use in county government buildings, health departments may not control the external portion of the building. The building may be shared with other county offices that do not have the same rules or may be privately owned. The intent of this activity is for the health department to model behavior and prevent congregation of ALL tobacco use outside entrances to health department facilities.</p> <p>Guidance The evidence for this activity is minutes or correspondence showing the effort to achieve the prohibition of tobacco use within 50 feet of the all health department facilities. In order to meet the Activity, health departments should discuss this issue with the board of health, property owner (if a privately owned building) and/or with county commissioners (if in a county facility). Although action by the legislature has focused on smoking only, this Activity as written has a focus on the prohibition of ALL tobacco use and efforts made must address the same focus and not just smoking alone. For example, meeting minutes must reference tobacco use in general rather than just smoking. Also, documentation should reference all LHD facilities and not just the main location.</p> <p>“Making efforts” is defined as going as far as the agency can before being told “no” on its request. For single county health departments, if the board of health states they will not consider this issue, the agency has gone as far as it can and the Activity is met. If the board of health is in favor of the boundary, there must be evidence that the board of health has either taken action themselves or has agreed to forward the request on to the board of county commissioners or property owner (if leased from a non-county source) with a request for action. The response must be received in writing. If there is not a prohibition on tobacco use within 50 feet for all department facilities, the agency must demonstrate renewed efforts to do so at least once every accreditation cycle.</p> <p>The board of health does have limited authority (set by state law) to ban tobacco use in health department facilities and to create a 50 foot boundary. If a local board of health adopted a policy or rule prior to July 1, 2009, that prohibited tobacco use on its grounds, no approval was required of the county commissioners. For any policy or rule adopted after July 1, 2009 that prohibited any use of tobacco, it must be approved by the board of county commissioners per G.S. 130A-498(a). The board of health is allowed to ban tobacco use other than smoking without any need for commissioner approval. However, remember that the activity is for all use of tobacco and any policy or rule must address all uses.</p>

<p>If the board of health does not vote to ban the use of tobacco products, they may instead support efforts of the department to gain the ban through a vote of the commissioners or permission from the property owner to declare the ban. The department should show evidence of the request, and a documented response, from the Commissioners or property owner. The correspondence for the commissioners may be handled through the county manager.</p> <p>Signage alone is not enough without other evidence to demonstrate that efforts have been made.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If documentation is not provided for the district as a whole, correspondence is needed for each county within the district. Districts may or may not need to forward the request to commissioners or property owners based upon the lease agreement for the occupied space. The lease may grant them the authority to make such decisions on their own.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 OR B. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Is evidence present to show effort on a prohibition of tobacco use within 50 feet of the facility? ✓ Was action taken by the Board of health to prohibit the use of tobacco? ✓ Was a request made for the boundary with a response in writing?

BENCHMARK 31

Administrative Policies and Procedures

This benchmark requires the health department to have policies and procedures that guide the administration, including personnel, of the department. The six activities of the benchmark build on each other, starting with the overall set of policies. Following activities cover specific areas of policy and wrap up the benchmark with a requirement to track the inventory of the department.

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 31: The local health department shall develop and implement administrative policies and procedures.
Activity 31.1: The local health department shall develop and implement policies and procedures regarding the administration of the local health department and shall assure policies and procedures are accessible to staff.
Documentation: A. Administrative policies and procedures AND To be verified through observations by Site Visitors (refer to "Activities that Require Visual Observation" sheet): B. Accessibility of policies and procedures
INTERPRETATION Intent All organizations need to have administrative policies in place that state expectations for staff and for the processes that guide the work of the agency. This activity assesses the health department's efforts in the development and implementation of those administrative policies and procedures. It also requires that these policies and procedures be readily available to staff and that staff are knowledgeable on how to access them. Guidance The documentation requirement for this activity is to provide the administrative policies and procedures that the department has in use. This is usually a manual with all administrative policies included. There is no required format, topics or content that must be included. Policies can be in hard copy or electronic formats, or a combination of both. If the health department has multiple facilities and hard copies are kept at various locations, all copies must be current, the same version and match in content. Copies can be stored on a server and accessible by computer drive or posted on a department web site. The other evidence for the activity is that the policies and procedures be accessible. Departments can state how they can be accessed by staff in the evidence box of the HDSAI. This will also be verified by the site visitors through observation, through questions on the facility tours and during interviews. If policies are maintained in an electronic format, all staff in all locations must have access to a computer and/or to the internet. Each individual does not have to be assigned a computer, but must have access to one should the policy need to be reviewed or referenced. Policies must be accessible at all times. If hard copy, the location of the policies should be in a central location. An office is fine, but the office cannot be locked during operational hours. For example, administrative policies may be kept in the office of an administrative assistant who is responsible for maintaining and revising the policies. That is acceptable, but if the administrative assistant is away at a conference, meeting or vacation, the office cannot be locked in his/her absence. If staff are based in

<p>facilities separate from the main health department building, they must have access to the administrative policies within the facility they are located.</p> <p>This activity is closely linked with Benchmark 15. Policies and procedures must follow the guidelines stated in the Policy on Policies that is required in Activity 15.3, if adopted or revised after the Policy on Policies was effective. This activity is a companion to Activity 15.6 which relates to program policies. Both 31.1 and 15.6 are concerned with accessibility of policies. All policies and procedures of the department should have equal accessibility.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 B. On-site review</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Were administrative policies and procedures provided? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Were the administrative policies and procedures accessible to staff? ✓ If policies and procedures are in more than one location, are policies complete, current and available in each location? ✓ Possible Interview Questions: Ask how staff access policies and procedures. If policies are kept on a server /intranet/internet, do all staff have access to a computer?

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 31: The local health department shall develop and implement administrative policies and procedures.
Activity 31.2: The local health department shall have a current organizational chart showing lines of authority.
Documentation: A. Current organizational chart with identifiable lines of authority.
INTERPRETATION Intent An element of administrative policies and procedures is having an organizational chart. This activity requires health departments to have a current chart and that the chart have clear lines of authority in its design. The purpose of the organizational chart is to define the reporting relationships that exist throughout the department. Staff should have knowledge of these reporting and supervisory relationships within programs, units or divisions in the health department. Guidance The organizational chart (o-chart) must be current, that is, up-to-date with all employees who are working at the agency at the time of submission. There should not be any o-chart listings of individuals who are no longer working at the department. There should also not be any individuals working at the department who are not on the o-chart. If the o-chart changes in between its submission with the HDSAI and the site visit, an updated chart can be presented on site. Policy may define how often the o-chart is updated. At the least, handwritten changes can be written on the o-chart until the document is updated. There is no defined format or style of o-chart that must be used. The o-chart can be a single page or multiple pages. The department should develop and use an o-chart design that is best for accomplishing its work and objectives, but it also should accurately portray reporting relationships. The chart should also be consistent with overall county government organizational charts. Whatever the design, the o-chart must clearly show these reporting relationships through clear lines of authority. To do this, the employee's name and position title must be included within the design of the chart.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies Multiple charts may be provided, if necessary. Public health staff should be highlighted on the chart and consistent with the staff that are provided on the Staff Roster submitted with the HDSAI.
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Review organizational chart. ✓ Is the chart current? ✓ Does the chart clearly show lines of authority? ✓ Possible Interview Questions: Do employees know their placing on the organizational chart? Do employees understand the lines of authority?

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 31: The local health department shall develop and implement administrative policies and procedures.
Activity 31.3: The local health department shall have written personnel policies that address disciplinary, grievance and harassment issues.
Documentation: A. Personnel policies that address disciplinary, grievance and harassment issues
INTERPRETATION
<p>Intent Personnel Policies should clearly lay out the expectations of staff and the consequences if those expectations are not met. This is especially true for issues that could result in disciplinary action. Personnel should expect and be entitled to a work environment that is fair, gives due process, is harassment free and that allows employees a method to present a grievance to management. This activity requires the health department to have written personnel policies that address these issues.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation for this activity requires the department to have personnel policies with three specified areas that must be covered. Disciplinary refers to the processes relating to aspects of employee behavior that could result in some type of disciplinary action. Grievance refers to the processes that employees may use when they feel that personnel actions, including disciplinary, have not followed policy or are unwarranted. Harassment refers to aspects of the personnel policies that protect employees from unwanted or unacceptable behavior from management or from other employees. Employees should be aware of the policies and how they apply to their position, behavior or actions. Copies of the policies should be accessible by all employees.</p> <p>All personnel policies, including those that address disciplinary, grievance and harassment issues, can be developed by the department or district, or may be policies that have been developed by the county. The policies can also apply only to the department or district or may be uniform for all county departments. There is no required format or style for the policies. If developed by the department or district, the policies should adhere to any guidelines in the Policy on Policies. The policies for this activity can be a part of a greater personnel policy manual or can be stand-alone policies. If part of a comprehensive policy or manual, the department should flag the sections that address disciplinary, grievance and harassment issues.</p>
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Were personnel policies that address disciplinary, grievance and harassment issues provided? ✓ Possible Interview Questions: Are personnel policies and procedures accessible to staff? Are staff aware of disciplinary, grievance and harassment sections in the department’s personnel policies?

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services

Benchmark 31: The local health department shall develop and implement administrative policies and procedures.

Activity 31.4: The local health department shall have current written position descriptions and qualifications for each staff position.

Documentation:

- A. Policy requiring annual review of position descriptions
- B. Current position descriptions that have been signed, dated, and reviewed annually by both employee and supervisor to be verified through observations by Site Visitors. Site visitors will review a written position description for each individual selected for review from one of the previous years since the last site visit.

Site Visitors will review randomly selected personnel records based on health department size. At least 85% of the records reviewed must meet the documentation required.

<u>Health Dept. Staff</u>	<u>Personnel Records Accessed</u>
30 or fewer	Up to 8 records
31-100	Up to 15 records
101 or more	Up to 15% of total staff's records

Site visitors will review at least one individual in each of the following roles: public health nursing, environmental health, and member of the management team. If the randomly selected personnel records do not reflect these roles, additional personnel records may be requested.

INTERPRETATION

Intent

This activity continues with the personnel record system as a part of the department's administrative policies and procedures. Current written position, or job, descriptions and qualifications for each staff position must be maintained by the department. Written position descriptions are descriptions of what is expected of the employee and how it relates to the position's duties and responsibilities. Qualifications refer to the education, skills and training needed by an individual in the position.

Guidance

The documentation for the activity requires current position descriptions. The position descriptions must be signed, dated and reviewed annually by both employee and supervisor. Regardless of any substantial equivalency status, health departments are required by the activity to have position descriptions that clearly identify one's individual responsibilities. Written position descriptions must be on file. They can be in individual personnel files or separate. While there can be electronic copies of the position descriptions, these are not acceptable evidence for this activity unless the department has a means to capture an electronic signature **and date stamp. If an electronic system is used, copies that are current, dated and signed (i.e. authenticated) must be able to be printed off for site visit personnel record review.**

There is not a prescribed style of position description as long as it is written, current (reflects the actual duties and responsibilities for the position), and correct for function, reporting and requirements. If the department uses a format supplied by a county personnel system that does not provide the clarity on duties and responsibilities of specific position sought here, the health department should use a supplemental form to adequately reflect the required information. To be defined as current, the position description should be signed and dated by the employee presently in the position and should accurately reflect the tasks, duties, and requirements of the position. If at any time, the job responsibilities change for an individual, the position description should be revised, dated and signed.

Each position description should not only be very specific to the person in the position, they should also be dated and signed by the individual and their supervisor.

The position description should also have the qualifications required to be in a position. Usually these are linked to the classification of the position but may have some variation. These generally will be listed by topics such as education, skills and knowledge. There is again no format or style required but the job description should reflect the expectation of the department for the person in the position and should also be reflective of the level of responsibilities of the person.

Site visitors will review this activity through a random selection of personnel records. For each individual selected, the personnel record should have a written position description with qualifications defined that has been reviewed and signed **by both the employee and supervisor**.

See [Attachment 3](#) for further guidance on personnel records.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

None

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

None

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. On-site review

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Was a position description available for all files chosen?
- ✓ Are the position descriptions signed by both employee and supervisor and dated?
- ✓ Does each individual reviewed have position qualifications?

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services

Benchmark 31: The local health department shall develop and implement administrative policies and procedures.

Activity 31.5: The local health department shall implement a performance appraisal system for all staff.

Documentation:

- A. Performance appraisal policies and procedures
- AND**
- B. Signed and dated annual performance appraisal, to be verified through observations by Site Visitors. To be accepted as valid documentation, the performance appraisal should have been conducted within a randomly selected year since the last accreditation site visit, and is to be signed and dated by the employee and the supervisor conducting the appraisal.

Site Visitors will review randomly selected personnel records based on health department size. At least 85% of the records reviewed must meet the documentation required.

<u>Health Dept. Staff</u>	<u>Personnel Records Accessed</u>
30 or fewer	Up to 8 records
31-100	Up to 15 records
101 or more	Up to 15% of total staff's records

Site visitors will review at least one individual in each of the following roles: public health nursing, environmental health, and member of the management team. If the randomly selected personnel records do not reflect these roles, additional personnel records may be requested.

INTERPRETATION

Intent

An important component of policies and procedures for a personnel system is a performance appraisal that measures an employee's performance against expectations or a work plan. This activity requires that the health department have a performance appraisal system for all staff. Employees should be aware of the appraisal system, have an evaluation instrument specific to responsibilities, and have the opportunity to have input during the appraisal process.

Guidance

The documentation for the activity is the policies and procedures that define the performance appraisal or evaluation process. For the personnel records selected, the department must provide a copy of the signed and dated annual performance appraisal. By reviewing this material, the site visitors will verify that a system is in place and in use. The performance appraisal system should also require annual evaluations.

The policies and procedures can be hard copy or electronic. They may also be a component of a larger set of policies governing the full personnel system, or may be a stand-alone policy on performance evaluation. There is no required structure, format or content for the appraisal tool. The system may be individual for the department or district or could be provided through a county personnel system.

For a randomly selected year since the last accreditation site visit, a performance appraisal for each of the staff members selected will be provided for site visitor verification. To be accepted as valid documentation, the performance appraisal should have been conducted within the within the year selected and is to be signed and dated by the employee and the supervisor conducting the appraisal. **If an electronic system is used, copies that are current, dated and signed (i.e. authenticated) must be able to be printed off for site visit personnel record review.**

Performance appraisals for temporary, part-time or seasonal employees may be different from full-time staff, but all staff should be evaluated in some aspect for their job performance. The completed appraisal, in accordance with the policies, should be documented. If a part of a county personnel system and the required county system does not address the health department's needs, additional components and documentation can be added.

The annual evaluation or performance appraisal documentation is not a public record. LHDs need not provide the entire performance appraisal, including comments, for each record selected. Site visitors only need to verify that an appraisal was conducted and can do so by viewing the signature page of the performance appraisal that includes the individual's signature, signature of supervisor who completed/conducted the appraisal, and the date completed. Therefore, the agency may provide the entire appraisal with consent of the employee; may show evidence that an appraisal has been conducted, such as the signature page along with the form used; or may provide a full copy with the evaluation ratings and comments blacked out. The intent is to show that an evaluation has been done, not to provide the SVT with the results of the evaluation itself. If the department provides the signature sheet, there should also be documentation of the time period being evaluated and a blank copy of the appraisal tool provided to the site visitors.

See [Attachment 3](#) for further guidance on personnel records.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

None

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

None

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. On-site review

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Were performance appraisal policies and procedures provided?
- ✓ Were there performance appraisals for all personnel records chosen?
 - ✓ Are the annual performance appraisals signed and dated?
- ✓ Possible Interview Question: Ask staff to describe the performance appraisal process.

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 31: The local health department shall develop and implement administrative policies and procedures.
Activity 31.6: The local health department shall have an inventory of equipment that includes a plan for replacement.
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Inventory list <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> B. Replacement plan for equipment <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> C. Since the previous site visit, evidence that the plan has been followed
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity requires the health department to have an inventory of equipment along with a plan for replacement of equipment. For many programs, the proper equipment is vital to accuracy of the work. Necessary equipment needs to be available, in good repair, and specific for the task it is used for. An inventory list must be current and complete in order to assure that equipment is present and to know when it needs to be replaced. A complete inventory listing and a good replacement plan will also be excellent budget planning tools.</p> <p>Guidance The department is required to provide an inventory list of department equipment and a replacement plan for equipment owned or assigned to the health department. Equipment will include any type of laboratory, clinical, medical or home health equipment, audio/visual, computers and servers, environmental health equipment, animal control equipment (if a part of the health department), and vehicles.</p> <p>The inventory list does not have to include any reference to a monetary amount. Health departments may have a policy to indicate a minimum value for equipment they maintain as inventory or to be kept on an inventory listing. For example, some health departments have policies that specifically state the inventory list will be maintained for items valued at over \$XXX.</p> <p>The guiding factor here is that the inventory list is guided by any fiscal control act guidelines. For single county health departments, the county inventory list will meet this activity. For districts and authorities, a list that follows guidelines that the board of health has established will meet this activity.</p> <p>While the inventory list provided for this activity may include hardware and software, the list should not be limited to such. A hardware and software inventory list is partially addressed in Activity 32.1. Any hardware not listed for that activity should be included on this list.</p> <p>The replacement plan must include a description of how and when the department will assess the need for replacement of equipment owned or assigned to the department and evidence that the plan has been followed since the previous site visit or that attempts have been made to follow the plan (i.e. budget request, grant application, etc.). At least one example of plan implementation must be provided.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If required documentation is not maintained for the district as a whole, then documentation should be provided for each county.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1
- C. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Was an inventory list provided?
- ✓ Was a replacement plan provided?
- ✓ Was evidence of plan implementation provided?
- ✓ Possible Interview Questions: Who develops or maintains the inventory list? Who develops or maintains the replacement plan?

BENCHMARK 32

Management Information System

This benchmark ensures that the management information or computer system is secure and sufficient to meet the needs of the health department. There are four activities under this benchmark that address aspects of access, use and security of the information system of the department. The management information system may be maintained by the department or district or may be a part of a county system. Whatever the source and size of the system, it should offer use to all staff needing access, should protect the information that is on a computer or server and should ensure that it can handle all requirements.

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 32: The local health department shall operate a secure and effective management information system.
Activity 32.1: The local health department shall have computer equipment and software needed to interface with state data management systems.
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of data exchange between LHD and two different state systems
INTERPRETATION Intent The local health department has the responsibility for collecting certain data and transmitting that to the state for statistical purposes. The State Center for Health Statistics and other units of the Division of Public Health use the local data to compile state reports and to meet programmatic requirements. The department may also send data to the state to bill for services. Thus, it is necessary for local systems to link and communicate with the state information or data management system. To do that, the health department will have to have the necessary computer equipment and software to interface. Guidance This activity requires two pieces of evidence: two examples of exchange of data/information with two different state systems (e.g. NCIR, NCEDSS, VRAS, BETS, Crossroads, CSDW, etc.). The two examples can be reports to show that data has been entered and submitted, sheets that document send dates and times, accounts reports of some type, or reports provided by the state.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If required documentation is not maintained for the district as a whole, then documentation should be provided for each county.
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 2
SVT Review and Guiding Questions ✓ Was there evidence of data exchange? ✓ Possible Interview Questions: How does the health department information system link with the state?

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 32: The local health department shall operate a secure and effective management information system.
Activity 32.2: The local health department shall ensure that staff are able to use the management information system to participate in electronic communications and public health program implementation.
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Policy on training staff on management information systems <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> B. Since the previous site visit, two examples of evidence of implementation of that policy <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> C. A statement signed by the health director that no new staff have been hired and no new information systems have been implemented since the last site visit
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Employees must have information system skills to be effective in today’s work environment. Increasingly, technology is used in the management of records and in patient flow. Staff must be competent in the use of the information systems used by the LHD. IT systems and software are complex and staff must be properly trained in their usage. This activity requires that the department ensure that staff, as assigned or appropriate, are able to use the department’s management information system (MIS). The purpose for this activity is to allow the department to use a MIS to participate in electronic communications and public health program implementation.</p> <p>Guidance This activity requires policy/procedures for how agency staff are trained on MIS; this policy does not have to be stand-alone and can be a component of other agency policies/procedures.</p> <p>This activity also requires evidence that relevant staff have been oriented to or received training in the use of management information systems since the previous site visit unless there has been no staff turnover or new staff since the last site visit and no new management information systems have been implemented. This activity does not define continuing education on MIS, rather that new staff are trained on the systems they use and, if a new system has been implemented since the previous site visit, that all current staff who use that system are trained. The department will need to provide a list or spreadsheet to define the relevant staff that use any MIS. This most likely will include anyone who logs onto a computer that is a part of a network. This will include staff using NCIR, NCEDSS, VRAS, BETS, Crossroads, CSDW, the department billing system, and any other MIS program. This will include any staff that must provide state reports through some type of web-based system or specialized software. Just because a staff member has an assigned login and uses a system does not provide the evidence of training. At least two examples must be provided for this activity.</p> <p>Much training or orientation will be provided on-the-job and there will be no certificate as proof. So, there must be a record of some type for review. Possible documentation could be shown through the orientation checklist, a checklist specific to MIS training provided, or a completed outline of training topics signed by a supervisor or a county or department/district MIS department.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p>

- A. 1
- B. 2
- OR
- C. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Was there evidence provided to document relevant staff have been oriented to or received training in the use of management information systems?
- ✓ Possible Interview Question: Ask the person responsible for department IT how training is provided.

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 32: The local health department shall operate a secure and effective management information system.
Activity 32.3: The local health department shall have a written policy regarding authorized and prohibited use of computer equipment, email and Internet.
Documentation: A. Policy
INTERPRETATION
<p>Intent The use of technology provides staff with all kinds of opportunities for access to information. It may also afford an opportunity for misuse or use of department resources for personal reasons. This is usually not the intended use of this technology and departments need to have defined guidelines for its use. Policies and procedures are needed to control use and misuse of a management information system. This activity requires the department to have written policies or procedures that govern the use of computer equipment, email and Internet.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation for this activity requires the computer or technology use policy or procedures. The policy must do two things. It must define the authorized or allowed uses and the prohibited uses of the MIS. The policy must specifically address three areas – general use of computer equipment, use of email, and use of the internet. The authorized and prohibited uses of MIS may be for any use of the MIS including the three specified.</p> <p>The policy may be a part of the administrative policies required in Activity 31.1 or may be a separate policy. There is no specified format or content beyond the three areas and two components mentioned. The policy should define the consequences of using the MIS inappropriately.</p> <p>As with other department policies, this policy must follow the guidance of the Policy on Policies. It must be accessible to staff and all staff must be trained on the policy. Because of the possible disciplinary action that could occur from misuse of the MIS, some departments require employees to sign a disclosure that they have read and understand the policy. This is not required, but if it is done, site visitors should look for this disclosure form during the review of personnel records.</p>
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was there a written computer/technology use policy regarding authorized and prohibited use of computer equipment, email and Internet? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Does it require an employee signature? ✓ If yes, check during personnel records review. ✓ Possible Interview Question: Ask staff about the computer use policy and training on its content.

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 32: The local health department shall operate a secure and effective management information system.
Activity 32.4: The local health department shall have policies and procedures to assure management information system security, and use passwords and screensavers to safeguard the privacy of electronic information.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Policies and procedures</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p style="text-align: center;">To be verified through observations by Site Visitors (refer to “Activities that Require Visual Observation” sheet):</p> <p>B. Evidence that policies and procedures have been implemented</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Data in the health department information system or data bases must be kept secure as required by good practices on confidentiality and by law. This includes the entire operation from initial clinic intake to environmental records. Policies and devices will be needed to define departmental security processes and functions. This will include the use of passwords and screensavers, as appropriate, along with other personal practices needed to protect information when the user is not at the computer. This is to protect the information from others who are not authorized to view it.</p> <p>Guidance The health department must provide the policies and procedures assuring management information system (MIS) security. The policies and practices must protect information on the MIS from being viewed or used inappropriately or by anyone who does not have a need to see the information. This would include staff, visitors and clients/customers.</p> <p>As with other policies and procedures, the MIS security policies can be a part of the overall administrative policies of the department or can be a stand-alone policy. It can be a policy that is developed by the department or provided through a county MIS office. It can be electronic or hard-copy, but all staff who must adhere to the policy should be able to access the policy and should be trained on its contents.</p> <p>The policy should be a comprehensive look at MIS security and not just specific segments or regulations, such as HIPAA. Any regulations, such as HIPAA, that are addressed in other measures or have their own set of policies and procedures do not have to be placed in this policy, but should be referenced so that staff (and site visitors) can note the relationships between the separate policies.</p> <p>There should be evidence that policies and procedures have been implemented by the department. This will mean that the practices and procedures described in the policies are being used when the site visit begins. This will be verified by the site visitors through their observations on facility tours and by questions asked during interviews. Site visitors may also question staff on facility tours about MIS security and can check unattended computers to see if practices in the policies are being followed. While it is expected that an agency would correct any policy violation once notified of such, if any policy violation is noted by the site visit team, it will result in a “not met” score for the activity.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies
None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 B. On-site review
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Were the MIS security policies and procedures, including use of passwords and screensavers, provided? Observe computers and staff practices during facility tours and other visits to client areas.✓ Were policies and procedures being followed?✓ Was there evidence that policies and procedures had been implemented?✓ Possible Interview Questions: How is information in the MIS protected? What practices do you follow to protect information in the MIS?

BENCHMARK 33

Financial Accountability

This benchmark, composed of seven activities, assesses how the department assures its financial accountability. Included are aspects of funding and support, having an approved budget, internal handling of funds, setting fees, financial reports and risk management. These practices and policies help keep the department on sound financial footing and provide a means to document the efficient and responsible use of funds.

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 33: The local health department.
Activity 33.1: The local health department shall demonstrate that it receives financial support from a local taxing authority.
Documentation: A. Evidence reflecting local appropriations for local health department for every year since last site visit
INTERPRETATION Intent Financial support for local health departments comes from several sources, one of which is tax dollars from the local jurisdiction. This activity requires that the department provide evidence that it has received funding support from a local taxing authority. Guidance The only required documentation for this activity is evidence of allocation of local funds to the local health department for every year since the previous site visit. This should be demonstrated through completion of the <i>Budget Summary Template</i> (see NCLHDA website) that, in part, breaks out actual allocation of local dollars in each year's approved budget.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions ✓ Was there evidence of local funds appropriated to the health department for each year since previous site visit?

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 33: The local health department shall assure its financial accountability.
Activity 33.2: The local health department shall operate under a budget approved by the appropriate authority under state statute.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Current budget</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Evidence that it has received official approval from the appropriate authority</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Another key element in financial accountability is for the department to operate under a budget that has been reviewed, vetted and approved by the authority that has the power to do so. This will involve the BOH and, for single county health departments, the county commissioners. The appropriate authority is primarily defined in state statute under Chapter 159: Local Government Finance.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation for the activity is a copy of the current budget along with a record of its official approval. The current budget is the approved budget that is in effect when the HDSAI is submitted. If a new fiscal year begins (July 1) after the due date of the HDSAI but before the date of the site visit, the department may update the budget evidence present it on-site, but is not required to do so.</p> <p>The appropriate authority may be the county commissioners, the BOH or both, based on the governance structure for the department. For single county departments, the commissioners may wish for the BOH to recommend a budget to them, so the BOH may have to take action on a budget that is then forwarded to the county manager. For districts/authorities, there is no county commissioner approval required for the full department budget, thus the final approval for the budget is by the BOH. The evidence of adoption can be through meeting minutes or dated correspondence indicating that the budget was approved.</p> <p>See Activity 39.3 for related information on the requirement of the BOH to review and approve the department's budget.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1 B. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was the current, approved budget provided? ✓ For single county agencies, is there evidence the budget has been recommended by the Board of Health and approved by the county commissioners? ✓ For authorities and districts, is there evidence the budget has been approved by the Board of Health? ✓ Possible Interview Questions: How is the budget approved? What role does the BOH (governance board) have in the process?

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 33: The local health department shall assure its financial accountability.
Activity 33.3: The local health department shall follow generally accepted accounting principles.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Findings of most recent audit</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. If audit the had findings related to the health department, evidence of corrective actions taken based on those findings</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>C. If there were no audit findings, the statement from the audit report indicating such</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent For local government or local entities, there are accounting practices that must be followed and are defined by law. This activity requires the department to follow accepted accounting principles. One such accepted practice, and one that is required by law, is an annual audit of all accounts by a certified public accountant or by an accountant certified by the Local Government Commission as qualified to audit local government accounts. There will be a written report from the audit and the department should act on the results from the audit to correct deficiencies and improve processes.</p> <p>Guidance The health department must provide an audit report or findings of most recent audit. The audit used in documentation must be the most recent, that is, the last one conducted for which the department has a report as of the HDSAI due date. If an audit has occurred just prior to the HDSAI due date, but no report or findings have been given to the health department, use the audit for the previous year. The findings can be a summary from the audit, a summary developed by the department or county or a compilation of pages from the audit. While it is advisable to have the full audit document available, there is no need for site visitors to review the full audit.</p> <p>The findings submitted should be related to the practices of the health department. For single county health departments, the LHD will most likely be included in the overall county audit. In that case, the section of the audit that site visitors will need to review will be any of the findings noted for the local health department. If the full audit is provided or asked for by site visitors, the applicable sections of the audit document should be flagged. For districts/authorities, the audit will most likely be for just the health department accounts.</p> <p>The other element of evidence is to demonstrate that corrective actions have been taken or are underway to address any audit findings or, if there were no findings, a copy of that statement from the audit report.</p> <p>There is no required or specified format for the corrective actions. The focus is on improvement in financial practices or processes based upon a deficiency found by the auditors. If no deficiencies were found, provide the statement in the audit report indicating such.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1 B. 1 +</p>

OR

C. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Were the most recent audit findings provided?
- ✓ Was there evidence of performance improvement activities including implementation based on audit findings?

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 33: The local health department shall assure its financial accountability.
Activity 33.4: The local health department shall have policies that assure segregation of financial management duties and accountability for funds.
Documentation: A. Policies
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent It is important to have several individuals involved in the financial duties of the LHD. This is to ensure that the agency has appropriate numbers of people trained but also to ensure that the duties and handling of finances are not concentrated in one or two people. This acts as a check on the system in place and provides integrity to financial accountability- that is the intent of this activity through departmental policies that assure both the segregation of financial management duties and accountability for funds.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation for this activity is the policies that define the process for two elements – the segregation of financial management duties and the accountability for funds. These policies can be a part of the overall administrative policy manual of the department or can be stand alone. They may be a single policy or composed of multiple policies. The policies may be developed by the department, the county, or a combination of both. Any staff who have financial responsibilities should be trained on these policies and know the processes to be followed.</p> <p>There are two elements that must be in the policies. The first is segregation of financial management duties. This refers to such tasks as collecting fees, preparing the daily deposit, making the deposit, preparing invoices, accounts payable, travel reimbursement, processing paper checks received by mail, etc. The department should have multiple people handling funds collected by the department, providing a checks and balances system on the money coming into the agency. The focus of this requirement is to prevent having one person who handles receipts from collecting the funds to making the deposit. This provides a process to protect employees, limit opportunities for theft or fraud, and to verify their accounting work.</p> <p>The second component of the policies is to define accountability for funds. This covers aspects of overall departmental accountability. This accountability portion includes aspects of handling large receipts (for example from grants and electronic deposits), defines protocols for handling of cash receipts, reconciliation of collections to charges (such as encounter forms or master bills), protocols for collections off site, daily deposit cut-offs, petty cash, and other sections to define the department’s overall proper handling of funds.</p> <p>The policies are not limited from including other topics but must address these two areas.</p>
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions ✓ Were the policies that assure segregation of financial management duties and accountability for funds provided?

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services

Benchmark 33: The local health department shall assure its financial accountability.

Activity 33.5: The local health department shall determine the cost of services in setting fees.

- Documentation:**
- A. Since the previous site visit, minutes of agency meetings where costs of services are determined and fees proposed

AND

 - B. Since the previous site visit, board of health or advisory committee on health minutes reflecting discussion of service costs

AND

 - C. Since the previous site visit, data or methodology used to determine costs

INTERPRETATION

Intent

This activity requires the department to determine the cost of services and to use this information in the setting of fees, although fees do not need to be set “at cost.” Fees should be set to reflect the costs incurred by the department and thus some type of cost analysis will be necessary. The focus of the activity is on providing the information that will be used by the Board of Health in approving the fees of the department. The activity does not require approval of fees or even the fee listing, but the information used to determine the fees that will be proposed.

Guidance

The health department is required to provide minutes of meetings within the department that record discussion or analysis on the determination of the cost of services. This can be staff workgroups, management team, or other meeting convened by the department. These meetings can include county finance staff or BOH representatives. There is no prescribed method that the department must use to determine costs. An internal cost analysis can be done, cost settlement reports can be used, or another data analysis process that looks at the cost of providing a service or program can be used. While external pricing guides and market surveys can be done, these should be secondary and, if used, should include some accepted guidelines for a cost breakdown versus simply being used for a comparison of fees.

Another piece of documentation required is board of health minutes that record discussion of service costs. This should be a board discussion on the information that is provided by the department and will be a guide in setting fees.

The department must also provide the data or methodology used to determine costs. The data would include any reports, statistics, utilization numbers, etc. used in the analysis to determine costs of services. The methodology used refers to the actual process of analysis of the data to arrive at costs of services amounts that will be used to develop proposed fees. There is no data that must be included or methodology that must be used. The department, in collaboration with the BOH, should use a process that helps determine the most accurate and valid numbers for both costs and fees.

This activity is a companion to Activity 39.3. That activity requires the BOH to approve fees in accordance with its duties as outlined in General Statute 130A-39(g). This activity provides the information needed by the BOH to make valid and informed decisions when approving department fees. Evidence here should reflect that there was discussion by the department and the BOH of information used to determine and propose fees, not of the fee approval process.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

If documentation is not provided for the district as a whole, minutes should be provided for each county and, unless there is one rate list for the entire district, BOH discussion should be provided for each county.

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

If the board of county commissioners has assumed the power/duties of the board of health, they or the advisory committee on health may be used to meet B. However, note that actual approval of the fees is statutory and must be done by the board of health (or board of county commissioners, but NOT the advisory committee on health)- this is covered in Activity 39.3.

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1
- C. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Were minutes of agency meetings to determine the cost of services in setting fees provided?
- ✓ Was board of health minutes reflecting discussion of service costs provided?
- ✓ Was data or the methodology used to determine costs provided?
- ✓ Possible Interview Questions: How does the department determine the cost of services? How does the BOH review and use information on service costs to set fees?

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 33: The local health department shall assure its financial accountability.
Activity 33.6: The local health department shall develop and present periodic budget, expenditure and other financial tracking reports to the board of health for its review.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, three different financial reports</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, BOH minutes reflecting presentation of those reports.</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Budget monitoring, including the review of expenditures and revenues, is an important part of sound financial practice and is appropriate to evaluate the financial accountability of the LHD. The BOH must be a partner in the budget review process and in the financial oversight of the department. This activity requires the department to develop periodic budget, expenditure and other financial tracking reports and then present those reports to the board of health.</p> <p>Guidance Documentation for this activity is to provide three different financial reports. The reports must be of three separate types providing different types of information. The reports cannot be three separate reports of the same kind, such as three different months of a department budget summary. The activity mentions two possible types. One is a budget report. This would refer to a report showing current expenditures and revenues, including year-to-date sums. An expenditure report would be focused on the expenses and costs to the agency. Other examples of financial reports include an annual budget summary, a quarterly or monthly report of expenditures and/or revenues or a report detailing a specific program, billing or accounts receivable reports, accounts payable reports, collections reports, and state expenditure reports (through the WIRM). Reports could reflect data for any time period – such as a month, quarter, annual or a multi-year report showing trends. Bad debt reports can be used for this activity. However, a bad debt write-off amount or summary should not be used in this activity since that information will be required in Activity 33.7.</p> <p>Evidence must be provided through BOH minutes demonstrating the presentation of those reports occurs. The reports provided to the Board should be representative of all financial transactions of the agency and should help in providing a review process for the overall financial accountability of the agency.</p> <p>This activity is related to Activity 39.2 where the BOH is to review financial reports as an assurance that essential services of public health are being provided. Both activities require presentation to BOH of financial reports in the minutes for documentation. However, the reports and the focus should be of a different nature. The focus in that activity is that resources are being provided for needed services. The focus in this activity is to assess financial accountability.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies</p> <p>If the board of county commissioners has assumed power/duties of the BOH, the three financial reports must be presented to them (not the advisory committee on health).</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 3</p> <p>B. 3</p>

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Were examples of three different financial reports provided?
- ✓ Were BOH minutes provided recording that the examples were presented to the board of health?
- ✓ Possible Interview Question: Ask BOH members how financial reports are received and reviewed.

Standard: Facilities and Administrative Services
Benchmark 33: The local health department shall assure its financial accountability.
Activity 33.7: The local health department shall have a financial risk management system in place to address uncollected fees and bad debt.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Policies and procedures defining financial risk management for uncollected fees and bad debt</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of implementation</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent The health department often will be called upon to provide services and programs for the overall health of the community but may not be reimbursed for those services. Most health departments operate under the concept of making services available regardless of ability to pay. Health departments also must have a sliding fee schedule for many services. Since there will be clients and customers who do not have the resources to pay for their services, the department will incur expenses that will not be paid for. This activity ensures that the department has a financial risk management system in place to deal with uncollected fees and to write-off of bad debt.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation for this activity requires the department to have policies and procedures that define financial risk management for two specified issues – uncollected fees and bad debt. Financial risk management is the set of processes and practices used by the department to incur the least possible financial risk. The focus is on how the department maximizes the collection of fees and minimizes bad debt.</p> <p>The department must also provide evidence of implementation of the policies and procedures and that it is following its defined schedule for writing off bad debt. This can be shown through BOH minutes with attachments, commissioner meeting minutes, correspondence with county finance offices and records of bad debt write-offs that have occurred since the previous site visit. Implementation of policy can also be demonstrated by any records showing how the department works to maximize the collection of fees and to minimize bad debt (such as the use of payment plans and debt setoff programs).</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p>B. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Were policies and procedures defining financial risk management for uncollected fees and bad debt provided? ✓ Was there evidence of implementation of policies and procedures? ✓ Possible Interview Questions: What are the financial risk management practices of the department? How often is bad debt written off? What efforts are made to collect bad debt?

BENCHMARK 34

Board of Health Rule-making

One of the powers and duties granted to the Board of Health by statute is the authority to adopt rules that may be necessary to protect and promote the public health within the jurisdiction of the department. This benchmark examines the readiness of the BOH to fulfill that duty and seeks to ensure that the BOH has the proper procedures in place to examine the need for rules and then to adopt them as necessary

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 34: The local board of health shall exercise its authority to adopt and enforce rules necessary to protect and promote the public's health.
Activity 34.1: The local board of health shall have operating procedures which shall comply with state law.
Documentation: A. Current board of health operating procedures
INTERPRETATION Intent Activities and procedures of the board of health need to be clearly defined and must not conflict with state law, since there are powers and responsibilities that are defined by statute. BOH members need to be familiar with their roles, opportunities and limitations. This activity requires that the BOH have operating procedures in place and that those procedures are legally sound. Guidance This activity requires that the BOH have Operating Procedures. Although the BOH may have Bylaws, a set of Bylaws alone will not meet the requirements of this activity. There have been past concerns that local health departments may include items in bylaws that do not comply with state statutes. The Institute of Government recommends that the BOH have operating procedures instead of bylaws. Note that in many instances a BOH will usually repeal their Bylaws and replace them with the Operating Procedures. The board of health operating procedures must be consistent with the content in the Operating Procedures document located on the NCLHDA website. The Operating Procedures template is based on guidance found in the Institute of Government's Suggested Rules of Procedure for Small Local Government Boards. The Institute of Government's suggested Rules say boards should consult Robert's Rules for guidance on parliamentary issues not covered by the Institute of Government Rules. Thus, a board cannot avoid using Robert's Rules by using the Institute of Government guide. The guide refers the BOH back to Robert's Rules.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If board of county commissioners have assumed the powers and duties of the board of health, they do not need operating procedures when functioning as the board of county commissioners, but do need them for when they are acting as the board of health. They do not need to use the template provided on the Accreditation website.
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions ✓ Were operating procedures available? ✓ Review operating procedures.

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 34: The local board of health shall exercise its authority to adopt and enforce rules necessary to protect and promote the public's health.
Activity 34.2: The local board of health shall have access to legal counsel.
Documentation: A. Current copy of contract, letter of agreement, correspondence, or other evidence verifying access to legal counsel
INTERPRETATION Intent Boards of health have several powers that may require the advice of an attorney. Since the BOH, has statutory requirements including rule-making authority, there may be times when it is imperative that the board consult legal counsel. There must be legal expertise available to the BOH when needed and board members should have an awareness of how to access such counsel. Guidance For this activity, the required documentation is some type of evidence that verifies access by the BOH to legal counsel. This could be through a contract process, a letter of agreement, correspondence with legal counsel, or other evidence presented by the BOH. A copy of a contract or letter of agreement with an attorney may be provided and should be dated or renewed within the past 24 months. There also may be current correspondence of some type – written, email or by telephone – that will demonstrate access. Single county health departments may present documentation that a county attorney is employed by or under contract to the county and that the board of health has access to this counsel. The use of legal council is not required, only that the BOH has access. However, documentation showing use of legal counsel would imply that the BOH has access.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the requirement applies to them (not the advisory committee on health).
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions ✓ Does the BOH have access to legal counsel? ✓ How is the BOH's access to legal counsel documented?

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 34: The local board of health shall exercise its authority to adopt and enforce rules necessary to protect and promote the public's health.
Activity 34.3: The local board of health shall follow the procedures for adopting rules in G.S. 130A-39.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Policy/procedures for rule-making</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. If a rule has been adopted since the previous site visit, evidence that policy/procedure was followed</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>C. If a rule has not been adopted since the previous site visit, evidence of signed board of health statement to that effect.</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Local boards of health have the authority to pass rules to protect and promote the public health. The statutory authority for this is defined in general statute 130A-39. The process used by the BOH to adopt rules should be in accordance with and is limited by the statutory authority that is granted to the BOH. When considering or adopting rules, it is important for the BOH to be aware of their authority and the proper procedures needed.</p> <p>Guidance A BOH should have procedures for adopting rules. This may be in the operating procedures of the BOH (Activity 34.1) or may be in a separate policy that the BOH has adopted. This activity is assessing whether the BOH followed proper procedure to adopt a rule if one has been adopted since the previous site visit. If no rules have been adopted, a statement saying such and signed by the BOH chair can be submitted as evidence.</p> <p>If a rule has been adopted since the previous site visit, one of a number of forms of documentation must be provided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a rules notice signed by BOH chair. A rules notice is the notification to the public that new rules are being considered by the board of health. This allows the public time to provide input. The rules notice must be placed in the local newspaper ten days prior to the Board of Health meeting. As evidence, the BOH can provide: a signed copy of the rules notice sent to the newspaper, an original copy of the notice from the newspaper that printed the announcement (and signed by the BOH chair) and/or the BOH minutes should record when the notice was sent to the newspaper along with the language of the rules notice. The BOH chair can sign this set of minutes. • the policy/procedure manual which defines how the BOH adopts rules. • correspondence with legal counsel referencing BOH's legal procedures in adopting rules. <p>If a rule has not been adopted since the previous site visit, a signed board of health statement to that effect is required.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the requirement applies to them (not the advisory committee on health).</p>

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1
- OR**
- C. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Has the board adopted a local rule since the previous site visit?
 - ✓ If so, does evidence describe the process used?
 - ✓ If so, was legal counsel consulted?
- ✓ Is the policy or procedure present and available to the board?
- ✓ If no rules adopted, is there a signed board of health statement to that effect?

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 34: The local board of health shall exercise its authority to adopt and enforce rules necessary to protect and promote the public's health.
Activity 34.4: The local board of health shall evaluate the need for the adoption or amendment of local rules or ordinances.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of implementation of rules/ordinance adoption or amendment</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, BOH minutes indicating that the BOH has done an evaluation and feels no new or amended rules or ordinances are needed</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent A role for the board of health is to assess need in the community for a rule or ordinance to enforce a public health action. The BOH may not recognize the need for the local adoption of rules unless an evaluation of need is done. This activity requires that boards evaluate the needs of the community to determine whether a rule or ordinance is a viable or practical option.</p> <p>Guidance There is one piece of evidence that is required for this activity and it must be since the last site visit. Evidence that new rules/ordinances have been developed or current rules/ordinances amended should be provided to demonstrate BOH evaluation of the need for local rules or ordinances. BOH minutes should record a discussion or decision on the need for adoption or amendment of local rules.</p> <p>However, even if no rules/ordinances were adopted or amended since the previous site visit, the BOH should be actively and periodically involved in the evaluation of the need for such change. Therefore, if no rules/ordinances were adopted or amended, the agency must submit BOH minutes demonstrating that the board has engaged in a process to evaluate the need for new/amended local rules or ordinances. BOH minutes should record a discussion and decision that there is no need for adoption of new rules or ordinances or amendment of current local rules or ordinances.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the requirement applies to them (not the advisory committee on health).</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>B. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Has the BOH evaluated the need for local rules or amendments? ✓ Has the BOH asked the health director for guidance on this issue? ✓ Who has the BOH consulted to evaluate the need for a local rule? ✓ Possible Interview Question: How does the BOH evaluate the need for local rules?

BENCHMARK 35

Board of Health Appeals

As noted in Benchmark 34, one of the powers and duties granted to the board of health is the authority to adopt rules necessary to protect and promote the public health. There will be times when a citizen will feel they have been unfairly treated in the enforcement of that rule. In such situations, NC general statutes provide a means for an aggrieved citizen to appeal a decision to the BOH. The one activity under this benchmark is to ensure that the BOH follows the procedures that are defined in 130A-24 – Appeals Procedures. This activity is complementary to Activity 34.3 and 34.4.

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 35: The local board of health shall assure a fair and equitable adjudication process.
Activity 35.1: The local board of health shall assure it follows the procedures for adjudications in G.S. 130A-24.
Documentation: <ul style="list-style-type: none">A. Policies and/or procedures for adjudications, specific to G.S. 130A-24 <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">B. If an adjudication has occurred since the previous site visit, evidence that policy/procedure was followed <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">C. If an adjudication has not occurred since the previous site visit, evidence of signed board of health statement to that effect.
INTERPRETATION Intent <p>The board of health may be asked to review action taken by health department staff in regard to investigational findings and/or rule enforcement action. The public or involved party may bring the matter to the board and a hearing may result. Because of the possible legal action that may follow, and because certain procedures are specified in G.S. 130A-24, well-defined policy or procedure must be written and followed. Since the right to appeal to district court is included in the statute, legal counsel should be involved in BOH action when an appeal is received.</p> Guidance <p>This activity relates to any rules that have been adopted by the BOH. Even if there have been no rules adopted by the BOH, there is still a need to have policies and procedures so that they have in place an adjudication process and appeals procedure.</p> <p>In addition to a copy of the policy/procedures, the BOH must submit evidence if there has been action since the previous site visit. The department may submit any correspondence with a citizen regarding a BOH rule, BOH minutes recording discussion of a potential appeal or actual appeal, report of BOH actions after a hearing, or documentation referencing involvement of legal counsel to the BOH related to the adjudication of an appeal.</p> <p>If there have not been any adjudications since the previous site visit, evidence of signed board of health statement to that effect is required.</p>
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the requirement applies to them (not the advisory committee on health).

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1
- OR**
- C. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Determine if the BOH has adopted rules.
- ✓ Review adjudication policies and procedures specific to G.S. 130A-24.
- ✓ Has any action occurred since the previous site visit?
 - ✓ If so, was any evidence provided as to how procedure was followed?
 - ✓ How was the legal counsel involved, if at all?
- ✓ If no adjudications have occurred, is there a signed BOH statement to that effect?
- ✓ Possible Interview Questions: What is BOH policy and procedure regarding adjudications specific to G.S. 130A-24? Have there been any appeals to rules enforcement in the last 4 years?

BENCHMARK 36

Board of Health Training

With an appointment to the Board of Health comes responsibility. Being a BOH member is an important role, and it is crucial that the member be well trained and understand what it means to be a part of the BOH. BOH members should understand the statutory authorities they have, should be trained on their rule-making authority, should have a good knowledge of public health law and should develop their abilities to give sound advice and guidance to the health director and the department. This benchmark has three activities to direct the training of BOH members. These activities should provide a good foundation for any citizen who serves on a BOH.

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 36: The local board of health members shall be trained regarding their service on the board.
Activity 36.1: The local health department shall provide board of health members with a written board handbook developed or updated within the past 12 months.
Documentation: A. Dated BOH handbook developed or updated in the past 12 months
INTERPRETATION Intent Effective boards have clear procedures and unity of purpose. A board handbook should provide such material – giving BOH members a good source of material for orientation, on-going reference and policies/protocols that have been adopted by the BOH. While the manual may contain historical material, the manual should be kept current to reflect how the board operates. Guidance For this activity, the only required documentation is a dated BOH handbook. The format of the handbook and the material contained within will vary county to county. Each agency will determine the material that is placed in a BOH handbook, and there are no specific or required contents. However, the handbook must be comprehensive enough to provide a good base of material. The material included should be regularly updated and information replaced as appropriate. It must have been updated within the past 12 months. As an example, the handbook may include the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>BOH specific Information</i> – such as a list of the BOH members and their contact information, meeting calendar for the year, the board’s operating procedures, statutes and rules related to the BOH; polices adopted by the BOH, rules adopted by the BOH• <i>Health Department Specific Information</i> – such as the department’s strategic plan, mission and value statements, annual reports, budget reports, organizational chart, description of services and other information that can give BOH members a better understanding of their roles and responsibilities.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the requirement applies to them (not the advisory committee on health).
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions ✓ Is there a dated handbook given to the BOH and was it updated within the past 12 months?

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 36: The local board of health members shall be trained regarding their service on the board.
Activity 36.2: The local health department shall assure new board of health members receive training and reference materials on the authorities and responsibilities of the local board of health within the first year after appointment to the board.
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Policies and/or procedures for BOH training <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> B. Training materials used <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> C. Dated evidence of new BOH members' participation in orientation training activities during their first year of service
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Board members come from a variety of community groups and backgrounds and may have little knowledge of public health prior to their appointment. Therefore, orientation and training are necessary for new BOH members. By properly orienting new BOH members to their role and the functions of public health, they can better serve the LHD and the community.</p> <p>Guidance This activity has three required pieces of documentation. A BOH should have procedures for training new board members. This may be in the operating procedures of the BOH (Activity 34.1) or may be in a separate policy that the BOH has adopted. The BOH must also provide the training materials used to train newly appointed BOH members. This would be the materials that are used in training and the reference materials that are given to BOH members during the training. The second piece of documentation is dated evidence of participation in training by new BOH members within their first year of service. The training may be called an orientation, but it is to be focused on the authorities and responsibilities of the local board of health.</p> <p>The training can be conducted at one time, may be done as modules, and can be done as a group and/or as individuals. A self-guided training which includes each board member documenting their completion of the training on a specific date is acceptable.</p> <p>Documentation of orientation training should be provided for all BOH members appointed since the last site visit and currently serving on the board. Note that members re-appointed or members of the BOH who are now members of a consolidated board do not need to repeat the orientation unless the content has changed since they were oriented.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the requirement applies to them (not the advisory committee on health).</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 1 B. 1 C. 1+
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Were the training materials used provided?

- ✓ Review when BOH members were appointed and when they received orientation and training.
- ✓ Were board members trained within their first year on the board?
- ✓ What are the reference materials given to new BOH members?

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 36: The local board of health members shall be trained regarding their service on the board.
Activity 36.3: The local health department shall assure on-going training for board of health members related to the authorities and responsibilities of local boards of health.
<p>Documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Policies and/or procedures for BOH training <p>AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> B. Training materials used <p>AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> C. Dated evidence of all BOH members' participation in on-going training activities related to authorities and responsibilities of BOH since the previous site visit
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity complements activity 36.2. Just as new BOH members should be properly oriented on their roles and responsibilities, members should also receive on-going training on the work of the agency and their responsibilities toward the public health issues that could affect their residents.</p> <p>Guidance The required documentation for this activity parallels that of activity 36.2. There are three pieces of evidence that must be provided. First, a BOH should have procedures for on-going training for Board members. This may be in the operating procedures of the BOH (Activity 34.1) or may be in a separate policy that the BOH has adopted. The same policy may be used as for 36.2 and new Board member orientation training, but there must be a separate section that addresses on-going member training. This policy/section should specify when and how training is conducted. Second, the BOH must provide the materials used to train BOH members about their authorities and responsibilities. The third piece of evidence is dated evidence of participation in training by BOH members since the previous site visit.</p> <p>Each department or BOH will determine what the schedule should be for on-going training. At a minimum, it would be at least once during a 4-year accreditation cycle. It should be sooner if there are changes to the BOH's responsibilities- this could include the adoption of new rules or a county ordinance that grants authority to the health department. If there are newly appointed BOH members, they may not need to attend this training if the information is covered in their first year orientation training. However, it may be easiest to have all members attend this on-going training. It is expected that the orientation or initial training used in activity 36.2 will be much more in depth than the on-going training for this activity.</p> <p>Note that this activity is asking for more than a simple presentation on a program that the LHD manages. The training material or agenda should be directly related to the responsibilities and authority of the local board of health. However, the training does not need to be recurring training on basic roles/responsibilities from board member orientation training. The training may cover any relevant or emerging public health topic (such as isolation/quarantine authorities as related to an emerging infectious disease or updates to state environmental health rules) so long as the training includes/highlights the BOH rule-making or other authority as it relates to that topic. As in activity 36.2, the training can be conducted at one time, may be done as modules and can be done as a group and as individuals. A self-guided training which includes each board member documenting their completion of the training on a specific date is acceptable.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments</p> <p>None</p>

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the requirement applies to them (not the advisory committee on health).

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1+
- C. 1+

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ What training materials were used?
- ✓ Do the materials reflect the responsibilities and authorities of the board?
- ✓ Training should be on-going. How does the BOH define on-going training?
- ✓ When was the last training?
- ✓ Review when BOH members were appointed and when they received training.

BENCHMARK 37

Board of Health Role in Assuring Qualified Staff

This benchmark delineates BOH involvement in the overall direction of the health department. Here the BOH is to have a role in assuring the community that the agency is providing the services and programs that address health concerns, issues and hazards. The BOH is to be engaged in all aspects of services including the development of new services and programs, the implementation of all services and the evaluation of services to ensure wise and efficient use of resources and to assess whether a given service is accomplishing its purpose. The BOH will usually achieve this benchmark through the staff of the department. Thus the six activities in this benchmark relate to having qualified public health workers and leadership in place in the agency.

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 37: The local board of health or the consolidated human services director shall assure the development, implementation and evaluation of local health services and programs to protect and promote the public's health.
Activity 37.1: The local board of health or the consolidated human services director shall assure that a qualified local health director has been appointed in accordance with G.S. 130A-40 or 40.1
Documentation: A. Evidence that the current health director has required credentials OR B. If the position is currently vacant, evidence that the former health director was qualified and a new qualified director is being sought
INTERPRETATION Intent The Board of Health in NC or the consolidated human services director has the authority and responsibility of hiring a qualified health director in accordance with G.S. 130A-40 or 40.1. The NC Division of Public Health must approve the candidate's qualifications, and the local county commissioners will approve the salary for a single county health department. While the hiring of a health director will mostly likely be a collaborative process, the BOH or the consolidated human services director is the agent granted statutory authority to hire the Health Director. Therefore, the BOH or the consolidated human services director must be familiar with its responsibilities, be very engaged in the process and conduct all actions in accordance with statute. Guidance The evidence for this activity is to provide proof of the health director's credentials. The credentials provided must match the statutory and job description requirements. Evidence of required credentials can be materials that demonstrate that the health director has fulfilled the requirements to be in the position as defined by statute - § 130A-40 – Appointment of local health director. This may be a copy of a diploma showing degree awarded, a copy of the transcript stating the degree awarded, or a letter from the Division of Public Health stating that the division has determined that the person is qualified to be in the position of health director. If a health director was hired into the position prior to 2004, there is no need to request a letter from DPH stating that a person is qualified since such letters were not provided prior to that. However, other credentials do need to be provided. Health Directors hired after 2004 should be able to produce a DPH letter stating that they are qualified for the appointment. If the health director position is not filled as of the HDSAI due date, evidence that the former health director was qualified must be provided along with a statement from the board of health or consolidated human services director that the position is vacant and a search has begun or will begin

for a qualified health director. Information on the former health director should include dates of employment as well as qualification information.

Note that the requirements for this activity are similar to that of 23.1 (and indeed, the same information may be provided for Part A in both activities). While 23.1's purpose was regarding the health department itself meeting statutory/regulatory qualifications for the health director position, this activity's purpose is related to how the board of health/consolidated human services director assures that same function.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

None

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

The agent responsible for this activity may be the board of health or consolidated human services director, depending on human services agency structure.

Pieces of Evidence Required

A. 1

OR

B. 2

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Is the current health director qualified?
- ✓ If the position is vacant, was the previous director qualified and is the board of health/consolidated human services director in the process of recruiting a qualified new director?

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 37: The local board of health shall assure the development, implementation and evaluation of local health services and programs to protect and promote the public's health.
Activity 37.2: The local board of health shall approve policies for the administration of local public health programs.
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, BOH minutes approving policies in compliance with the organization's policy on policies
INTERPRETATION Intent The Board of Health, by statute, is authorized to be the policy-making body for the local health department. While the BOH does not have to directly approve all policies of the LHD, it certainly has a role in the policy making process of the LHD. This activity ensures that there is BOH involvement in this process. It is up to the BOH and department leadership to determine the level of involvement that will be required. Guidance The required documentation for this activity is a copy of BOH minutes which records the board of health approving policies in compliance with the organization's policy on policies. Documentation that is submitted should specifically relate to BOH involvement in discussing administrative issues. The administrative issues under discussion should have a link to policy for the agency. Examples can include items such as the delegation of duties of the health director, policies related to Resignation Procedures, Patient Rights, Employee Orientation, Fees and Eligibility, Strategic Planning, Performance Appraisals, Health Adjudications, Board of Health Operating Procedures, the Development and/or Revision of policies and procedures, etc. Note that all of the examples relate to the <i>supervision, direction or management</i> of the health department as a whole and not to programmatic or clinical related issues or policies. The policies under discussion in the BOH minutes do not have to be submitted as evidence, but may be if the submission will help create a stronger body of evidence and support the discussion recorded in the minutes. Only one example is required for this activity
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the requirement applies to them (not the advisory committee on health).
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Review BOH minutes and determine if board of health approves policies in compliance with the health department's policy on policies. ✓ Possible Interview Questions: What is the BOH role in the department's policy-making process?

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 37: The local board of health shall assure the development, implementation and evaluation of local health services and programs to protect and promote the public's health.
Activity 37.3: The local board of health or the consolidated human services director shall describe and define the knowledge, skills, and abilities that must be met by the local health director, consistent with the requirements in G.S. 130A-40.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. If the local board of health or the consolidated human services director has hired a Health Director since the previous site visit or if a search is presently underway, evidence that BOH or consolidated human services director sought or is seeking a health director with the knowledge, skills, and abilities that must be met by a local health director</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>B. If the health director has been in place since the previous site visit, evidence of signed board of health/consolidated human services director statement indicating that <i>the local health department director has been in his/her position for xx number of years, therefore the local board of health or consolidated human services director has not needed to define the knowledge, skills and abilities needed for the position</i></p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent It is the responsibility of the BOH or the consolidated human services director to define the requirements – the knowledge, skills and abilities – for the agency's health director. These must be consistent with G.S. 130A-40, but may have additional criteria that the candidate must meet. Anytime that a BOH or consolidated human services director is in the process of hiring a health director, the BOH or consolidated human services director should review the position requirements and confirm the knowledge, skills and abilities that a successful candidate must possess.</p> <p>Guidance This activity has required documentation for a BOH or consolidated human services director that has a health director search underway or if a director has been hired since the previous site visit. The BOH or consolidated human services director must submit documentation or meeting minutes reflecting discussion of the knowledge, skills, and abilities (<u>not</u> legal qualifications which are covered in Activity 37.1) that candidates must possess if they are to be considered for the Health Director position.</p> <p>If the health director has been in place since the previous site visit, a statement as described above is acceptable. This statement, along with a completed job description as required in Activity 37.4, and the Health Director's performance evaluation as required in Activity 37.5, show that the board or the consolidated human services director is fulfilling its responsibility in regard to this activity.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies The agent responsible for this activity may be the board of health or consolidated human services director, depending on human services agency structure.</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>B. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <p>✓ Has the health director position been filled since the previous site visit?</p>

- ✓ If yes, are the BOH minutes or consolidated human services director correspondence recorded to show discussion of knowledge, skills, and abilities that the candidate must have?

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 37: The local board of health shall assure the development, implementation and evaluation of local health services and programs to protect and promote the public's health.
Activity 37.4: The local board of health or the consolidated human services director shall review and approve the job description of the local health director.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Current health director job description that has been signed, dated and reviewed within the last 12 months</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Evidence that the BOH reviewed and approved the health director job description at least once since the previous site visit</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent The Board of Health or the consolidated human services director hires and supervises the local health director. The health director is to administer programs as directed by the BOH. Therefore, the BOH or the consolidated human services director should determine and be familiar with the requirements of the position of health director. The BOH or the consolidated human services director should have a role in determining the contents of the job description for the individual who is serving as health director. Thus a written and approved job description for the health director must be in place.</p> <p>Guidance This activity requires two pieces of documentation. First, a copy of the current health director job description that has been signed, dated and reviewed within the last 12 months is required. Based on the schedule that is in place, the review may take place up to the start of the site visit. Though position descriptions are required to be reviewed and signed annually for all LHD staff (including the Health Director) according to Activity 31.4, only the most recent review is submitted as evidence here. Second, BOH minutes recording discussion and approval of the health director's job description must be submitted.</p> <p>While the NC Office of State Human Resources may not require a job description for the health director, it is a requirement of this activity. Health Departments can choose to adopt the state specifications for local health department directors in a job description. Job specifications are very broad and are basically used to determine if a particular job is appropriately classified by comparing the job description to the specification. The job description should specifically list the duties, roles, responsibilities and expectations for the individual filling the position. If the job description is newly developed, it should be signed and dated when it was put into effect.</p> <p>When a Board of Health is the local entity who hires and supervises the local health director, the chair is usually ultimately responsible for annually reviewing, dating, and signing off on the health director's job description. While the full BOH does not have to adopt or sign the job description annually, it may choose to do so. The key element here is that the full BOH is aware of the contents of the job description and had the opportunity for input and final approval as appropriate and as evidenced by e-mails, minutes, or other correspondence. Full BOH review/approval is not necessary annually unless changes have been made to the job description since their last review. However, the full BOH must review/approve at least once since the previous site visit.</p>
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

The agent responsible for this activity may be the board of health or consolidated human services director, depending on Human Services Agency structure. If the consolidated human services director is also designated health director, then job description review/approval conducted by a county manager or other official will meet the requirements of this activity, though board of health involvement is still required. If review is done by the consolidated human services director, review/approval is fully covered in Part A, so Part B is not required.

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1 (0 if done by consolidated human services director)

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Review Health Director's job description.
- ✓ Is the job description signed, dated and reviewed within the past 12 months?
- ✓ Possible Interview Questions: How does the BOH or consolidated human services director develop, review, and/or approve the health director's job description?

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 37: The local board of health shall assure the development, implementation and evaluation of local health services and programs to protect and promote the public's health.
Activity 37.5: The local board of health or the consolidated human services director shall conduct an annual performance review of the health director.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Current health director performance review that has been signed, dated and reviewed within the last 12 months</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Evidence that the BOH had input in the most recent health director performance review</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent Under this activity, the BOH or the consolidated human services director has the responsibility and requirement to conduct a performance review of the health director on an annual basis. This ensures that the BOH or consolidated human services director, which supervises the health director, is involved in evaluating the work of the health director. It also is a process to provide feedback and guidance to the director.</p> <p>Guidance This activity is a complement to 37.4 and requires two pieces of documentation. First, a copy of the current health director performance review that has been signed, dated and reviewed within the last 12 months is required. Based on the schedule that is in place, the review may take place up to the start of the site visit. Though performance reviews are required to be conducted and signed annually for all LHD staff (including the Health Director) according to Activity 31.5, only the most recent review is submitted as evidence here. Second, correspondence from the BOH recording input on the health director's performance evaluation must be submitted.</p> <p>If the county requires the health director to be reviewed by the county manager, this does not relieve the BOH of this responsibility as required by this activity. A performance review conducted by a county manager or other official will not meet the requirements of this activity, if done without any input by the BOH. A joint review would be acceptable if the BOH maintains its responsibility and involvement in the process.</p> <p>The performance review should include an assessment related to the Health Director's job description and any expected performance indicators identified by the BOH or the consolidated human services director. The health director should have a current review in his/her personnel file with evidence that the BOH or the consolidated human services director contributed to the evaluation in some manner. The BOH or consolidated human services director may choose to use the same performance appraisal form that is used for other management staff, which is provided by county human resources or may develop a unique form specifically for the health director.</p> <p>When a Board of Health is the local entity who hires and supervises the local health director, the chair is usually ultimately responsible for annually conducting the health director's performance review. While the full BOH does not have to participate fully in the process, it may choose to do so. The key element here is that the full BOH had the opportunity for input and final approval as appropriate and as evidenced by e-mails, minutes, or other correspondence and that final results were reported back to the full BOH.</p> <p>The BOH, consolidated human services director or LHD does not need to provide the completed performance review of the director, including any ratings or comments. Site visitors only need to verify</p>

<p>that an appraisal was conducted and can do so by viewing a copy of the tool used, along with the signature page of the performance appraisal that includes the health director’s signature, signature of BOH chair or consolidated human services director (or county manager if the consolidated human services director is the health director), and the date completed.</p> <p>See Activity 31.5 for further guidance if needed.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies The agent responsible for this activity may be the board of health or consolidated human services director, depending on human services agency structure. If the consolidated human services director is also designated health director, then job performance review conducted by a county manager or other official will meet the requirements of this activity, though board of health involvement is still required. If review is done by the consolidated human services director, review/approval is fully covered in Part A, so Part B is not required.</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 B. 1 (0 if done by consolidated human services director)</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was there a signed and dated copy of the performance review of the health director? ✓ Is there evidence of BOH involvement in the annual performance review of the health director? ✓ Possible Interview Questions: How does the BOH or consolidated human services director conduct the performance review of the health director?

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 37: The local board of health shall assure the development, implementation and evaluation of local health services and programs to protect and promote the public's health.
Activity 37.6: The local board of health or the consolidated human services director shall approve policies for the recruitment, retention and workforce development for agency staff.
Documentation: A. BOH minutes or consolidated human services director correspondence indicating approval of policies, plans, or allocations through the budget process to provide for recruitment, retention and workforce development for agency staff
INTERPRETATION Intent This activity is an indicator of the BOH or consolidated human services director role in policy making for the health department. It is not to suggest that the BOH or the consolidated human services director should be involved with operations related to workforce recruitment or hiring. Rather, the BOH or consolidated human services director has a role in supporting the department's workforce by working with the health director to approve policies related to 1) recruitment, 2) retention activities, and 3) development. Guidance The documentation required for this activity is a copy of BOH minutes or consolidated human services director correspondence that reflects the approval of a policy, a plan, or an allocation through the budget process. The purpose of the policy or allocation is to provide for the recruitment, the retention and for workforce development for all agency positions and for staff. The documentation for this activity is minutes from the board of health showing approval or communication between the health director and the consolidated human services director indicating approval. This could include BOH or consolidated human services director approval of the agency's Staff Development Plan (required in activity 24.2) if the plan includes the three required elements indicated within this activity. The policy or plan itself does not have to be submitted as evidence. However, if a policy or plan was adopted by the BOH and such action is included in the minutes, it is good to include a copy of the policy or plan that the board considered and approved. If the BOH or consolidated human services director is meeting this activity through budget allocations, the documentation should record specific budgetary amounts and the purpose for the allocation.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies The agent responsible for this activity may be the board of health or consolidated human services director, depending on human services agency structure.
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Does the policy, plan or allocation approved support all three elements of recruitment, retention and development? ✓ If there is no specific policy or plan, is there a budget line item or allocation for workforce development? ✓ Are the elements of the policy or allocation applicable to all staff?

BENCHMARK 38

Board of Health Role in Community Partnerships

The fourth essential service of public health is to mobilize community partnerships to identify and solve health problems. This benchmark establishes the BOH role in this process. Just as the community and the health department are to work as partners in looking at public health needs and the work that will be done around that, the BOH is to also be a partner in this process. The three activities of this benchmark complement each other as the BOH reviews data and citizen input in order to help develop goals and objectives.

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 38: The local board of health shall participate in the establishment of public health goals and objectives.
Activity 38.1: The local board of health shall annually review reports provided by the local health department on the community's health.
Documentation: A. For each year since the previous site visit, board of health minutes reflecting review of two annual reports related to the community's health
INTERPRETATION Intent To fulfill its role as the governing body for the agency, including the duties of policy and rule making, the board of health should be informed on the status of the community's health. This activity addresses the receipt and review of this required information. Without data specific to the county or district, the BOH would not have the information needed to carry out their responsibility to participate in establishing public health goals for the jurisdiction served. These reports should help guide the board in determining the scope and priority of the agency's work. In working with the health director and staff and community partners, the BOH can help guide the agency in the development of goals and objectives to improve the health of all. Guidance There are eight pieces of evidence that are required for this activity. The documentation is a copy of BOH minutes recording the board's review of annual reports related to the community's health. This activity does not refer to the department's annual report that is usually a review of the use of programs and services of the agency. The reference is to reports that contain information and statistics on the health of the communities served by the department. Note that the documentation states annual reports in the plural. Thus, agencies must provide at least two reports from each year since the previous site visit that are reviewed by the BOH. Examples could include an environmental health report, an agency annual report if it contains information on the community's health, an annual report on flu incidence or vaccine distribution, an update on county health rankings, etc. An annual report on local disease incidence and trends cannot be submitted as it is covered in Activity 2.4. Likewise, SOTCH and CHA reports cannot be submitted as they are covered in Activity 38.2.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the requirement applies to them (not the advisory committee on health).
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 8

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Did BOH minutes record review of reports each year related to community health? Minutes should reflect BOH review (not just receipt) of annual reports.
- ✓ Possible Interview Question: How do BOH members receive and review annual reports on the community's health?

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 38: The local board of health shall participate in the establishment of public health goals and objectives.
Activity 38.2: The local board of health or the advisory committee on health shall review community health assessment data and citizen input used to plan and monitor progress toward health-related goals.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of BOH or advisory committee on health review of SOTCH reports for each year a CHA was not conducted</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of BOH or advisory committee on health review of specific aspects of CHA data (for each year a CHA was conducted)</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity requires BOH or advisory committee on health members to have an active role in setting health-related goals. The previous activity required that the BOH or advisory committee on health annually review reports on the community's health. This activity requires that the BOH or advisory committee on health review data from the Community Health Assessment process. The BOH or advisory committee on health must review the data and hear from residents. The input from residents may be directly given to the board or indirectly through the health assessment process or client surveys. The CHA is conducted once every 3-4 years, however board discussion and citizen input may be, indeed should be, more than a single event. Progress toward goals implies frequent reports and use of data and is an on-going process.</p> <p>Guidance There are two documentation requirements for this activity. The first is an annual review of the agency's SOTCH reports by the board of health or advisory committee on health- depending on the agency's CHA cycle, this may include two or three SOTCH reports. The second is review of specific aspects of CHA data by the BOH or advisory committee on health- again, depending on the agency's CHA cycle, this may include one or two CHA reports. The listing of specific aspects is to ensure that specific components of the CHA report are discussed and not just a generic review. The aspects looked at should be focused on data and citizen input specifically.</p> <p>SOTCH and CHA reports should be reviewed by the board of health or advisory committee on health promptly upon completion- (i.e. 2020 reports are due in March 2021, so review should be conducted during the first half of 2021).</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments If CHAs or SOTCHs are not conducted for the district as a whole, then discussion should address each county's CHAs and SOTCHs.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the advisory committee on health can fulfill this activity.</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 2 or 3</p> <p>B. 1 or 2</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Do the BOH or Advisory Committee on Health minutes record receipt and use of data and citizen input from the community health assessment(s)? ✓ Do the BOH or Advisory Committee on Health minutes record how SOTCHs were reviewed?

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 38: The local board of health shall participate in the establishment of public health goals and objectives.
Activity 38.3: The local board of health or the advisory committee on health shall assure that individuals, agencies, and organizations have the opportunity to participate in the development of goals, objectives and strategies for community health improvement.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. BOH/advisory committee on health policy regarding broad community collaboration in the development of goals, objectives and strategies for community health improvement</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, BOH/advisory committee on health minutes reflecting that public participation occurred</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity builds upon the previous two. Both activities 38.1 and 38.2 require the BOH/advisory committee on health to review data on community health. Activity 38.2 also requires citizen input in the planning and monitoring of health goals. This activity builds upon that input by now requiring that the community has opportunities to participate as health improvement goals and strategies are developed. The BOH/advisory committee on health serves as a link between the residents, the communities served, the agency leadership and staff. Thus the board must provide the means to hear and receive input from the public – both individuals and groups. There are a variety of means to accomplish this activity. The evidence must identify how the BOH/advisory committee on health and the local agency accomplish this.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation for this activity requires two pieces. The first is to provide a copy of the BOH/advisory committee on health policy regarding broad community collaboration in the development of goals, objectives and strategies for community health improvement. The second is a copy of BOH/advisory committee on health minutes recording public participation or evidence that the board actively sought public comment/participation. Note that the public participation must be related to community health improvement specifically.</p> <p>The policy regarding public participation may be a part of the BOH/advisory committee on health operational procedures or it may be a separate policy adopted by the board. Either is acceptable as long as the process for BOH/advisory committee on health allowance of public input is described.</p> <p>Public comments may be through individual comments given at a BOH/advisory committee on health meeting, may be by individual correspondence or by a survey or questionnaire. If not delivered in person, any written comments must be reported to the BOH/advisory committee on health. Comments that are shared with or received by the Director or staff may also be relayed to the BOH/advisory committee on health and used in planning and in the development of goals and strategies.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the advisory committee on health can fulfill this activity.</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p>B. 1</p>

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Review the policy provided.
- ✓ Review BOH/advisory committee on health minutes provided.
- ✓ Possible Interview Questions: How does the BOH hear or take comment from the public? How are residents involved in developing agency goals or objectives? How does the public communicate with the BOH?

BENCHMARK 39

Board of Health Role in Assuring Resources

Standard 1 under the NC Local Health Department Accreditation program measures the capacity of the health department to provide the ten essential services of public health, listed in 130A-34.1(e)(2). It is important for BOH members to be familiar with the essential public health services. The essential services encompass the scope of work – services, programs and interventions – of the local health department. To implement the essential services, appropriate and adequate resources will be needed. The five activities under this benchmark measure how the BOH works to assure that needed resources will be available to the agency.

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 39: The local board of health shall assure the availability of resources to implement the essential services described in G.S. 130A-34.1(e)(2).
Activity 39.1: The local board of health or the advisory committee on health shall communicate with the board of county commissioners, units of government and private foundations in support of local health department efforts to secure national, state and local financial resources.
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, BOH or advisory committee on health correspondence to board of county commissioners about efforts to secure financial resources AND B. Since the previous site visit, BOH or advisory committee on health correspondence with other units of government or private foundations in regards to efforts to secure financial resources
INTERPRETATION Intent The BOH or advisory committee on health should be active advocates for public health in general and for the health department in particular. This activity requires that the BOH or advisory committee on health express their support for financial resources for the agency with the commissioners, government and foundations. The BOH or advisory committee on health should be active partners in obtaining financial resources from all levels of possible funding. BOH or advisory committee on health representatives should, when possible, attend county commissioners or other meetings on behalf of the local health department when the agency is on the agenda and when there is a need for financial resources. Guidance This activity requires evidence of correspondence to the board of county commissioners in support of health department efforts to secure national, state and local financial resources. The second required piece of evidence is correspondence to private foundations or other units of government regarding local health department efforts to secure national, state and local financial resources. It is understood that the health director may serve, or be directed by the board to serve, as the designee for the BOH or the advisory committee on health in writing and presenting the correspondence for this activity and that much communication will be from the health director. However, it is expected that there be some type of link back to the BOH or advisory committee on health showing that the BOH or advisory committee on health supports, discussed, and/or approved the communication. If correspondence directly from the BOH or advisory committee on health is unavailable, the LHD should be prepared to provide the link back to the BOH or advisory committee on health (i.e. minutes). It is preferable when feasible to have the chair of the BOH or advisory committee on health sign the correspondence. It may also be a joint signature between the chair and the health director.

<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments District Health Departments do not need to provide evidence of communication with all of the boards of commissioners within the district- evidence of communication with one board will suffice.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the advisory committee on health can fulfill this activity.</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1 B. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was evidence of correspondence to board of county commissioners presented? ✓ Is there correspondences with units of government or private provided regarding securing financial resources? ✓ How has the BOH or advisory committee on health been involved in seeking additional funding? ✓ Possible Interview Questions: Ask the non-commissioner board members to describe how they interact with county commissioners. If present, ask the commissioner member how he/she reports back to the other commissioners about public health resource needs.

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 39: The local board of health shall assure the availability of resources to implement the essential services described in G.S. 130A-34.1(e)(2).
Activity 39.2: The local board of health shall review fiscal reports to assure essential services of public health are being provided in accordance with local, state and federal requirements.
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, BOH minutes demonstrating review of fiscal reports that assure essential services of public health are being provided.
INTERPRETATION Intent Boards of Health have to fulfill an assurance role to the public – that essential services are provided and that those services are delivered in compliance with any requirements. One method for the review of these requirements is described here – the review of health department fiscal reports. Guidance For this activity, the required BOH minutes must show review of fiscal reports that clearly demonstrate assurance that essential services of public health are being provided. There is no defined type or frequency of reports. The only defined criterion is that the reports are fiscal. They may be monthly, quarterly, or annual and may be produced by the county or the LHD. The important element is that the BOH reviews the reports in light of the agency’s provision of the essential services and that those services are meeting requirements. Examples could be to show in fiscal reports elements of essential services such as the provision of communicable disease services, health education/promotion programs, environmental health services and other regulatory programs, workforce development, evaluation services and policy development. This activity compliments Activity 33.6, which requires the department to provide reports to the BOH for their review to assure financial accountability of the agency. This activity focuses on BOH review of financial reports as an assurance that essential services of public health are being provided. Both activities require BOH review of financial reports in the minutes for documentation. However, the reports and the review should be of a different nature. The purpose for this activity is to assure that resources are being provided to provide the essential services. The focus in Activity 33.6 is to assess financial accountability.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the requirement applies to them (not the advisory committee on health).
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1+
SVT Review and Guiding Questions ✓ Review BOH minutes provided. ✓ Does the BOH record the review of reports related to how the essential public health services are provided?

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 39: The local board of health shall assure the availability of resources to implement the essential services described in G.S. 130A-34.1(e)(2).
Activity 39.3: The local board of health shall annually review and approve the local health department budget and approve fees in accordance with G.S. 130A-39(g).
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, BOH minutes reflecting review and approval of department fees
INTERPRETATION
<p>Intent The Board of Health should be knowledgeable about and involved in the budget process for the LHD. The Board has a role in the financial health of the agency and in the efficient use of funds. This activity refers to the Board approving the budget and fees used by the agency. While the budget and fees may be changed, and must be approved by the commissioners in single county health departments, involvement in the deliberation and approval of the budget by the BOH must be the first step. As approval of the agency budget is required documentation for Activity 33.2, only documentation for review and approval of departmental fees is required here.</p> <p>Guidance G.S. 130A-39(g) authorizes the BOH to impose a fee for services rendered by the health department, except where prohibited by statute. It further states that the fees shall be based on a plan recommended by the health director and approved by both the BOH and the board of county commissioners, when required.</p> <p>The required documentation for this activity is a copy of BOH minutes reflecting review and approval of department fees. Any fee that may be charged by the health department should be taken to the BOH for approval. This may be done annually or as changes to individual fees occur. Each department will determine the process whereby the BOH participates in approving the fee lists. This may be done during a single meeting or may extend over several meetings. While discussion and deliberation on fees may be covered over a series of BOH meetings, the agency need only provide the minutes showing approval of the fees.</p>
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the requirement applies to them (not the advisory committee on health).
Pieces of Evidence Required A. 1+
SVT Review and Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Review BOH minutes provided. ✓ Do minutes show how BOH members are involved in reviewing and approving the fee process?

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 39: The local board of health shall assure the availability of resources to implement the essential services described in G.S. 130A-34.1(e)(2).
Activity 39.4: The local board of health or the advisory committee on health shall communicate with the board of county commissioners, units of government and private foundations in support of the development, implementation and evaluation of public health programs and a community health improvement process.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, BOH or advisory committee on health communication with board of county commissioners in support of public health programs and improvement</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, BOH or advisory committee on health communication with other units of government or private foundations in support of public health programs and improvement</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity is a complement to activity 39.1. In 39.1, the BOH or advisory committee on health is to communicate with the same groups to secure funds. In this activity, the BOH or advisory committee on health is to work with these groups to support programs and a health improvement process. The intent is for the BOH or advisory committee on health to be an active participant, with the health department, in soliciting support for the programming of the health department and for a health improvement process.</p> <p>Guidance This activity requires two pieces of evidence. The first piece is communication with the board of county commissioners. The other piece of evidence must be communication with units of government or private foundations.</p> <p>The communications must be about the support of the development, implementation and evaluation of public health programs and a community health improvement process. A community health improvement process is a method by which, on a community-wide basis, the health of the population might be improved. It is a long-term, systematic effort to address health problems based on the results of assessment activities. It will involve community partners and stakeholders in the assessment, planning, development and implementation of strategies to address identified needs.</p> <p>It is understood that the health director may serve, or be directed by the board to serve, as the designee for the BOH or advisory committee on health in writing and presenting the correspondence for this activity and that much communication will be from the health director. However, it is expected that there be some type of link back to the BOH or advisory committee on health showing that the BOH or advisory committee on health supports, discussed, and/or approved the communication. If correspondence directly from the BOH is unavailable, the LHD should be prepared to provide the link back to the BOH or advisory committee on health (i.e. minutes). It is preferable when feasible to have the chair of the BOH or advisory committee on health sign the correspondence. It may also be a joint signature between the chair and the health director.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments District Health Departments do not need to provide evidence of communication with all of the boards of commissioners within the district- evidence of communication with one board will suffice.</p>

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the advisory committee on health can fulfill this activity.

Pieces of Evidence Required

- A. 1
- B. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Is there evidence of communication with the board of county commissioners (or directed to the commissioners through the county manager) in support of the development, implementation and evaluation of public health programs and a community health improvement process?
- ✓ Is there evidence of communication with units of government or private foundations provided regarding support of local public health programs or a health improvement process?

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 39: The local board of health shall assure the availability of resources to implement the essential services described in G.S. 130A-34.1(e)(2).
Activity 39.5: The local board of health shall assure that the proposed budget for the local health department meets maintenance of effort requirement in the consolidated agreement between the Division of Public Health and local health department.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Signed copy of current Consolidated Agreement</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, A Web Identity Role Management (WIRM) report that the local health department sends to the Division of Public Health.</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent The consolidated agreement contains the written requirements from the NC Division of Public Health to the local health departments and defines the conditions that must be met for a health department to receive state and/or federal funds. While the consolidated agreement no longer uses the language “maintenance of effort”, it does require a health department to develop a local appropriations budget, which is the plan for use of local appropriations or earned fees for the activities covered by the agreement. While some funding is provided by the NC Division of Public Health to local departments, it is usually a small amount compared to the total local budget.</p> <p>Guidance This activity requires two pieces of evidence. The first is a signed copy of the current Consolidated Agreement. The consolidated agreement is signed by representatives of the local agency and by the NC Division of Public Health. A second piece of evidence is a print-out of the monthly expenditure (Web Identity Role Management or WIRM) report that the local health department sends to the Division of Public Health. This report usually will show the amount of fees or local appropriation, in addition to state and federal provided funds, used to support program expenses.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies None</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1</p> <p>B. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was a signed copy of the current Consolidated Agreement provided? ✓ Was a Web Identity Role Management (WIRM) report that the local health department sends to the Division of Public Health provided?

BENCHMARK 40

Board of Health Role as Advocates

A key role for the Board of Health is to be an advocate for public health in the communities they serve as board members. The BOH should be involved in informing elected officials, policy makers, stakeholders, partners and the public on the work of the department and of public health. This benchmark has two activities to demonstrate the BOH role as advocates. The first provides evidence of how board members inform elected officials and boards about the public health issues that affect the community. The second activity demonstrates board advocacy for laws or regulations to protect the public's health. This benchmark for the BOH builds upon benchmark 14 for the health department and staff.

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 40: The local board of health or the advisory committee on health shall advocate in the community on behalf of public health.
Activity 40.1: The local board of health or the advisory committee on health shall inform elected officials and community boards about community health issues.
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of two examples that demonstrate that the BOH or advisory committee on health informed elected officials and community boards about community health issues
INTERPRETATION Intent Board of health members and advisory committee on health members are residents and are not salaried staff, thus they can serve as effective spokespeople when talking with elected officials. BOH or advisory committee on health members may be advocates for both the health department and its needs and for public health and the needs of the community. The BOH is appointed by elected officials – the board of county commissioners or by a group of commissioners from two or more counties. To a degree, the BOH and the advisory committee on health serve as public health representatives to the county commissioners. It is an important responsibility of the BOH or the advisory committee on health to keep their commissioners informed about public health issues and the work of the health department. It is also an important role of both boards to inform all elected officials, especially those with fiscal and policy controls, about the health issues affecting the community. Guidance The evidence required is two examples of the BOH or advisory committee on health informing elected officials or community boards about community health issues. Examples could include: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• written correspondence between BOH/health director or advisory committee on health /Health Director and an elected official (i.e. letter, memo, or email)• board of county commissioners minutes reflecting a presentation by BOH/health director or advisory committee on health/health director (presentation should be attached),• media articles (i.e. printed press release) showing BOH or advisory committee on health support for community health issues, or• documentation (i.e. agenda, participant list, minutes, copy of presentation) of BOH/health director or advisory committee on health/health director presenting at meetings with other boards or commissions related to community health issues. While there are options for evidence, only two examples are needed to meet the activity. All evidence should show that the board is sharing appropriate information regarding community health issues. As in Activity 39.4, it is understood that the health director may serve as the designee for the BOH or the advisory committee on health for the work of this activity and that most communication will be from the health director. However, for Accreditation purposes, it is expected that <i>some</i> type of link back to

<p>the BOH or advisory committee on health showing that the boh or advisory committee on health supports, discussed, and/or approved the communication must be provided.</p> <p>The health director is not expected to have every communication discussed or approved by the BOH or advisory committee on health, but the point for many of the activities within the Governance section is to show that the BOH or the advisory committee on health is involved and engaged. Therefore, if correspondence directly from the BOH or advisory committee on health is unavailable, the LHD should be prepared to provide the link back to the BOH or advisory committee on health (i.e. minutes).</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the advisory committee on health can fulfill this activity.</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 2</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Review evidence provided to verify that the board of health or advisory committee on health has informed elected officials and community boards about community health issues.

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 40: The local board of health or the advisory committee on health shall advocate in the community on behalf of public health.
Activity 40.2: The local board of health or the advisory committee on health shall communicate support for the enactment and retention of laws and rules and the development of public health interventions that protect health and ensure safety.
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of two examples that demonstrate that the BOH or advisory committee on health communicated support for laws, rules, or public health interventions to elected officials and community boards
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent This activity continues the role of the BOH or advisory committee on health as an advocate for public health. The Board or advisory committee on health must demonstrate an active role in protecting the health of the communities served. This activity is specific to activities in the local health department, or the district served by the agency. This work of the board or advisory committee on health to support enactment and retention of laws may be related to rules or ordinances of the local department, county or district or may relate to work concerning a state-wide law or changes to a state law. This activity relates to the board/committee members being and representing residents by their support for overall protections for the whole community or jurisdiction of the health department rather than individual health care needs.</p> <p>Guidance The documentation for this activity is the same type as for activity 40.1. The difference is that while the documentation for activity 40.1 must relate to a community health issue, the documentation for activity 40.2 must relate to communicating support for laws, rules, and public health interventions.</p> <p>The evidence required is two examples of the BOH or advisory committee on health communicating support to elected officials or community boards about laws, rules, and public health interventions. Examples could include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • written correspondence between BOH/Health director or advisory committee on health/health director and an elected official (i.e. letter, memo, or email) • board of county commissioners minutes reflecting a presentation by BOH/health director or advisory committee on health/health director (presentation should be attached), • media articles (i.e. printed press release) showing BOH or advisory committee on health support for the enactment and/or retention of laws, rules, and interventions that protect health and ensure safety, or • documentation (i.e. agenda, participant list, minutes, copy of presentation) of BOH/health director or advisory committee on health/health director presenting at meetings with other boards or commissions related to support for the enactment and/or retention of laws, rules, and interventions that protect health and ensure safety. <p>While there are options for evidence, only two examples are needed to meet the activity. The documentation submitted should show that the board or advisory committee on health is supportive of a law, rule or intervention that protects the public’s health.</p> <p>As in Activity 39.4, it is understood that the health director may serve as the designee for the BOH or advisory committee on health for the work of this activity and that most communication will be from the health director. However, for Accreditation purposes, it is expected that <i>some</i> type of link back to the BOH or advisory committee on health showing that the BOH or advisory committee on health</p>

<p>supports, discussed, and/or approved the communication must be provided.</p> <p>The health director is not expected to have every communication discussed or approved by the BOH or advisory committee on health, but the point for many of the activities within the Governance section is to show that the BOH or advisory committee on health is involved and engaged. Therefore, if correspondence directly from the BOH or advisory committee on health is unavailable, the LHD should be prepared to provide the link back to the BOH or advisory committee on health (i.e. minutes).</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the advisory committee on health can fulfill this activity.</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required A. 2</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Review evidence provided to verify that the board of health or advisory committee on health has communicated support for the enactment and retention of laws and rules and the development of public health interventions that protect health and ensure safety.

BENCHMARK 41

Board of Health Role in Promoting Partnerships

Benchmark 41 is related to the board of health's efforts in promoting public health partnerships between the community and the department. This benchmark for the BOH complements the 4th Essential Service for the health department – mobilizing community partnerships to identify and solve public health problems. Benchmarks 11 through 13 relate to the work of the department in developing and sustaining partnerships. This benchmark focuses on demonstrating how the BOH supports the health department's work with partners within the jurisdiction of the department or district. This may include efforts by staff, programs or overall agency participation. There are three activities under this benchmark and they build upon each other. The first activity demonstrates the openness of the BOH to encourage input by the public. The second activity demonstrates BOH support of partner building efforts by the department. Finally, the last activity moves to support of coordinating resources between the department and partners in achieving stated objectives for both.

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 41: The local board of health or the advisory committee on health shall promote the development of public health partnerships.
Activity 41.1: The local board of health or the advisory committee on health shall take actions to foster community input regarding public health issues.
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of the BOH or advisory committee on health taking actions to foster community input regarding a public health issue
INTERPRETATION
Intent While members of the BOH or advisory committee on health are themselves residents, they may not represent all segments, views and needs of the county or the district. It is a responsibility of the BOH or advisory committee on health to foster or promote input to the BOH or advisory committee on health by the community by any variety of methods. The input by residents regarding public health is a vital means of ensuring that the work of the agency is aligned with the defined needs of the community. It is also to ensure that all populations being served by the agency have an opportunity for input as issues are identified.
Guidance The required documentation calls for evidence showing how the BOH or advisory committee on health have taken action to promote input from the community on a public health issues. Examples could include: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• notice of town meeting,• public forum,• public hearing,• media article,• web posting, etc.
The issue can be any kind of public health topic including hazards, programmatic-related issues, diseases, or outbreaks. The issues could be ones that concern the entire jurisdiction of the department or district or could be an issue for a specified population.
The evidence should show that the input is requested by the BOH or advisory committee on health or that the BOH or advisory committee on health is involved in the process. For example, a public forum may be sponsored by the department; however, the BOH or advisory committee on health should be present to hear input from the public. Note that the activity states that the BOH or advisory committee

on health must foster input. While the activity does not require that the public actually respond, the intent is that the action taken results in public input of some type.

While five types of actions are listed as potential sources of documentation, there is also an “etc.” included in the list. This allows the BOH or advisory committee on health to submit other documentation that demonstrates action taken to foster input if it feels that would best support conformity to the activity. Other documentation of BOH or advisory committee on health response could be through board minutes, a letter of response to a citizen or group, a report or white paper, an action of the board as documented in the minutes, or media, such as newspaper articles. Simply including a public input item on regular board meeting agendas is not sufficient evidence for meeting this activity.

Additional Guidance for District Health Departments

None

Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the advisory committee on health can fulfill this activity.

Pieces of Evidence Required

A. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Review documentation provided to verify that the BOH or advisory committee on health has taken actions to foster community input regarding public health issues.
- ✓ Does the documentation demonstrate actions by the BOH or advisory committee on health to foster community input?
- ✓ While the action taken may have been done by the health director on behalf of the board, the documentation must demonstrate BOH or advisory committee on health involvement.
- ✓ BOH or advisory committee on health minutes may be used to help substantiate the documentation submitted.

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 41: The local board of health or the advisory committee on health shall promote the development of public health partnerships.
Activity 41.2: The local board of health or the advisory committee on health shall take actions to foster local health department partnership-building efforts and staff interactions with the community.
<p>Documentation:</p> <p>A. Since the previous site visit, evidence of agency partnership effort</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>B. Since the previous site visit, evidence of BOH or advisory committee on health support of the partnership effort</p>
<p>INTERPRETATION</p> <p>Intent One of the roles of the board of health or advisory committee on health is to serve as a liaison for the department to the communities served. Much of the work of the agency is conducted through partnerships and the BOH or advisory committee on health should be knowledgeable of and involved in these efforts. The BOH or advisory committee on health should be supportive of staff who work with community partners and encourage the department’s involvement in community at large. This activity may be led by the staff and supported by the BOH or advisory committee on health or may be the direct involvement of BOH or advisory committee on health members with department partners.</p> <p>Guidance There are two components of the required documentation for this activity and they are linked to one another. The department should provide general evidence demonstrating the agency’s efforts with its partners. This may be the development of a new partner, maintaining or developing a current partnership or support for staff interactions/involvement in the community. The type of evidence is not specified and can be materials from a partnership building effort, including meeting agenda’s and minutes, joint statements, news articles, participant lists showing involvement of department staff and brochures or flyers.</p> <p>The department must also submit either BOH or advisory committee on health minutes that record discussion of partnership building efforts or letters of support that are from the BOH or advisory committee on health in support of the partnership effort described in the first piece of evidence. If letters of support are submitted for this activity, they should be signed by the BOH or advisory committee on health, such as by the chair. It may be jointly signed by the health director. The letters may support involvement with the partner in a community effort, in support of a proposed project, to support a request for funding by the partner, to acknowledge efforts within the partnership to achieve defined objectives, staff using work time to serve on a partner’s board, or sharing of staff resources.</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None</p>
<p>Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the advisory committee on health can fulfill this activity.</p>
<p>Pieces of Evidence Required</p> <p>A. 1 B. 1</p>
<p>SVT Review and Guiding Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Is there evidence of agency efforts to build a partnership? ✓ Do minutes submitted provide discussion on partnership matters or building relationships with BOH or advisory committee on health support, encouragement, involvement or oversight?

- ✓ Have letters of support been written by the Board or advisory committee on health to show support for activities of partners or with partners?

Standard: Governance
Benchmark 41: The local board of health or the advisory committee on health shall promote the development of public health partnerships.
Activity 41.3: The local board of health or the advisory committee on health shall take actions to foster the coordination of resources to enhance partnerships and collaboration to achieve public health objectives.
Documentation: A. Since the previous site visit, evidence showing BOH or advisory committee on health action to foster the coordination of resources
INTERPRETATION
Intent The board of health or advisory committee on health should understand the public health objectives of the agency and the actions, including working with and through community partners, needed to be successful in meeting them. This success will involve the coordination of resources – people, time and money – provided by the agency and other partners. This activity is asking for evidence to show how the board is coordinating resources and partners to meet the community’s public health objectives.
Guidance This activity is requiring that the BOH or advisory committee on health take actions to promote the coordination of resources between the health department and a partner to accomplish enhancing partnerships and to collaborate in achieving public health objectives. There is one piece of required documentation. Evidence showing partnerships and the coordination of resources may include, but is not limited to, BOH or advisory committee on health minutes reflecting discussion or evidence of BOH or advisory committee on health member participation on a community coalition, steering committee, or advisory committee. Evidence of BOH member participation could be documented through a report or discussion in the BOH minutes, or can be an agenda, participant list or minutes of the coalition or committee that demonstrates involvement by a BOH member. As many activities in Benchmark 39, it is understood that the health director may serve as the designee for the BOH or advisory committee on health for the work of this activity and that most action will come from the health director. However, for Accreditation purposes, it is expected that <i>some</i> type of link back to the BOH or advisory committee on health showing that the BOH or advisory committee on health supports, discussed, and/or approved the action must be provided. The health director is not expected to have every action discussed or approved by the BOH or advisory committee on health, but the point for many of the activities within the Governance section is to show that the BOH or advisory committee on health is involved and engaged. Therefore, if actions fostered directly by the BOH or advisory committee on health are unavailable, the LHD should be prepared to provide the link back to the BOH or advisory committee on health (i.e. minutes). Note that the evidence presented must show a relationship between a specific partnership and the coordination of resources. Also, the overall purpose of the resource coordination is to achieve public health objectives. The objectives stated in this activity may be objectives of a particular partnership, task force or steering committee or may be objectives stated in the community health assessment or action plans/health improvement plans.
Additional Guidance for District Health Departments None
Additional Guidance for Consolidated Human Services Agencies

If the board of county commissioners has assumed the powers/duties of the board of health, the advisory committee on health can fulfill this activity.

Pieces of Evidence Required

A. 1

SVT Review and Guiding Questions

- ✓ Is there evidence that a BOH or advisory committee on health representative or the health director participated in a community coalition or committee of some type?
- ✓ If the health director is the representative, is there evidence of BOH or advisory committee on health discussion showing the health director as the best choice and that the health director participated in the coalition or committee?

Attachment 1: Benchmark Links to the 10 Essential Services

STANDARD: AGENCY CORE FUNCTIONS AND ESSENTIAL SERVICES (ES)

FUNCTION: ASSESSMENT

- ES 1: Monitor health status to identify and solve community health problems.
Benchmarks 1, 2, 3
- ES 2: Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community.
Benchmarks 4, 5, 6, 7, 8

FUNCTION: POLICY DEVELOPMENT

- ES 3: Inform, educate, and empower people about health issues.
Benchmarks 9, 10
- ES 4: Mobilize community partnerships and action to identify and solve health problems.
Benchmarks 11, 12, 13
- ES 5: Develop policies and plans that support individual and community health efforts.
Benchmarks 14, 15

FUNCTION: ASSURANCE

- ES 6: Enforce laws and regulations that protect health and ensure safety.
Benchmarks 14, 16, 17, 18
- ES 7: Link people to needed personal health services and assure the provision of health care when otherwise unavailable.
Benchmarks 19, 20, 21, 22
- ES 8: Assure competent public and personal health care workforce.
Benchmarks 23, 24, 25, 26
- ES 9: Evaluate effectiveness, accessibility, and quality of personal and population-based health services.
Benchmark 27
- ES 10: Research for new insights and innovative solutions to health problems.
Benchmark 28, 29

STANDARD: FACILITIES AND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

Benchmarks 30, 31, 32, 33

STANDARD: GOVERNANCE

Benchmarks 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41

Essential Services from the Core Public Health Functions Steering Committee - 1994

**Operational Definition of a Functional Local Public Health Agency
(NACCHO, November 2005)**

Links to NCLHDA Standards

Essential Service 1: Monitor health status and understand health issues facing the community.

- a. Obtain and maintain data that provide information on the community's health (e.g., provider immunization rates; hospital discharge data; environmental health hazard, risk, and exposure data; community-specific data; number of uninsured; and indicators of health disparities such as high levels of poverty, lack of affordable housing, limited or no access to transportation, etc).

Benchmark 1 – Activities 1.1, 1.2 Benchmark 2 – Activities 2.1, 2.2

- b. Develop relationships with local providers and others in the community who have information on reportable diseases and other conditions of public health interest and facilitate information exchange.

Benchmark 2 – Activities 2.3

- c. Conduct or contribute expertise to periodic community health assessments.

Benchmark 1 – Activities 1.3

- d. Integrate data with health assessment and data collection efforts conducted by others in the public health system.

Benchmark 3 – Activity 3.1, 3.2

- e. Analyze data to identify trends, health problems, environmental health hazards, and social and economic conditions that adversely affect the public's health.

Benchmark 2 – Activity 2.4

Essential Service 2: Protect people from health problems and health hazards.

- a. Investigate health problems and environmental health hazards.

Benchmark 4 – Activities 4.1, 4.2 Benchmark 7 – Activities 7.1, 7.3

- b. Prevent, minimize, and contain adverse health events and conditions resulting from communicable diseases; food-, water-, and vector-borne outbreaks; chronic diseases; environmental hazards; injuries; and health disparities.

Benchmark 7 – Activities 7.2

- c. Coordinate with other governmental agencies that investigate and respond to health problems, health disparities, or environmental health hazards.

Benchmark 7 – Activities 7.5

- d. Lead public health emergency planning, exercises, and response activities in the community in accordance with the National Incident Management System, and coordinate with other local, state, and federal agencies.

Benchmark 7 – Activities 7.4, 7.7

- e. Fully participate in planning, exercises, and response activities for other emergencies in the community that have public health implications, within the context of state and regional plans and in a manner consistent with the community's best public health interest.

Benchmark 6 – Activities 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 Benchmark 7 – Activities 7.6

- f. Maintain access to laboratory and biostatistical expertise and capacity to help monitor community health status and diagnose and investigate public health problems and hazards.

Benchmark 4 – Activities 4.3 Benchmark 8 – Activities 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4

- g. Maintain policies and technology required for urgent communications and electronic data exchange.

Benchmark 5 – Activities 5.1, 5.2, 5.3

Essential Service 3: Give people information they need to make healthy choices.

- a. Develop relationships with the media to convey information of public health significance, correct misinformation about public health issues, and serve as an essential resource.

Benchmark 9 – Activities 9.1, 9.4

- b. Exchange information and data with individuals, community groups, other agencies, and the general public about physical, behavioral, environmental, social, economic, and other issues affecting the public's health.

Benchmark 9 – Activities 9.2, 9.3, 9.5

- c. Provide targeted, culturally appropriate information to help individuals understand what decisions they can make to be healthy.

Benchmark 9 – Activities 9.6

- d. Provide health promotion programs to address identified health problems.

Benchmark 10 – Activities 10.1, 10.2, 10.3, 10.4

Essential Service 4: Engage the community to identify and solve health problems.

- a. Engage the local public health system in an ongoing, strategic, community-driven, comprehensive planning process to identify, prioritize, and solve public health problems; establish public health goals; and evaluate success in meeting the goals.

Benchmark 11 – Activities 11.1, 11.2

- b. Promote the community’s understanding of, and advocacy for, policies and activities that will improve the public’s health.

Benchmark 13 – Activities 13.1

- c. Support, implement, and evaluate strategies that address public health goals in partnership with public and private organizations.

Benchmark 12 – Activities 12.1, 12.2, 12.3

- d. Develop partnerships to generate interest in and support for improved community health status, including new and emerging public health issues.

Benchmark 12 – Activities 12.1, 12.2, 12.3

- e. Inform the community, governing bodies, and elected officials about governmental public health services that are being provided, improvements being made in those services, and priority health issues not yet being adequately addressed.

Benchmark 13 – Activities 13.1

Essential Service 5: Develop public health policies and plans.

- a. Serve as a primary resource to governing bodies and policymakers to establish and maintain public health policies, practices, and capacity based on current science and best practices.

Benchmark 14 – Activities 14.1 Benchmark 15 – Activities 15.4

- b. Advocate for policies that lessen health disparities and improve physical, behavioral, environmental, social, and economic conditions in the community that affect the public’s health.

Benchmark 14 – Activities 14.2

- c. Engage in LHD strategic planning to develop a vision, mission, and guiding principles that reflect the community’s public health needs, and to prioritize services and programs.

Benchmark 15 – Activities 15.1, 15.2, 15.3, 15.5, 15.6

Essential Service 6: Enforce public health laws and regulations.

- a. Review existing laws and regulations and work with governing bodies and policymakers to update them as needed.

Benchmark 14 – Activities 14.3, 14.4

- b. Understand existing laws, ordinances, and regulations that protect the public’s health.

Benchmark 16 – Activities 16.1, 16.2, 16.3

- c. Educate individuals and organizations on the meaning, purpose, and benefit of public health laws, regulations, and ordinances and how to comply.

Benchmark 16 – Activities 16.1, 16.2, 16.3

- d. Monitor, and analyze over time, the compliance of regulated organizations, entities, and individuals.

Benchmark 17 – Activities 17.3

- e. Conduct enforcement activities.

Benchmark 17 – Activities 17.1, 17.2

Benchmark 18 – Activities 18.1

- f. Coordinate notification of violations among other governmental agencies that enforce laws and regulations that protect the public's health.

Benchmark 18 – Activities 18.2, 18.3, 18.4

Essential Service 7: Help people receive health services.

- a. Engage the community to identify gaps in culturally competent, appropriate, and equitable personal health services, including preventive and health promotion services, and develop strategies to close the gaps.

Benchmark 19 – Activities 19.1, 19.2

Benchmark 21 – Activities 21.4

- b. Support and implement strategies to increase access to care and establish systems of personal health services, including preventive and health promotion services, in partnership with the community.

Benchmark 20 – Activities 20.1, 20.2

Benchmark 21 – Activities 21.3

Benchmark 22 – Activities 22.2, 23.2

- c. Link individuals to available, accessible personal healthcare providers (i.e., a medical home).

Benchmark 21 – Activities 21.1, 21.2

Benchmark 22 – Activities 22.1

Essential Service 8: Maintain a competent public health workforce.

- a. Recruit, train, develop, and retain a diverse staff.

Benchmark 26 – Activities 26.1, 26.2, 26.3

- b. Evaluate LHD staff members' public health competencies,⁷ and address deficiencies through continuing education, training, and leadership development activities.

Benchmark 23 – Activities 23.1, 23.2, 23.3

Benchmark 24 – Activities 24.2

- c. Provide practice - and competency - based educational experiences for the future public health workforce, and provide expertise in developing and teaching public health curricula, through partnerships with academia.

Benchmark 25 – Activities 25.1

- d. Promote the use of effective public health practices among other practitioners and agencies engaged in public health interventions.

Benchmark 25 – Activities 25.2

- e. Provide the public health workforce with adequate resources to do their jobs.

Benchmark 24 – Activities 24.1, 24.3

Essential Service 9: Evaluate and improve programs and interventions.

- a. Develop evaluation efforts to assess health outcomes to the extent possible.

Benchmark 27 – Activities 27.1, 27.2, 27.3

- b. Apply evidence-based criteria to evaluation activities where possible.

Benchmark 27 – Activities 27.1, 27.2, 27.3

- c. Evaluate the effectiveness and quality of all LHD programs and activities and use the information to improve LHD performance and community health outcomes.

Benchmark 27 – Activities 27.1, 27.2, 27.3

- d. Review the effectiveness of public health interventions provided by other practitioners and agencies for prevention, containment, and/ or remediation of problems affecting the public's health, and provide expertise to those interventions that need improvement.

Benchmark 27 – Activities 27.1, 27.2, 27.3

Essential Service 10: Contribute to and apply the evidence base of public health.

- a. When researchers approach the LHD to engage in research activities that benefit the health of the community,

- i. Identify appropriate populations, geographic areas, and partners;
- ii. Work with them to actively involve the community in all phases of research;
- iii. Provide data and expertise to support research; and,
- iv. Facilitate their efforts to share research findings with the community, governing bodies, and policymakers.

Benchmark 28 – Activities 28.1

Benchmark 29 – Activities 29.1, 29.2

- b. Share results of research, program evaluations, and best practices with other public health practitioners and academics.

Benchmark 28 – Activities 28.1

Benchmark 29 – Activities 29.1, 29.2

- c. Apply evidence-based programs and best practices where possible.

Benchmark 28 – Activities 28.1

Benchmark 29 – Activities 29.1, 29.2

Attachment 2: State Personnel Record Guidelines

The State Personnel Manual states that:

Employment-related information includes information related to an individual's:

- application
- selection
- promotion, demotion, transfer
- salary and leave
- contract for employment
- benefits
- performance evaluation
- suspension, disciplinary actions, and termination

Personal information includes an individual's:

- home address,
- social security number,
- medical history,
- personal financial data,
- marital status, dependents and
- beneficiaries.

By statute, the following information with respect to each county employee is a matter of **public record**:

- name
- age
- date of original employment or appointment to county service
- current position title; current salary
- date and amount of the most recent increase or decrease in salary
- date of the most recent promotion, demotion, transfer, suspension, separation or other change in position classification
- the office to which the employee is currently assigned

The **board of county commissioners shall determine in what form and by whom this information will be maintained**. Any person may have access to this information for the purpose of inspection, examination, and copying, during regular business hours, subject only to such rules and regulations for the safekeeping of public records as the board of commissioners may have adopted.

The statute that states what is the open record portion of a personnel file is **§ 126-23. Certain records to be kept by State agencies open to inspection**. It reads:

Each department, agency, institution, commission and bureau of the State shall maintain a record of each of its employees, showing the following information with respect to each such employee: name, age, date of original employment or appointment to the State service, current position, title, current salary, date and amount of most recent increase or decrease in salary, date of most recent promotion, demotion, transfer, suspension, separation, or other change in position classification, and the office or station to which the employee is currently assigned. Subject only to rules and regulations for the safekeeping of the records, adopted by the State Personnel Commission, every person having custody of such records shall permit them to be inspected and examined and copies thereof made by any person during regular

business hours. Any person who is denied access to any such record for the purpose of inspecting, examining or copying the same shall have a right to compel compliance with the provisions of this section by application to a court of competent jurisdiction for a writ of mandamus or other appropriate relief. (1975, c. 257, s. 1; c. 667, s. 2.)

Some agencies also maintain a position record that may contain the job description, classification information and a record of staff that have been in the position. This may or may not be kept with personnel records. As for a position record and who maintains this information, this will be a decision of the agency (and the County Human Resources Office or Board of County Commissioners, if applicable). Regardless of the decision to have a separate position record - any information, stored by any entity, constitutes the personnel record. Thus, even if the LHD keeps a personnel record, a position record and the County keeps a file on employees, all are part of the employee's personnel record.

The Section in the North Carolina Administrative Code that governs personnel records is Chapter 25 – State Personnel Subchapter 1I - Service to Local Government. The section that states what must be in the record is:

25 NCAC 01I .1704 Personnel Records and Reports

Such personnel records as are necessary for the proper administration of a personnel service system and related programs will be maintained. Periodic reports will be prepared as necessary to indicate compliance with applicable state and local requirements and the federal and state standards:

- (1) Personnel Records. Each agency shall maintain a service record for each employee including name, position title, organization unit, all changes in status, performance evaluations and other information considered pertinent.
- (2) Payroll Records. An accurate copy of the payroll of each local social services, public health, mental health and civil preparedness unit shall be submitted to the agency, personnel and/or budget offices within two weeks following each payroll period. The payroll information shall be used in reviewing conformity by local units to establish rules and regulations.

History Note: Authority G.S. 126-4; 153-A-98;
Standards for a Merit System of Personnel Administration;
Eff. August 3, 1992.

Attachment 3: Policies, Procedures & Protocols required by HDSAI

- Activity 4:1:** community surveillance system policy and procedures
- Activity 5.1:** notification protocol (from local preparedness plan) or after-hours policy/procedures
- Activity 7.1:** epidemiological case investigation policies and protocols
- Activity 7.3:** environmental complaints - timely and appropriate action policy and procedures
- Activity 8.1:** clinical and environmental laboratory sample handling policies and procedures
- Activity 8.4:** access to laboratory services protocols and guidelines
- Activity 9.2:** public access to community health information policy and procedures
- Activity 9.4:** requests for information policy and procedures
- Activity 9.6:** Title VI of the Civil Rights Act compliance policy
- Activity 15.2 & 15.6 & 22.3:** program policies and procedures
- Activity 15.3:** policy on policies
- Activity 15.4:** new or updated policy as referenced
- Activity 15.5:** program orientation policy and procedure for distributing policy changes to staff
- Activity 18.1:** public health laws, rules and ordinances enforcement policies and procedures
- Activity 18.3:** complaints of enforcement of laws, rules and ordinances policies and procedures
- Activity 21.1:** policy or mechanism for dissemination of current resource list or directory
- Activity 21.3:** increasing utilization of programs and services plans or policies
- Activity 24.1:** staff access to training policies
- Activity 26.1:** non-discrimination policy
- Activity 26.3:** cultural sensitivity and competency training policy
- Activity 27.1:** consumer and community input policy and procedure
- Activity 27.3:** quality assurance/quality improvement policies and procedures
- Activities 29.1 & 29.2:** research policies
- Activity 30.2:** accommodating physical disabilities and Limited English Proficiency policies and protocols
- Activity 30.3:** clinical privacy policy/procedures
- Activity 30.4:** medical records policies
- Activity 30.5:** OSHA policies and procedures
- Activity 30.6:** cleaning, disinfection and maintenance of equipment and service areas policies and procedures
- Activity 30.7:** infection control policies and procedures
- Activity 31.1:** administrative policies and procedures
- Activity 31.3:** personnel - disciplinary, grievance and harassment policies
- Activity 31.4:** personnel- position description review policy
- Activity 31.5:** personnel - performance appraisal policies and procedures
- Activity 32.2:** staff training on MIS policy
- Activity 32.3:** computer/technology use policy
- Activity 32.4:** management information system security policies and procedures
- Activity 33.4:** segregation of financial management duties and accountability for funds policy
- Activity 33.7:** financial risk management for uncollected fees and bad debt policies and procedures

- Activity 34.1:** board operating procedures
- Activity 34.3:** adopting rules policy and procedures
- Activity 35.1:** adjudications policy and procedures
- Activity 36.2 and 36.3:** BOH training policies or procedures
- Activity 37.6:** recruitment, retention and workforce development policy
- Activity 38.3:** BOH public participation policy

Plans or Operational Guides required by HDSAI

- Activity 3.2:** QI plan for data system
- Activity 5.2:** health alert communication plan
- Activity 5.3:** crisis communication plan or communication/media plan
- Activity 6.2:** county emergency operations plan
- Activity 7.4:** local preparedness and response plan
- Activity 15.1:** strategic plan
- Activity 22.1:** community action plans (from community health assessment)
- Activity 24.2:** staff development plan
- Activity 26.2:** recruit and retain management team and staff plan
- Activity 31.6:** inventory replacement plan
- Activity 36.1:** board of health handbook